

Duality-covariant particles and exotic branes

Josh O'Connor

Division of Theoretical Physics
Rudjer Bošković Institute, Croatia

8 September 2026



IP-2022-10-5980: "Non-relativistic
supergravity and applications"

Quantum Gravity and Strings
Corfu Summer Institute, Greece

Based on work with David Osten
[\[2606.26504\]](#)

Supported by the HRZZ, Croatia



The big fat question

“How do we make duality symmetry manifest on the worldline?”

Exceptional field theory

- U-duality covariance
- generalised spacetime
- unifies (super)gravities

[Hohm, Samtleben '13, '14]

Blair's worldline action

- pullback from DFT/ExFT
- unifies all standard particles
- everything known up to E_7

[Blair '17] [Arvanitakis, Blair '18]

Our particle model

- builds upon Blair's action
- incorporates E_8 structure
- new coadjoint orbit term

[JO, Osten '26]

The big fat question

“How do we make duality symmetry manifest on the worldline?”

Exceptional field theory

- U-duality covariance
- generalised spacetime
- unifies (super)gravities

[Hohm, Samtleben '13, '14]

Blair's worldline action

- pullback from DFT/ExFT
- unifies all standard particles
- everything known up to E_7

[Blair '17] [Arvanitakis, Blair '18]

Our particle model

- builds upon Blair's action
- incorporates E_8 structure
- new coadjoint orbit term

[JO, Osten '26]

- Blair's generalised massless particle unifies and reduces to: (1) **massless particles** in ten and eleven dimensions, and (2) **massive particles** in lower dimensions.
- In our recent paper, we proposed an extension that includes an **E_8 -covariant worldline model** with generalised momentum P_M and a new colour-like 'charge' Q^M .

E_8 exceptional field theory

E_8 ExFT generalises 11D SUGRA with manifest E_8 symmetry. [Hohm, Samtleben '14 '18]

11D spacetime (X^μ, Y^m) in the $3 + 8$ split becomes a **generalised spacetime**

$$X^\mu \quad (\mu = 0, 1, 2), \quad Y^m \rightarrow Y^M = (Y^m, \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{m}}), \quad M = 1, \dots, 248 = \dim \mathcal{R}_1.$$

E_8 exceptional field theory

E_8 ExFT generalises 11D SUGRA with manifest E_8 symmetry. [Hohm, Samtleben '14 '18]

11D spacetime (X^μ, Y^m) in the $3 + 8$ split becomes a **generalised spacetime**

$$X^\mu \ (\mu = 0, 1, 2), \quad Y^m \rightarrow Y^M = (Y^m, \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{m}}), \quad M = 1, \dots, 248 = \dim \mathcal{R}_1.$$

Fields depend on both, but Y^M -dependence is restricted by the **section constraint (S.C.)**

$$\mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} \partial_K \Phi \partial_L \Psi = 0.$$

E_8 exceptional field theory

E_8 ExFT generalises 11D SUGRA with manifest E_8 symmetry. [Hohm, Samtleben '14 '18]

11D spacetime (X^μ, Y^m) in the $3 + 8$ split becomes a **generalised spacetime**

$$X^\mu \ (\mu = 0, 1, 2), \quad Y^m \rightarrow Y^M = (Y^m, \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{m}}), \quad M = 1, \dots, 248 = \dim \mathcal{R}_1.$$

Fields depend on both, but Y^M -dependence is restricted by the **section constraint (S.C.)**

$$\mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} \partial_K \Phi \partial_L \Psi = 0.$$

Gauge params: Λ^M and Σ_M (where Σ_M obeys the section constraint just as ∂_M does).

E_8 exceptional field theory

E_8 ExFT generalises 11D SUGRA with manifest E_8 symmetry. [Hohm, Samtleben '14 '18]

11D spacetime (X^μ, Y^m) in the $3 + 8$ split becomes a **generalised spacetime**

$$X^\mu \ (\mu = 0, 1, 2), \quad Y^m \rightarrow Y^M = (Y^m, \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{m}}), \quad M = 1, \dots, 248 = \dim \mathcal{R}_1.$$

Fields depend on both, but Y^M -dependence is restricted by the **section constraint (S.C.)**

$$\mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} \partial_K \Phi \partial_L \Psi = 0.$$

Gauge params: Λ^M and Σ_M (where Σ_M obeys the section constraint just as ∂_M does).

