

Riemann⁴ correction in ten and eleven dimensions

Linus Wulff

Masaryk University

Based on: 2506.16391 with A. Aggarwal, S. Chakrabarti,
S. Hsia, A. Kamal

Workshop on Noncommutative and Generalised Geometries
and their Physical Applications, Corfu, 15 Sep 2026

Motivation

In a quantum theory of gravity Einstein's theory receives **higher derivative corrections**

$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{c_1}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} R_{klmn} R^{klmn} + \dots$$

The corrections are suppressed by powers of the **Planck mass**

These become important in **extreme situations**, e.g. near black hole or cosmological singularities

In **string theory** we know how to compute these corrections (although it can be difficult!)

There are **two kinds** of such corrections in string theory

Motivation

The low-energy effective action for the **massless fields** of the closed string is a **double expansion**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \alpha'^k g_s^{2(l-1)} \mathcal{L}^{(k,l)}$$

- ▶ α' – inverse string tension
- ▶ g_s – string coupling

The first of these are “stringy” corrections coming from the fact that the string has a **finite size**

The second come from **string loop corrections** and involve the string coupling, controlled by the expectation value of the dilaton field

$$g_s = e^{\langle \Phi \rangle}$$

Type II superstring

I will focus on the **maximally supersymmetric** string theories, known as type **IIA** and type **IIB**

In these theories there is no correction for $k = 1, 2$ and the **first correction** occurs at α'^3

From the **tree-level four-graviton amplitude** one finds at α'^3

[Gross, Witten '86]

$$L^{(3,0)} = \zeta(3) (t_8 t_8 R^4 + \dots)$$

The one loop 4 point amplitude has the same form [Green, Schwarz '82]

$$L^{(3,1)} = \zeta(2) (t_8 t_8 R^4 + \dots)$$

Note the different coefficient in front. The $\dots$ terms will also differ, e.g. $\varepsilon_8 \varepsilon_8 R^4$ which enters at 5 point.

$D = 11$ R^4 correction

The **strong coupling limit** of the type IIA theory is believed to be the **11d theory** known as M-theory. [Witten '95]

Indeed, its low-energy limit, **11d supergravity**, reduces to type IIA supergravity

Since the **one-loop correction** in type IIA is **independent of the dilaton** it has a finite uplift to $D = 11$. This suggests that a correction

$$\hat{L}_1 = \zeta(2) \left(t_8 t_8 \hat{R}^4 + \dots \right)$$

should be present also in the **M-theory effective action** in $D = 11$

I will describe the full form of these corrections at the **4-point level** and verify that the **reduction of the $D = 11$ correction** gives the **correct terms in $D = 10$ type IIA**

Outline

1. Type II R^4 correction at 4-point
2. $D = 11$ R^4 correction
3. Relating $D = 11$ R^4 to type IIA
4. Simplified $D = 11$ expression

Type II R^4 correction at 4-point

To find the correction we need to compute a **4-point closed string amplitude**

The easiest way to compute this amplitude is to think of the closed string as **two copies of an open string** (KLT relations)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{closed}} \sim \mathcal{A}_{\text{open}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{open}}$$

The open string F^4 correction is (for $U(1)$ gauge group)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{open}} = \frac{1}{4!} t_8 F^4 + \frac{i}{2} F_{kl} F_{mn} \lambda \gamma^k \gamma^{mn} \partial^l \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \lambda \gamma_m \partial_n \lambda \lambda \gamma^m \partial^n \lambda$$

where the 8 index tensor t_8 is defined via

$$\begin{aligned} t_8 M_1 M_2 M_3 M_4 &\equiv t_{8a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8} M_1^{a_1 a_2} M_2^{a_3 a_4} M_3^{a_5 a_6} M_4^{a_7 a_8} \\ &= 8 \operatorname{tr}(M_1 M_2 M_3 M_4) - 2 \operatorname{tr}(M_1 M_2) \operatorname{tr}(M_3 M_4) + \operatorname{cycl}(2, 3, 4) \end{aligned}$$

