

Neutrino electromagnetic interactions: <u>A window to new physics</u>

24th Hellenic School and Workshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity

Workshop on the Standard Model and Beyond 01/09/2024 - Alexander Studenikin Moscow State University

a-studenik@yandex.ru

田田

Within the Programme # 2 of National Centre In neutrino electromagnetic properties in neutrino pr

Supported by Russian Science Four

tematics "Effects of reaction in matter' 24-12-00084

Outline



from laboratory experiments

effects of electromagnetic V interactions in astrophysics

) astrophysical probes of electromagnetic $oldsymbol{
u}$

new effects in v oscillations related to

electromagnetic \boldsymbol{v} interactions

 \dots new phenomena in γ spin (flavor) oscillations in moving and polarized mater and magnetic field of interest for astrophysical applications \dots

 conclusions - most recent constraints on v emp
 SATURNE tritium neutrino experiment first observation of coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering (CEVAS) and search for v magnetic moment

REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS, VOLUME 87, APRIL-JUNE 2015

REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS, VOLUME 87, APRIL–JUNE 2015

Neutrino electromagnetic interactions: A window to new physics

INFN, Torino Section, Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

Moscow State University and Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,



Overview of neutrino electromagnetic properties (the theory, studied among neutrino electromagnetic properties (the theory, ties. The effective Lagrangian, that is in charge of

Physics of Particles and Nuclei 55 (2024) 1444 A massive neutrino can have non-trivial electromagnetic properties [1]. For a recent review on

References

Electromagnetic properties of neutrino 2023,

laboratory experiments and astrophysical probes),

Studenikin.

Studenikin.





Neutrino magnetic moment: a window to new physics A. Studenikin^{*} *

A short review on a neutrino magnetic moment is presented.

Introduction. Experimental and theoretical

tudies of flavour conversion in solar, atmo-

spheric, reactor and accelerator neutrino fluxes give strong evidence of non-zero neutrino mass.

neutrino electromagnetic properties see [2].

The neutrino dipole magnetic moment (along with the electric dipole moment) is the most well

a neutrino coupling to the electromagnetic field,

where the magnetic moments μ_{ij} , in the pres

ence of mixing between different neutrino states

are associated with the neutrino mass eigenstates ν_i . The interplay between magnetic moment

and neutrino mixing effects is important. Note

that electric (transition) moments ϵ_{ij} do also con-

A Dirac neutrino may have non-zero diagonal electric moments in models where CP invariance

is violated. For a Majorana neutrino the diagonal

magnetic and electric moments are zero. There-

fore, neutrino magnetic moments can be used to

distinguish Dirac and Majorana neutrinos (see [3]

Neutrino magnetic moment in a minimal ex-

tension of Standard Model. The explicit evalu-

ation of the one-loop contributions to the Dirac

neutrino magnetic moment in the leading approximation over small parameters $b_i=\frac{m_i^2}{M_W^2}~(m_i$ are

the neutrino masses, i = 1, 2, 3), that however ex-

and also [2] for a detailed discussion).

 $L_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_i \sigma_{\alpha\beta} (\mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} \gamma_5) \psi_j F^{\alpha\beta} + h.c.$ (1)

can be written in the form

tribute to the coupling.

actly accounts for $a_l = \frac{m_l^2}{M_W^2}$ $(l = e, \mu, \tau)$, leads the following result [4],

 $\mu_{ij}^{D} = \frac{eG_F m_i}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_j}{m_i}\right) \sum_{l=e, \mu, \tau} f(a_l) U_{lj} U_{li}^*, \quad (2)$

 $f(a_l) = \frac{3}{4} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 - a_l} - \frac{2a_l}{(1 - a_l)^2} - \frac{2a_l^2 \ln a_l}{(1 - a_l)^3} \right],$

where U_{i_1} is the neutrino mixing matrix. The correspondent result in the absence of mixing was confirmed in [5,6]. A Majorana neutrino may also have transition moment of the value $\mu_{i_1}^{i_1} = 2\mu_{i_2}^{i_1}$ (see [2] for a detailed discussion and references). For the diagonal magnetic moment of the Dirac neutrino, from (2) in the limit $a_i \ll 1$ the result [1] can be obtained

 $\mu_{ii}^D = \frac{3eG_F m_i}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=-e,\mu,\tau} a_l |U_{li}|^2\right).$ (3)