Local transformations are: (1) external 3D diffeos, and (2) **generalised diffeomorphisms**

$$\mathbb{L}_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} V^M = \mathbb{L}_\Lambda V^M + f^{MN}{}_P \Sigma_N V^P$$

In E_7 ExFT, $\mathbb{L}_\Lambda$ closes on its own due to the **S.C.** but in E_8 ExFT we also need Σ_M .

E_8 ExFT fields and spacetime

- External dreibein: $e_\mu{}^a \longleftrightarrow$ 3D metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- Internal 248-bein: $\mathcal{V}_M{}^A$ in $E_8/\text{SO}(16) \longleftrightarrow$ generalised metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = (\mathcal{V}^T \mathcal{V})_{MN}$.
- Gauge fields: $A_\mu{}^M$ (for Λ^M) and $B_{\mu M}$ (for Σ_M) **where** $B_{\mu M}$ **and** Σ_M **obey S.C.**

E_8 ExFT fields and spacetime

- External dreibein: $e_\mu^a \longleftrightarrow$ 3D metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- Internal 248-bein: $\mathcal{V}_M^A$ in $E_8/\text{SO}(16) \longleftrightarrow$ generalised metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = (\mathcal{V}^T \mathcal{V})_{MN}$.
- Gauge fields: A_μ^M (for Λ^M) and $B_{\mu M}$ (for Σ_M) **where** $B_{\mu M}$ **and** Σ_M **obey S.C.**

The trivial solution of the S.C. is to take $\partial_M = 0$ so everything only depends on X^μ .

E_8 ExFT fields and spacetime

- External dreibein: $e_\mu^a \longleftrightarrow$ 3D metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- Internal 248-bein: $\mathcal{V}_M^A$ in $E_8/\text{SO}(16) \longleftrightarrow$ **generalised metric** $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = (\mathcal{V}^T \mathcal{V})_{MN}$.
- Gauge fields: A_μ^M (for Λ^M) and $B_{\mu M}$ (for Σ_M) **where** $B_{\mu M}$ **and** Σ_M **obey S.C.**

The trivial solution of the S.C. is to take $\partial_M = 0$ so everything only depends on X^μ .

Other solutions of the S.C. can be understood by first decomposing E_8 w.r.t. $\text{GL}(8)$:

$$Y^M = (Y^m, Y_{m_1 m_2}, Y_{m_1 \dots m_5}, \dots) \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{248} = \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{28} \oplus \mathbf{56} \oplus \dots$$

E_8 ExFT fields and spacetime

- External dreibein: $e_\mu^a \longleftrightarrow$ 3D metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- Internal 248-bein: $\mathcal{V}_M^A$ in $E_8/\text{SO}(16) \longleftrightarrow$ **generalised metric** $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = (\mathcal{V}^T \mathcal{V})_{MN}$.
- Gauge fields: A_μ^M (for Λ^M) and $B_{\mu M}$ (for Σ_M) **where** $B_{\mu M}$ **and** Σ_M **obey S.C.**

The trivial solution of the S.C. is to take $\partial_M = 0$ so everything only depends on X^μ .

Other solutions of the S.C. can be understood by first decomposing E_8 w.r.t. $\text{GL}(8)$:

$$Y^M = (Y^m, Y_{m_1 m_2}, Y_{m_1 \dots m_5}, \dots) \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{248} = \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{28} \oplus \mathbf{56} \oplus \dots$$

The 11D section takes $\partial_M = (\partial_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ so that the objects of the theory **only depend on X^μ and Y^m** but not on the other components $Y_{m_1 m_2}$, $Y_{m_1 \dots m_5}$, etc.

Similarly, the constrained objects of the theory become

$$B_{\mu M} = (B_{\mu m}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \Sigma_M = (\Sigma_m, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Massless particle on a circle

Consider compact isometry direction Y .

Higher-dimensional Lagrangian contains

$$L = \frac{1}{2e} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \phi (\dot{Y} + A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu)^2 \right].$$

Momentum in $D + 1 \rightarrow$ mass in D , i.e.

$$p_Y = \lambda \phi (\dot{Y} + A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu),$$

$$\dot{p}_Y = 0, \quad m^2 = \phi^{-1} p_Y^2.$$

No dependence on Y gives the Routhian

$$L = -\sqrt{\phi^{-1} p_Y^2} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} + p_Y A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu.$$

Compactification and particle mass

Massless particle on a circle

Consider compact isometry direction Y .