Closed string R^4 correction

At the 4-point level we have, using the KLT relations, simply

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{closed}} \sim \mathcal{L}_{\text{open}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{open}}$$

with (at linearized level)

$$F_{kl} \otimes \tilde{F}_{mn} \sim \mathcal{R}_{klmn} = R_{klmn} - \nabla_{[k} H_{l]mn} ,$$

the curvature of a connection with torsion given by $H = dB$,

$$\lambda \otimes \tilde{\lambda} \sim \not{F} = \begin{cases} F^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} F_{ab}^{(2)} \gamma^{ab} + \frac{1}{4!} F_{abcd}^{(4)} \gamma^{abcd} & \text{(IIA)} \\ F_a^{(1)} \gamma^a + \frac{1}{3!} F_{abc}^{(3)} \gamma^{abc} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 5!} F_{abcde}^{(5)} \gamma^{abcde} & \text{(IIB)} \end{cases}$$

the spinor bilinear containing the RR fields and the other tensor products giving the two gravitino field strengths

Closed string R^4 correction

In this way we find the **complete R^4 Lagrangian** quartic in **fields**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{closed}} = & \frac{1}{4!} t_8 t_8 \mathcal{R}^4 - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{R}_{abcd} \mathcal{R}_{efgh} \text{tr}(\gamma^e \gamma^{ab} \nabla^f \not{F} \gamma^g \gamma^{cd} \nabla^h \not{F}^T) \\ & - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{R}_{abcd} \mathcal{R}_{efgh} \text{tr}(\gamma^e \gamma^{ab} \nabla^f \not{F} \gamma^c \gamma^{gh} \nabla^d \not{F}^T) \\ & + \frac{1}{2^7 3} \text{tr}(\nabla_a \not{F} \gamma_c \nabla^a \not{F}^T \gamma_d \nabla_b \not{F} \gamma^c \nabla^b \not{F}^T \gamma^d) \\ & - \frac{1}{2^7 3} \text{tr}(\nabla_a \not{F} \gamma_c \nabla_b \not{F}^T \gamma_d \nabla^b \not{F} \gamma^c \nabla^a \not{F}^T \gamma^d) \\ & - \frac{1}{2^7} \text{tr}(\nabla_a \not{F} \gamma_c \nabla_b \not{F}^T \gamma_d \nabla^a \not{F} \gamma^c \nabla^b \not{F}^T \gamma^d) \\ & + \text{fermions}\end{aligned}$$

This **coincides with the expression** found by [\[Policastro, Tsimpis '06\]](#)
(after fixing a **small error** in their $R^2 F^2$ terms and simplifying)

Relation to $D = 11$ R^4 correction

Recall that these are the quartic terms that appear **both at tree-level and one-loop**

We have argued that a similar R^4 correction should appear in the **M-theory effective action**. It should be **related** to the type IIA correction by **dimensional reduction**

We can compute it in an indirect way noting that the **one-loop 4-point amplitude** in $D = 11$ SUGRA leads to an R^4 term with a **cutoff-dependent coefficient**. We can then **fix the coefficient** by comparison to the **type IIA** result.

[Russo, Tseytlin '97]

Peeters, Plefka and Stern (PPS) computed the **one-loop 4-point superparticle amplitudes** in $D = 11$ SUGRA using the light-cone formalism

$D = 11$ one-loop 4-point superparticle amplitude

They found

[Peeters, Plefka, Stern '05]