The magnetic moment for hypothetical heavy neutrino was studied in [6]. In particular, it was obtained

$$\mu_{\nu} = \frac{eG_F m_{\nu}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \begin{cases} 3 + \frac{5}{6}b, & m_{\ell} \ll m_{\nu} \ll M_W, \\ 1, & m_{\ell} \ll M_W \ll m_{\nu}. \end{cases} (4)$$

Note that the *LEP* data set a limit on number of light neutrinos coupled to Z boson. The numerical value of the Dirac neutrino mag-

netic moment within a minimal extension of the Standard Model, as it follows from (3), is

$\mu_{ii}^D \approx 3.2 \times 10^{-10}$	$10^{-19} \left(\frac{m_i}{1 \text{ eV}} \right)$	μ_B , (5)

This is several orders of magnitude smaller than the present experimental limits if to account for the existed constraints on neutrino masses.

585

review on emp of v ... > 500 citations

Detailed

(published 16 June 2015)

Alexander Studenikin

Carlo Giunti

Dubna, Russia

A review is given of the theory and phenomenology of neutrino electromagnetic interactions, which provide powerful tools to probe the physics beyond the standard model. After a derivation of the general structure of the electromagnetic interactions of Dirac and Majorana neutrinos in the one-photon approximation, the effects of neutrino electromagnetic interactions in terrestrial experiments and in astrophysical environments are discussed. The experimental bounds on neutrino electromagnetic properties are presented and the predictions of theories beyond the standard model are confronted.

Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, PoS (NuFact2021) 402(2022)052

DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.87.531

PACS numbers: 14.60.St, 13.15.+g, 13.35.Hb, 14.60.Lm

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	531
II. Neutrino Masses and Mixing	532
A. Dirac neutrinos	533
B. Majorana neutrinos	533
C. Three-neutrino mixing	534
D. Neutrino oscillations	535
E. Status of three-neutrino mixing	538
F. Sterile neutrinos	540
III. Electromagnetic Form Factors	540
A. Dirac neutrinos	541
B. Majorana neutrinos	545
C. Massless Weyl neutrinos	546
IV. Magnetic and Electric Dipole Moments	547
A. Theoretical predictions for Dirac neutrinos	547
B. Theoretical predictions for Majorana neutrinos	549
C. Neutrino-electron elastic scattering	550
D. Effective magnetic moment	551
E. Experimental limits	553
F. Theoretical considerations	554

10, 14.00.2m	*e-mail: studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru the	existed constraints on neutri
V. Radiative Decay	0920-5632/5 - see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.	556
A. Radiative c	lecay	556
B. Radiative d	lecay in matter	559
C. Cherenkov	radiation	560
D. Plasmon de	ecay into a neutrino-antineutrino	pair 561
E. Spin light	ne d e la constante de la constante de constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante	562
VI. Interactions with Electromagnetic Fields		
A. Effective p	otential	564
B. Spin-flavor	precession	565
C. Magnetic n	noment in a strong magnetic field	1 571
D. Beta decay	of the neutron in a magnetic field	ld 573
E. Neutrino pa	air production by an electron	574
F. Neutrino pa	ur production by a strong magnet	tic field 575
G. Energy qua	antization in rotating media	576
VII. Charge and Anapole Form Factors		
A. Neutrino el	lectric charge	578
B. Neutrino cl	harge radius	580
C. Neutrino an	napole moment	583
VIII. Summary and Perspectives		
Acknowledgments	-	585

... problem and puzzle ... v electromagnetic properties up to now nothing has been seen ... in spite of reasonable efforts ...

results of terrestrial lab experiments
 on M, (and V EM properties in general)

 as well as data from astrophysics and cosmology

are in agreement with \mathbf{v} EM properties

"ZERO"

... However, in course of recent development of knowledge on \mathbf{V} mixing and oscillations,

... Why \mathcal{V} electromagnetic properties are important

...Why \mathbf{v} em properties

to new physics ?