Higher-dimensional Lagrangian contains

$$L = \frac{1}{2e} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \phi (\dot{Y} + A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu)^2 \right].$$

Momentum in $D + 1 \rightarrow$ **mass in D** , i.e.

$$p_Y = \lambda \phi (\dot{Y} + A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu),$$

$$\dot{p}_Y = 0, \quad m^2 = \phi^{-1} p_Y^2.$$

No dependence on Y gives the Routhian

$$L = -\sqrt{\phi^{-1} p_Y^2} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} + p_Y A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu.$$

Duality-covariant charges

Strings and branes give rise to winding and wrapping charges in lower dimensions.

Collect them in one covariant mass formula

$$m^2 = p_M \mathcal{M}^{MN} p_N, \quad p_M \in \mathcal{R}_1.$$

No dependence on Y^M implies that the conjugate momenta are conserved:

$$P_M(\tau) = p_M, \quad \dot{p}_M = 0.$$

Compactification and particle mass

Massless particle on a circle

Consider compact isometry direction Y .

Higher-dimensional Lagrangian contains

$$L = \frac{1}{2e} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \phi (\dot{Y} + A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu)^2 \right].$$

Momentum in $D+1 \rightarrow$ **mass in D** , i.e.

$$p_Y = \lambda \phi (\dot{Y} + A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu),$$

$$\dot{p}_Y = 0, \quad m^2 = \phi^{-1} p_Y^2.$$

No dependence on Y gives the Routhian

$$L = -\sqrt{\phi^{-1} p_Y^2} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} + p_Y A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu.$$

Duality-covariant charges

Strings and branes give rise to winding and wrapping charges in lower dimensions.

Collect them in one covariant mass formula

$$m^2 = p_M \mathcal{M}^{MN} p_N, \quad p_M \in \mathcal{R}_1.$$

No dependence on Y^M implies that the conjugate momenta are conserved:

$$P_M(\tau) = p_M, \quad \dot{p}_M = 0.$$

What is the correct duality-covariant generalisation that unifies the massless particle with its massive reduction?

Blair's worldline action

After Routh reduction, the duality-covariant worldline action with fixed charge is [Blair '17]

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\sqrt{p_M \mathcal{M}^{MN} p_N} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} + p_M A_\mu{}^M \dot{X}^\mu \right].$$

What is not present: Y^M -dependence; possibility that P_M varies along the trajectory.

Blair's worldline action

After Routh reduction, the duality-covariant worldline action with fixed charge is [Blair '17]

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\sqrt{p_M \mathcal{M}^{MN} p_N} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} + p_M A_\mu{}^M \dot{X}^\mu \right].$$

What is not present: Y^M -dependence; possibility that P_M varies along the trajectory.

Dynamical P_M and dependence on Y^M are retained in Blair's **unreduced** action [Blair '17]

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau e^{-1} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^N \right]$$

with covariant velocity $\mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M := \dot{Y}^M + \dot{X}^\mu A_\mu{}^M + V^M$ such that $V^M \partial_M = 0$.

Blair's worldline action

After Routh reduction, the duality-covariant worldline action with fixed charge is [Blair '17]

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\sqrt{p_M \mathcal{M}^{MN} p_N} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} + p_M A_\mu{}^M \dot{X}^\mu \right].$$

What is not present: Y^M -dependence; possibility that P_M varies along the trajectory.

Dynamical P_M and dependence on Y^M are retained in Blair's **unreduced** action [Blair '17]

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau e^{-1} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^N \right]$$

with covariant velocity $\mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M := \dot{Y}^M + \dot{X}^\mu A_\mu{}^M + V^M$ such that $V^M \partial_M = 0$.

Auxiliary worldline field V^M gauges shifts along unphysical directions.

- V^M is needed since $\dot{Y}^M + \dot{X}^\mu A_\mu{}^M$ is not covariant under generalised diffeos.