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{R^4} = \frac{1}{4!} t_8 t_8 \hat{R}^4$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{R^2(\nabla F)^2} = \frac{9}{4} \bigg(& -24 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{ef}{}^{gd} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{bchi} \nabla^e \hat{F}^f{}_{ghi} - 48 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{ef}{}^{gd} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{cehi} \nabla^b \hat{F}^f{}_{ghi} \\ & - 24 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{ef}{}^{gd} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{cegh} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{abf}{}_h - 6 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{ef}{}^{gd} \nabla^c \hat{F}^{ef}{}_{hi} \nabla_g \hat{F}^{bahi} \\ & - 12 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{eaf}{}^c \nabla_i \hat{F}^{bf}{}_{gh} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{deg}{}_h + 12 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}^{eafc} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{bdgh} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{efgh} \\ & + 8 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}^{eafc} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{dghi} \nabla_e \hat{F}^{fghi} - 4 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{ef}{}^{cd} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{eghi} \nabla^b \hat{F}^f{}_{ghi} \\ & + \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}^{eacd} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{fghi} \nabla_e \hat{F}^{fghi} + 4 \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}^{eacd} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{bfgh} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{efgh} \\ & + \hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}^{abcd} \nabla_e \hat{F}^{fghi} \nabla^e \hat{F}^{eghi} \bigg) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{(\nabla F)^4} = \frac{3^6}{16} \bigg(& 3 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{bf}{}_{gh} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{cdej} \nabla_f \hat{F}^{ghij} + \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{bf}{}_{gh} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{cdej} \nabla_j \hat{F}^{fghi} \\ & - 9 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{acfg} \nabla^h \hat{F}^{deij} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{fghj} + \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{fghi} \nabla^f \hat{F}^{ghij} \nabla_j \hat{F}^{acde} \\ & - 72 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{bf}{}_{gh} \nabla^c \hat{F}^d{}_{fij} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{eghj} + 9 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^a \hat{F}^{bf}{}_{gh} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{cd}{}_{fj} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{eghj} \\ & + 18 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{ac}{}_{fg} \nabla_h \hat{F}^{df}{}_{ij} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{eghj} - 9 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{af}{}_{gh} \nabla_i \hat{F}^{cd}{}_{fj} \nabla^j \hat{F}^{eghi} \\ & - 72 \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{cf}{}_{gh} \nabla_f \hat{F}^{dg}{}_{ij} \nabla^i \hat{F}^{aehj} - \nabla_a \hat{F}_{bcde} \nabla^b \hat{F}^{fghi} \nabla^f \hat{F}^{cdej} \nabla_j \hat{F}^{aghi} \bigg) \end{aligned}$$

Relating $D = 11$ SUGRA to type IIA

To compare this to the type IIA correction we use the standard **reduction ansatz** for the metric

$$\hat{e}^a = e^{-\Phi/3} e^a, \quad \hat{e}^{10} = e^{2\Phi/3} (dx^{10} + C_1)$$

and four-form $\hat{F}_4 = d\hat{C}_3$

$$\hat{F}_4 = F_4 + H \wedge (dx^{10} + C_1)$$

After a lot of work using

- ▶ Integrations by parts
- ▶ Bianchi identities
- ▶ Field redefinitions

one finds that they agree (after rescaling the $R^2 F^2$ terms of PPS by 6)

Simplified $D = 11$ expression

The $D = 11$ expression for the $\hat{R}^2 \hat{F}_4^2$ and $\hat{F}_4^4$ terms is **not particularly simple**, containing in total some **20 terms**, whereas the $D = 10$ expressions are **much more compact**

It is natural to **seek an expression** in $D = 11$ which is **more similar** to the $D = 10$ expression. With some work one finds, using **integration by parts**, **Bianchi identities** and **field redefinitions**, the much more compact form ($\hat{F} \equiv \frac{1}{4!} \hat{F}_{abcd} \Gamma^{abcd}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L} = & \frac{1}{4!} t_8 t_8 \hat{R}^4 - \frac{1}{16} (\hat{R}_{abcd} \hat{R}_{efgh} + \hat{R}_{abgh} \hat{R}_{efcd}) \text{tr}(\hat{\Gamma}^a \hat{\Gamma}^{ef} \nabla^b \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}^c \hat{\Gamma}^{gh} \nabla^d \hat{F}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2^8} \text{tr}(\nabla_a \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}_c \nabla_b \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}_d \nabla^a \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}^c \nabla^b \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}^d) \\ & - \frac{1}{2^7} \text{tr}(\nabla_a \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}_c \nabla_b \hat{F} \nabla^a \hat{F} \hat{\Gamma}^c \nabla^b \hat{F}) + \frac{3}{2^7} \text{tr}(\nabla_a \hat{F} \nabla_b \hat{F} \nabla^a \hat{F} \nabla^b \hat{F}) \end{aligned}$$

Conclusions and outlook

- ▶ We have seen that the 4-point R^4 correction in $D = 11$ indeed reduces to the type IIA R^4 correction, but in a very nontrivial way
- ▶ We have used the simple form of the R^4 terms in $D = 10$ to find a similar simple form for the quartic terms in $D = 11$
- ▶ A natural next step would be to analyze terms of fifth order in fields
- ▶ This is the order where the tree-level and one-loop correction in $D = 10$ start to differ
- ▶ We have only partial knowledge of these terms, but supersymmetry (or dualities) might offer a way forward