 $m_{ij} \neq 0$

... How does it all relate to ${\bf v}$ oscillations ${\bf \zeta}$



Arthur McDonald

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2015

Takaaki Kajita



«for the discovery of neutrino oscillations, which shows that neutrinos have mass»



in Standard Model • $m_v = 0 !!!$

magnetic moment $M_{,} \neq 0$

In the easiest generalization of SM

then
$$\mu^D_{ii} \sim ~1.8 imes 10^{-19} ~\mu_B$$

many orders of magnitude smaller than present experimental limits:

• $\mu_{\nu} \sim 10^{-11} \mu_B$ reactor V limits GEMMA 2012

•
$$\mu_{\nu} \sim 10^{-11} \div 10^{-12} \mu_B$$
 astrophysical (γ_{solar} , γ_{sn} , DM) limits
Borexino 2017 - XENONnT 2023, LUX-ZEPLIN 2023

• \mathcal{M}_{v} is no less extravagant than possibility of $q_{v} \neq O$

limitations imposed by general principles of any theory are very strict

• $q_{\nu} \leq 3 \times 10^{-21} e$ from neutrality of hydrogen atom

 e_0

 $\bullet~$ slightly weaker constraints are imposed by astrophysics Studenikin, Tokarev, NPB, 2014 $q_{\nu} \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-19}$



Direct neutrino-mass measurement based on 259 days of KATRIN data



XXXI International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics

Milano (Italy) - June 16-22, 2024

Alexey Lokhov on behalf of the KATRIN collaboration

> Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany



... PhD 2013 at Department of Theoretical Physics, MSU

Meeting of the MSU √ group Faculty of Physics, Moscow State University January 2019

... a bit of V electromagnetic properties theory ...





EM properties \implies a way to distinguish Dirac and Majorana \checkmark

In general case matrix element of J_{μ}^{EM} can be considered between different initial $\psi_i(p)$ and final $\psi_j(p')$ states of different masses



V form factors in gauge models

 $\langle \psi_j(p')|J^{EM}_\mu|\psi_i(p)\rangle = \bar{u}_j(p')\Lambda_\mu(q)u_i(p)$

Form Factors at zero momentum transfer $q^2 = 0$ are elements of scattering matrix \longrightarrow in any consistent theoretical model FF in matrix element \qquad gauge independent and finite

...therefore...

FF at $q^2 = 0$ determine static properties of \mathbf{V} that can be probed (measured) in direct interaction with external em fields

This is the case for $f_Q(q^2), f_M(q^2), f_E(q^2)$ in minimally extended SM ($f_A(q^2)$ is an exceptional case)

In non-Abelian gauge models, **FF** at $q^2 \neq 0$ can be not invariant under gauge transformation because (in general) off-shell photon propagator is gauge dependent!

- ... One-photon approximation is not enough to get physical quantity...
- ... FF in matrix element cannot be directly measured in experiment with em field ...
- ... FF can contribute to higher order processes accessible for experimental observation



... a bit more on Velectromagnetic properties theory

(em properties in gauge models)

V em vertex function

The most general study of the massive neutrino vertex function (including electric and magnetic form factors) in arbitrary R. gauge in the context of the SM + SU(2)-singlet Vp accounting for masses of particles in polarization loops

M. Dvornikov, A. Studenikin Applys. Rev. D 63, 07300, 2004, Electric charge and magnetic moment of massive neutrino " JETP 126 (2009), N8,1 "Electromagnetic form factors of a massiv neutrino." magnetic moment charg $\Lambda_{\mu}(q)$ (2)iouv q 8-9-8-X)85 $f_{A}(q^{2})$ f= (q2)ieus anapo momen mon

Direct calculations of complete set of one-loop contributions
to vertex function in minimally extended SM
(for a massive Dirac neutrino)
M.Dvornikov, A.Studenikin
PRD, 2004
... in case CP conservation
•
$$\Lambda_{\mu}(q) = f_Q(q^2), f_M(q^2), f_F(q^2), f_A(q^2)$$

• Electric charge $f_Q(0) = 0$ and is gauge-independent
• Magnetic moment $f_M(0)$ finite and gauge-independent
• Gauge and q_Xq dependence ...

Magnetic moment dependence

 $y = \mu_{y}(m_{y})$ on neutrino mass















Large magnetic moment $\mathcal{M}_{v} = \mathcal{M}_{v} (m_{v}, m_{e}, m_{e})$ In the <u>L-R</u> symmetric models (Sv(2)×SV(2)×V(1)) Ruderman,1978

K.Babu, Sidip Jana, M.Lindner,

"Large neutrino magnetic moments in the light of recent experiments" JHEP 10 (2020) 040

Z.Z.Xing, Y.L.Zhou,

"Enhanced electromagnetic transition dipole moments and radiative decays of massive neutrinos due to the seesaw-induced nonunitary effects"

Phys.Lett.B 715 (2012) 178

Ramsey-Musolf,

Vogel, Wise,

2005

supersymmetry

Voloshin, 1988

"On compatibility of small m_{ν} with large \mathcal{U}_{ν} of neutrino", Sov.J.Nucl. Phys. 48 (1988) 512