Blair's worldline action

Conjugate momenta for X^μ and Y^M are

$$P_\mu := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{X}^\mu} = \frac{1}{e} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu + P_M A_\mu{}^M, \quad P_M := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{Y}^M} = \frac{1}{e} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M.$$

Legendre transforming gives the first-order action [JO, Osten '26]

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\pi_\mu \dot{X}^\mu + P_M \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M - \frac{e}{2} \left(g^{\mu\nu} \pi_\mu \pi_\nu + \mathcal{M}^{MN} P_M P_N \right) \right].$$

Blair's worldline action

Conjugate momenta for X^μ and Y^M are

$$P_\mu := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{X}^\mu} = \frac{1}{e} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu + P_M A_\mu{}^M, \quad P_M := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{Y}^M} = \frac{1}{e} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M.$$

Legendre transforming gives the first-order action [JO, Osten '26]

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\pi_\mu \dot{X}^\mu + P_M \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M - \frac{e}{2} \left(g^{\mu\nu} \pi_\mu \pi_\nu + \mathcal{M}^{MN} P_M P_N \right) \right].$$

The covariant momentum π_μ is defined by

$$\pi_\mu := P_\mu - P_M A_\mu{}^M.$$

$\hookrightarrow$ Transforms as a covector under external diffeomorphisms.

$\hookrightarrow$ Transforms as a generalised scalar (of weight zero) under generalised diffeos.

Compare with $L = \frac{1}{2e} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu - \frac{e}{2} m^2 + q A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu$ and $\pi_\mu := p_\mu - q A_\mu = \frac{1}{e} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu$.

Charge constraints

The constraint $V^M \partial_M = 0$ and the EOM of V^M enforce a (mixed) **charge constraint**

$$"P \otimes \partial|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = 0" \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} P_K \partial_K \Phi = 0.$$

Charge constraints

The constraint $V^M \partial_M = 0$ and the EOM of V^M enforce a (mixed) **charge constraint**

$$"P \otimes \partial|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = 0" \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} P_K \partial_K \Phi = 0.$$

- $\hookrightarrow$ Unphysical components $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{m}}$ of the momentum $P_M = (p_m, \tilde{p}_{\tilde{m}})$ are removed.
- $\hookrightarrow$ Automatically satisfied in the trivial section $\partial_M = 0$ with no Y^M -dependence
- $\hookrightarrow$ All components of P_M are constant and enter the effective mass.

Charge constraints

The constraint $V^M \partial_M = 0$ and the EOM of V^M enforce a (mixed) **charge constraint**

$$"P \otimes \partial|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = 0" \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} P_K \partial_K \Phi = 0.$$

$\hookrightarrow$ Unphysical components $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{m}}$ of the momentum $P_M = (p_m, \tilde{p}_{\tilde{m}})$ are removed.

$\hookrightarrow$ Automatically satisfied in the trivial section $\partial_M = 0$ with no Y^M -dependence

$\hookrightarrow$ All components of P_M are constant and enter the effective mass.

If we work on a maximal section (X^μ, Y^m) , then P_M occupies the same physical subspace as ∂_M , and thus P_M automatically satisfies a separate (quadratic) **charge constraint**

$$"P \otimes P|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = 0" \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} P_K P_L = 0.$$

Charge constraints

The constraint $V^M \partial_M = 0$ and the EOM of V^M enforce a (mixed) **charge constraint**

$$"P \otimes \partial|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = 0" \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} P_K \partial_L \Phi = 0.$$

- $\hookrightarrow$ Unphysical components $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{m}}$ of the momentum $P_M = (p_m, \tilde{p}_{\tilde{m}})$ are removed.
- $\hookrightarrow$ Automatically satisfied in the trivial section $\partial_M = 0$ with no Y^M -dependence
- $\hookrightarrow$ All components of P_M are constant and enter the effective mass.

If we work on a maximal section (X^μ, Y^m) , then P_M occupies the same physical subspace as ∂_M , and thus P_M automatically satisfies a separate (quadratic) **charge constraint**

$$"P \otimes P|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = 0" \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_{MN}{}^{KL} P_K P_L = 0.$$

- $\hookrightarrow$ Restricts allowed combinations of the charges, i.e. (generalised) momentum.
- $\hookrightarrow$ Selects a charge that corresponds to a $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS brane state. [Bergshoeff, Marrani, Riccioni '12]

Phase space and current algebra

One imposes the charge constraints on phase space in the current algebra approach.