Bar, Freire, Zee, 1990

... there may be $SU(2)_{\nu}$ symmetry that forbids **M**, but not \mathcal{M}_{ν}

extra dimensions

 $10^{-15} \mu_B$

model-independent constraint μ , for BSM ($\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$) without fine tuning and under assumption $\delta m_{\nu} \leq 1 \text{ eV}$ Bell, Cirigliano,

considerable enhancement of M_{ν}

to experimentally relevant range

Dirac versus Majorana $\mu_{\nu}^{M} \leq 10^{-14} \mu_{B}$

... A remark on electric charge of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$. Beyond Standard

neutrality Q=Ois attributed to

...General proof:

In SM :

anomaly cancellation constraints

gauge invariance

imposed in SM of electroweak interactions

Model

Foot, Joshi, Lew, Volkas, 1990; Foot, Lew, Volkas, 1993; Babu, Mohapatra, 1989, 1990 Foot, He (1991)

In SM (without ν_R) triangle anomalies cancellation constraints certain relations among particle hypercharges that is enough to fix all Y so that they, and consequently Q, are quantized

is proven also by direct calculation in SM within different gauges and methods

 $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

 $Q = I_3 + rac{1}{2}$ Gell-Mann – Nishijima . .

... Strict requirements for Q quantization may disappear in extensions of standard $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ EW model if ν_R with $Y \neq O$ are included: in the absence of \mathbf{Y} quantization electric charges Q gets dequantized

Bardeen, Gastmans, Lautrup, 1972; Cabral-Rosetti, Bernabeu, Vidal, Zepeda, 2000: Beg, Marciano, Ruderman, 1978; Marciano, Sirlin, 1980; Sakakibara, 1981: Dvornikov, Studenikin, 2004 (for SM in one-loop calculations)

millicharged

 \boldsymbol{v} charge radius and anapole moment
$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\mu}(q) = & f_{Q}(q^{2}) \gamma_{\mu} + f_{M}(q^{2}) i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} - f_{E}(q^{2}) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} \gamma_{5} + f_{A}(q^{2})(q^{2}\gamma_{\mu} - q_{\mu} q) \gamma_{5} \\ & \text{electric} \\ & \text{magnetic} \\ \end{split}$$
Although it is usually assumed that \mathbf{v} are electrically neutral (charge quant. Implies $Q \sim \frac{1}{2}e$), v can be characterized by two ± charge distributions $f_Q(q^2) = f_Q(0) + q^2 \frac{df_Q}{dq^2}(0) + \cdots$, and $f_Q(q^2) \neq 0$ for $q^2 \neq 0$ even for electric charge $f_Q(0) = O$ \mathbf{V} charge radius is introduced as $\langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle = \mathbf{+} 6 \frac{d g_Q}{d q^2}(0)$ for two-component massless left-handed Weyl spinors of SM . it is often claimed $\Lambda^{Q,A}_{\mathrm{SM}\mu}(q) = (\gamma_{\mu}q^2 - q_{\mu}q) \mathbb{f}^{\mathrm{SM}}(q^2)$...to be correct = for SM massless \mathbf{V} Giunti, Studenikin anapole moment Rev.Mod.Phys.2015 $f^{\mathrm{SM}}(q^2) = \tilde{f}_Q(q^2) - f_A(q^2) \xrightarrow[q^2 \to 0]{} \frac{\langle r^2 \rangle}{6} - a$ $a_{\nu} = f_A(q^2) = \frac{1}{6} \langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle$? ? ... in SM charge radius and anapole moment are not defined separately ...

Interpretation of charge radius as an observable is rather delicate issue: $\langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle$ represents a correction to tree-level electroweak scattering amplitude between \checkmark and charged particles, which receives radiative corrections from several diagrams (including \checkmark exchange) to be considered simultaneously \longrightarrow calculated CR is infinite and gauge dependent quantity. For \checkmark with m=O, $\langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle$ and a_{ν} can be defined (finite and gauge independent) from scattering cross section. ??? For massive \checkmark ???

Carlo Giunti, A.S. arXiv:0812.3646

The definition of the neutrino charge radius follows an analogy with the elastic electron scattering off a static spherically symmetric charged distribution of density $\rho(r)$ $(r = |\mathbf{x}|)$, for which the differential cross section is determined [79–81] by the point particle cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}_{|point}$,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}_{|_{point}} |f(q^2)|^2, \tag{90}$$

where the correspondent form factor $f(q^2)$ in the so-called *Breit frame*, in which $q_0 = 0$, can be expressed as

$$f(q^2) = \int \rho(r)e^{i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{x}}d^3x = 4\pi \int dr r^2 \rho(r) \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr},\tag{91}$$

here $q = |\mathbf{q}|$. Thus, one has

$$\frac{df_Q}{dq^2} = \int \rho(r) \frac{qr\cos(qr) - \sin(qr)}{2q^{3/2}r} d^3x.$$
(92)

In the case of small q, we have $\lim_{q^2 \to 0} \frac{qr\cos(qr) - \sin(qr)}{2q^{3/2}r} = -\frac{r^2}{6}$ and

$$f(q^2) = 1 - |\mathbf{q}|^2 \frac{\langle r^2 \rangle}{6} + \dots$$
 (93)

Therefore, the neutrino charge radius (in fact, it is the charge radius squared) is usually defined by

$$\langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle = -6 \frac{df_Q(q^2)}{dq^2}|_{q^2=0}.$$
 (94)

Since the neutrino charge density is not a positively defined quantity, $\langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle$ can be negative.

To obtain **V** electroweak radius as physical (finite, not divergent) quantity

Bernabeu, Papavassiliou, Vidal, 2004

$$e^{-}$$
 e^{-}
 W
 W
 V_i f_i V_i

Contribution of box diagram to

 $\nu_l + l' \rightarrow \nu_l + l'.$

$$\langle r_{\nu_i}^2 \rangle = \frac{G_F}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \Big[3 - 2\log\big(\frac{m_i^2}{m_W^2}\big) \Big] \quad i = e, \mu, \tau$$

$$\langle r_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle = 4 \times 10^{-33} \,\mathrm{cm}^2$$

... contribution to \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{C}

scattering experiments through (not the whole story, off-diagonal charge radius)

$$g_V \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^2\theta_W + \frac{2}{3}m_W^2 \langle r_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle \sin^2\theta_W$$

• ... theoretical predictions and present experimental limits are in agreement within one order of magnitude...



R.L.Workman et al. (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, vol. 2022, no. 8, 083C01

> S. Navas et al. (Particle Data Group Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 030001





however most accessible for experimental studies are charge radii $< r_{,,}^2 >$

Studies of V-C scattering
- most sensitive method for experimental
investigation of
$$\mu_{V}$$

Cross-section:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma}{dT}(\nu + e \rightarrow \nu + e) = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{SM} + \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{\mu_{V}} \\
\text{where the Standard Model contribution} \\
\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{SM} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}m_{e}}{2\pi} \left[(g_{V} + g_{A})^{2} + (g_{V} - g_{A})^{2} \left(1 - \frac{T}{E_{\nu}}\right)^{2} + (g_{A}^{2} - g_{V}^{2}) \frac{m_{e}T}{E_{\nu}^{2}} \right], \\
T \text{ is the electron recoil energy and} \\
\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{\mu_{\nu}} = \frac{\pi\alpha_{em}^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} \left[\frac{1 - T/E_{\nu}}{T} \right] \mu_{\nu}^{2} \\
g_{V} = \begin{cases} 2\sin^{2}\theta_{W} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \nu_{e}, \\ 2\sin^{2}\theta_{W} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \nu_{\mu, \nu_{\tau}}, \end{cases} g_{A} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \nu_{e}, \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \nu_{\mu, \nu_{\tau}} & g_{A} \rightarrow -g_{A} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

• to incorporate charge radius: $g_{V} \rightarrow g_{V} + \frac{2}{3}M_{W}^{2}\langle r^{2}\rangle \sin^{2}\theta_{W} \end{cases}$



Калининской атомной станции (Удомля, Тверская область)

NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.

GEMMA (2005 - 2012 - running) Germanium Experiment for Measurement of Magnetic Moment of Antineutrino

JINR (Dubna) + ITEP (Moscow) at Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant






K.Kouzakov, A.Studenikin

- Magnetic neutrino scattering on atomic electrons revisited, Phys.Lett. B 105 (2011) 061801,
- Electromagnetic neutrino-atom collisions: The role of electron binding, Nucl.Phys. (Proc.Suppl.) 217 (2011) 353

K.Kouzakov, A.Studenikin, M.Voloshin

- Neutrino electromagnetic properties and new bounds on neutrino magnetic moments, J.Phys.: Conf.Ser. 375 (2012) 042045
- Neutrino-impact ionization of atoms in search for neutrino magnetic moment, Phys.Rev.D 83 (2011) 113001
- On neutrino-atom scattering in searches for neutrino magnetic Moments, Nucl.Phys.B (Proc.Supp.) 2011 (Proc. of Neutrino 2010 Conf.)
- Testing neutrino magnetic moment in ionization of atoms by neutrino impact, JETP Lett. 93 (2011) 699
 M.Voloshin
- Neutrino scattering on atomic electrons in search for neutrino magnetic moment, Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 201801

No important effect of Atomic lonization on cross section in *M*, experiments once all possible final electronic states accounted for

... free electron approximation ...