↪ Generalised diffeos realised by Poisson brackets, i.e. $\{\Phi, \Lambda\} = \mathbb{L}_\Lambda \Phi$. [Osten '21 '24]

Phase space and current algebra

One imposes the charge constraints on phase space in the current algebra approach.

↪ Generalised diffeos realised by Poisson brackets, i.e. $\{\Phi, \Lambda\} = \mathbb{L}_\Lambda \Phi$. [Osten '21 '24]

For a zero-brane (worldline) pulled back from E_n ExFT ($n \leq 7$), the current algebra is

$$\{P_M, P_N\} = 0, \quad \{P_M, f(Y)\} = -\partial_M f(Y).$$

Phase space and current algebra

One imposes the charge constraints on phase space in the current algebra approach.

↪ Generalised diffeos realised by Poisson brackets, i.e. $\{\Phi, \Lambda\} = \mathbb{L}_\Lambda \Phi$. [Osten '21 '24]

For a zero-brane (worldline) pulled back from E_n ExFT ($n \leq 7$), the current algebra is

$$\{P_M, P_N\} = 0, \quad \{P_M, f(Y)\} = -\partial_M f(Y).$$

One uses the mixed charge constraint to compute

$$\{\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = F_{\mu\nu}{}^M P_M = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^M P_M,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^M$ is the full ExFT field strength for the gauge field $A_\mu{}^M$.

Phase space and current algebra

One imposes the charge constraints on phase space in the current algebra approach.

↪ Generalised diffeos realised by Poisson brackets, i.e. $\{\Phi, \Lambda\} = \mathbb{L}_\Lambda \Phi$. [Osten '21 '24]

For a zero-brane (worldline) pulled back from E_n ExFT ($n \leq 7$), the current algebra is

$$\{P_M, P_N\} = 0, \quad \{P_M, f(Y)\} = -\partial_M f(Y).$$

One uses the mixed charge constraint to compute

$$\{\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = F_{\mu\nu}{}^M P_M = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^M P_M,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^M$ is the full ExFT field strength for the gauge field $A_\mu{}^M$.

In the phase space for zero-branes, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = g^{\mu\nu} \pi_\mu \pi_\nu + \mathcal{M}^{MN} P_M P_N,$$

Blair's worldline Lagrangian is the Legendre transform $L = P_\mu \dot{X}^\mu + P_M \dot{Y}^M - H$.

E_8 and the missing 'charge'

E_n exceptional particle ($n \leq 7$)

parameter: Λ^M ,

gauge field: A_μ^M ,

charge: P_M .

E_8 exceptional particle [JO, Osten '26]

parameters: (Λ^M, Σ_M) ,

gauge fields: $(A_\mu^M, B_{\mu M})$,

charges (?): (P_M, Q^M) .

E_8 and the missing 'charge'

E_n exceptional particle ($n \leq 7$)

parameter: Λ^M ,
gauge field: A_μ^M ,
charge: P_M .

E_8 exceptional particle [JO, Osten '26]

parameters: (Λ^M, Σ_M) ,
gauge fields: $(A_\mu^M, B_{\mu M})$,
charges (?): (P_M, Q^M) .

The ancillary E_8 transformation suggests a second worldline charge Q^M with brackets

$$\{Q^M, Q^N\} = f^{MN}{}_P Q^P.$$

It enters the covariant momentum as

$$\pi_\mu = P_\mu - P_M A_\mu^M - Q^M B_{\mu M}.$$

How can we modify the worldline to introduce Q^M whose symplectic structure generates $\mathfrak{e}_8$?

Introducing the coadjoint orbit term

Our enlarged action is

$$S_{E_8} = S_{\text{kin}} + S_{\text{orb}} .$$

Introducing the coadjoint orbit term

Our enlarged action is

$$S_{E_8} = S_{\text{kin}} + S_{\text{orb}}.$$

The kinetic part is Blair's exceptional worldline action in second-order form

$$S_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau e^{-1} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^N \right],$$

Introducing the coadjoint orbit term

Our enlarged action is

$$S_{E_8} = S_{\text{kin}} + S_{\text{orb}}.$$

The kinetic part is Blair's exceptional worldline action in second-order form

$$S_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau e^{-1} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^M \mathcal{D}_\tau Y^N \right],$$

while the proposed new part is a coadjoint orbit term

$$S_{\text{orb}} = \int d\tau \langle \Gamma, g^{-1} (\partial_\tau + \tilde{B}) g \rangle, \quad \tilde{B}_M := \dot{X}^\mu B_{\mu M} + W_M.$$

Here $g(\tau) \in E_8$, while $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{e}_8^*$ is fixed, and we define the dynamical “duality charge”

$$Q(g(\tau)) = \text{Ad}_g^* \Gamma.$$

The new constrained field W_M completes the pullback of $B_{\mu M}$ to a worldline connection $\tilde{B}$.