M.Voloshin, 23 Aug 2010; K.Kouzakov, A.Studenikin, 26 Nov 2010; H.Wong et al, arXiv: 1001.2074V3, 28 Nov 2010

> K. Kouzakov, A. Studenikin, "Theory of neutrino-atom collisions: the history, present status, and BSM physics",

in: Special issue "Through Neutrino Eyes: The Search for New Physics", Adv. in High Energy Phys. 2014 (2014) 569409 (37pp)

editors: J. Bernabeu, G. Fogli, A. McDonald, K. Nishikawa





Limiting the effective magnetic moment of solar neutrinos with the Borexino detector

2017

Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics

Livia Ludhova on behalf of the Borexino collaboration

TAUP

IKP-2 FZ Jülich, RWTH Aachen, and JARA Institute, Germany





JÜLICH

FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM



BOREXINO Collaboration (2017) NMM results from Phase 2



Data selection:

Fiducial volume: R < 3.021 m, |z| < 1.67 mMuon, ²¹⁴Bi-²¹⁴Po, and noise suppression **Free fit parameters: solar-**v (pp, ⁷Be) and backgrounds (⁸⁵Kr,²¹⁰Po, ²¹⁰Bi, ¹¹C, external bgr.), **response parameters** (light yield, ²¹⁰Po position and width, ¹¹C edge (2 x 511 keV), 2 energy resolution parameters) **Constrained parameters:** ¹⁴C, pile up Fixed parameters: pep-, CNO-, ⁸B-v rates Systematics: treatment of pile-up, energy estimators, pep and CNO constraints with LZ and HZ SSM

> Without radiochemical constraint $\mu_{\rm eff} < 4.0 \ge 10^{-11} \mu_{\rm B} (90\% \, {\rm C.L.})$ With radiochemical constraint $\mu_{\rm eff} < 2.6 \ge 10^{-11} \mu_{\rm B} (90\% \, {\rm C.L.})$



μ_{eff} < **2.8 x10**⁻¹¹μ_B (90% C.L.)





Livia Ludhova: Limiting the effective magnetic moment of solar neutrinos with the Borexino detector

Effective v magnetic moment in experiments



Implications of μ limits from different experiments (reactor, solar ⁸B and ⁷Be) are different.

... comprehensive analysis of v-e scattering with account for v mixing and oscillations ...

PHYSICAL REVIEW D **95,** 055013 (2017)

Electromagnetic properties of massive neutrinos in low-energy elastic neutrino-electron scattering

Konstantin A. Kouzakov

Department of Nuclear Physics and Quantum Theory of Collisions, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

Alexander I. Studenikin[†]

Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia and Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia (Received 11 February 2017; published 14 March 2017)

A thorough account of electromagnetic interactions of massive neutrinos in the theoretical formulation of low-energy elastic neutrino-electron scattering is given. The formalism of neutrino charge, magnetic, electric, and anapole form factors defined as matrices in the mass basis is employed under the assumption of three-neutrino mixing. The flavor change of neutrinos traveling from the source to the detector is taken into account and the role of the source-detector distance is inspected. The effects of neutrino flavortransition millicharges and charge radii in the scattering experiments are pointed out.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.055013



$e^{-i(\delta m_{kk'}^2/2E_{\nu})L} = 1$ • Short-baselin case $L \ll L_{kk'} = 2E_{\nu}/|\delta m_{kk'}^2|$ - $\mathcal{A}_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{\ell'}}(L, E_{\nu}) \mathcal{A}^*_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{s''}}(L, E_{\nu}) = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{\ell\ell''}$ $P_{\nu_\ell \to \nu_e}(L, E_\nu) = \delta_{\ell e}$ effect of \mathbf{V} flavor change is insignificant $(\nu_{\ell}(L))$ is as in the source) $C_{1} = (g_{V} + \delta_{\ell e} + \tilde{Q}_{\ell \ell})^{2} + \sum (1 - \delta_{\ell' \ell}) \left| \tilde{Q}_{\ell' \ell} \right|^{2}$ $C_2 = (q_A + \delta_{\ell e})^2$ $C_3 = (g_V + \delta_{\ell e})(g_A + \delta_{\ell e}) + (g_A + \delta_{\ell e})Q_{\ell \ell}$ weak-electromagnetic interference term contains only flavour-diagonal millicharges and charge radii Effective magnetic moment $|\mu_{\nu}(L, E_{\nu})|^{2} = \sum \sum U_{\ell k}^{*} U_{\ell k'}(\mu_{\nu})_{jk}(\mu_{\nu})_{jk'}^{*} = \sum |(\mu_{\nu})_{\ell'\ell}|^{2}$ where