Realising $\mathfrak{e}_8$ and covariant transport

The charge moves on the coadjoint orbit

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = \{ \text{Ad}_g^* \Gamma \mid g \in E_8 \} \cong E_8 / G_\Gamma .$$

Consider the split

$$S_{\text{orb}} = \underbrace{\int d\tau \langle \Gamma, g^{-1} \dot{g} \rangle}_{\text{KKS term}} + \underbrace{\int d\tau Q^M \tilde{B}_M}_{\text{Wong term}} .$$

The KKS symplectic form is

$$\omega_{\text{KKS}}(\text{ad}_X^* Q, \text{ad}_Y^* Q) = -\langle Q, [X, Y] \rangle ,$$

and Q then realises the $\mathfrak{e}_8$ algebra:

$$\{Q^M, Q^N\}_\omega = f^{MN}{}_P Q^P .$$

Realising $\mathfrak{e}_8$ and covariant transport

The charge moves on the coadjoint orbit

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = \{ \text{Ad}_g^* \Gamma \mid g \in E_8 \} \cong E_8 / G_\Gamma .$$

Consider the split

$$S_{\text{orb}} = \underbrace{\int d\tau \langle \Gamma, g^{-1} \dot{g} \rangle}_{\text{KKS term}} + \underbrace{\int d\tau Q^M \tilde{B}_M}_{\text{Wong term}} .$$

The KKS symplectic form is

$$\omega_{\text{KKS}}(\text{ad}_X^* Q, \text{ad}_Y^* Q) = -\langle Q, [X, Y] \rangle ,$$

and Q then realises the $\mathfrak{e}_8$ algebra:

$$\{Q^M, Q^N\}_\omega = f^{MN}{}_P Q^P .$$

Covariant momentum is recovered

$$\pi_\mu = P_\mu - P_M A_\mu^M - Q^M B_{\mu M} .$$

Poisson brackets give ExFT field strenghts

$$\{\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M P_M + \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu M} Q^M .$$

EOM of $g(\tau)$ gives the Wong equation,
i.e. Q is covariantly transported

$$\dot{Q}^\sharp + [\tilde{B}, Q^\sharp] = 0 .$$

The constrained field W_M appears linearly.

EOM of W_M kills the Q^m component of $Q^M = (Q^m, \tilde{Q}^{\tilde{m}})$ that enters the action.

Standard and exotic zero-branes

M0-brane, i.e. 'M-wave'

Massless zero-brane solution in 11D.

A gravitational wave carries momentum P_z along an isometry direction z .

Exotic $0^{(1,7)}$ -brane

Delocalised along eight isometry directions.

Around the defect, background is patched by an E_8 duality transformation.

The local M0-brane and exotic $0^{(1,7)}$ -brane geodesic actions arise from the kinetic term, i.e. Blair's original action, not from the orbit term.

Conclusion

- **Duality-covariant worldline unified massless/massive KK particles.**
- E_8 ExFT target space adds a constrained field and gauge parameter.
- **Phase space approach for E_8 suggests we need both P_M and Q^M .**
 - $\hookrightarrow$ We proposed an action with $Q^M B_{\mu M} \dot{X}^\mu$ and such that $\{Q^M, Q^N\} = f^{MN}{}_P Q^P$.
- **M0-brane and exotic zero-brane worldline actions follow without orbit term.**

Conclusion

- **Duality-covariant worldline unified massless/massive KK particles.**
 - E_8 **ExFT target space adds a constrained field and gauge parameter.**
 - **Phase space approach for E_8 suggests we need both P_M and Q^M .**
 $\hookrightarrow$ We proposed an action with $Q^M B_{\mu M} \dot{X}^\mu$ and such that $\{Q^M, Q^N\} = f^{MN}{}_P Q^P$.
 - **M0-brane and exotic zero-brane worldline actions follow without orbit term.**
-

Thank you for your attention!