 $(\mu_{\nu})_{\ell'\ell} = \sum_{j,k=1} U_{\ell k}^* U_{\ell' j}(\mu_{\nu})_{jk}$ is the effective magnetic moment in flavor basis • for GEMMA experiment ... •



$$C_{1} = g_{V}^{2} + 2g_{V}P_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{e}} + P_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{e}} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} |U_{\ell k}|^{2} \left| \tilde{Q}_{j k} \right|^{2} + 2g_{V} \sum_{j=1}^{3} |U_{\ell j}|^{2} \tilde{Q}_{j j} + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^{3} |U_{\ell k}|^{2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ U_{e j} U_{e k}^{*} \tilde{Q}_{j k} \right\}$$

$$C_{2} = g_{A}^{2} + 2g_{A}P_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{e}} + P_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{e}}$$

$$C_{3} = g_{V}g_{A} + (g_{V} + g_{A} + 1)P_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{e}} + g_{A} \sum_{j=1}^{3} |U_{\ell j}|^{2} \tilde{Q}_{j j} + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^{3} |U_{\ell k}|^{2} U_{e j} U_{e k}^{*} \tilde{Q}_{j k}$$
where the flavour transition probability $P_{\nu_{\ell} \to \nu_{e}} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} |U_{\ell k}|^{2} |U_{e k}|^{2}$
does not depend on source-detector distance and \mathcal{V} energy

• Effective magnetic moment $|\mu_{\nu}(L, E_{\nu})|^2 = \sum_{j,k=1} |U_{\ell k}|^2 |(\mu_{\nu})_{jk}|^2$ is independent of L and E

• for Borexino experiment ... •

Experimental limits for different effective M,

Method	Experiment	Limit	CL	Reference
	Krasnoyarsk	$\mu_{\nu_e} < 2.4 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Vidyakin et al. (1992)
Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ - e^-	Rovno	$\mu_{\nu_e} < 1.9 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	95%	Derbin et al. (1993)
	MUNU	$\mu_{\nu_e} < 0.9 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Daraktchieva et al. (2005)
	TEXONO	$\mathbb{P}_{\nu_e} < 7.4 \times 10^{-11} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Wong <i>et al.</i> (2007)
•	GEMMA	$\mu_{\nu_e} < 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Beda $et al.$ (2012)
Accelerator ν_e - e^-	LAMPF	$\mu_{\nu_e} < 10.8 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Allen <i>et al.</i> (1993)
Accelerator $(\nu_{\mu}, \bar{\nu}_{\mu})$ - e^{-}	BNL-E734	$\mu_{\nu_{\mu}} < 8.5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Ahrens et al. (1990)
	LAMPF	$\mu_{\nu_{\mu}} < 7.4 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Allen $et al.$ (1993)
	LSND	$\mu_{\nu_{\mu}} < 6.8 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Auerbach et al. (2001)
Accelerator $(\nu_{\tau}, \bar{\nu}_{\tau})$ - e^-	DONUT	$\mu_{\nu_{\tau}} < 3.9 \times 10^{-7} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Schwienhorst et al. (2001)
Solar ν_e - e^-	Super-Kamiokande	$\mu_{\rm S}(E_{\nu} \gtrsim 5 {\rm MeV}) < 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	Borexino	$\mu_{\rm S}(E_{\nu} \lesssim 1{\rm MeV}) < 5.4 \times 10^{-11}\mu_{\rm B}$	90%	Arpesella et al. (2008)

C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, Electromagnetic interactions of neutrinos: A <u>window to new physics</u>, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87 (2015) 531

• **new 2017 Borexino PRD:** $\mu_{\nu}^{eff} < 2.8 \cdot 10^{-11} \ \mu_B$ at 90% c.l.

• Particle Data Group, 2014-2022 and 2024





Experimental limits for different effective q

C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, Electromagnetic interactions of neutrinos: a window to new physics, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87 (2015) 531

Limit	Method	Reference
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu_{\tau}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-4} e$	SLAC e^- beam dump	Davidson $et al.$ (1991)
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu_{\tau}} \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-4} e$	BEBC beam dump	Babu <i>et al.</i> (1994)
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu} \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-14} e$	Solar cooling (plasmon decay)	Raffelt (1999a)
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-14} e$	Red giant cooling (plasmon decay)	Raffelt (1999a)
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu_e} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-21} e$	Neutrality of matter •	Raffelt (1999a)
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu_e} \lesssim 3.7 \times 10^{-12} e$	Nuclear reactor	Gninenko $et al.$ (2007)
$ \mathbf{q}_{\nu_e} \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-12} e$	Nuclear reactor	Studenikin (2013)

A. Studenikin: New bounds on neutrino electric millicharge from limits on neutrino magnetic moment, Eur.Phys.Lett. 107 (2014) 2100

... since that C.Patrignani et al (Particle Data Group), The Review of Particle Physics 2016 Chinese Physics C 40 (2016) 100001

charge radii V



Bernabeu, Papavassiliou, Vidal, 2004

... astrophysical bounds ???

... comprehensive analysis of \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{C} scattering...

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 95, 055013 (2017)

Electromagnetic properties of massive neutrinos in low-energy elastic neutrino-electron scattering

Konstantin A. Kouzakov*

Department of Nuclear Physics and Quantum Theory of Collisions, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

Alexander I. Studenikin[†]

Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

and Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia (Received 11 February 2017; published 14 March 2017)

A thorough account of electromagnetic interactions of massive neutrinos in the theoretical formulation of low-energy elastic neutrino-electron scattering is given. The formalism of neutrino charge, magnetic, electric, and anapole form factors defined as matrices in the mass basis is employed under the assumption of three-neutrino mixing. The flavor change of neutrinos traveling from the source to the detector is taken into account and the role of the source-detector distance is inspected. The effects of neutrino flavortransition millicharges and charge radii in the scattering experiments are pointed out.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.055013 ... all experimental constraints on charge radius should be redone

Concluding remarks Kouzakov, Studenikin Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 055013

- cross section of V-e is determined in terms of 3x3 matrices
 of V electromagnetic form factors
- in short-baseline experiments one studies form factors in flavour basis
- long-baseline experiments more convenient to interpret in terms of fundamental form factors in mass basis
 - V millicharge when it is constrained in reactor short-baseline experiments (GEMMA, for instance) should be interpreted as

 $|e_{\nu_e}| = \sqrt{|(e_{\nu})_{ee}|^2 + |(e_{\nu})_{\mu e}|^2 + |(e_{\nu})_{\tau e}|^2}$

• V charge radius in V-*e* elastic scattering can't be considered as a shift $g_V \rightarrow g_V + \frac{2}{3}M_W^2 \langle r^2 \rangle \sin^2 \theta_W$, there are also contributions from flavor-transition charge radii

Generalized ٧ charge

Up to now we have used $\tilde{Q}_{jk} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}{G_F} \left[\frac{(e_\nu)_{jk}}{q^2} + \frac{1}{6} \langle r_\nu^2 \rangle_{jk} \right]$ in mass basis

Finally we have in flavour basis

$$\tilde{Q}_{\ell'\ell} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} U_{\ell'j} U_{\ell k}^* \tilde{Q}_{jk} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}}{G_F} \left[\frac{(e_{\nu})_{\ell'\ell}}{q^2} + \frac{1}{6} \langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle_{\ell'\ell} \right]$$

where

$$(e_{\nu})_{\ell'\ell} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} U_{\ell'j} U_{\ell k}^{*}(e_{\nu})_{jk} \qquad \qquad \langle r_{\nu}^{2} \rangle_{\ell'\ell} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} U_{\ell'j} U_{\ell k}^{*} \langle r_{\nu}^{2} \rangle_{jk}$$
millicharge in V flavour basis charge radius

in V flavour basis

Kouzakov, Studenikin Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 055013



Physical Review D - Highlights 2018 - Editors' Suggestion

Physical Review D - Highlights

Editors' Suggestion

<u>Neutrino charge radii from COHERENT elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering</u> <u>/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.113010</u>

M. Cadeddu, C. Giunti, K. A. Kouzakov, Y. F. Li, A. I. Studenikin, and Y. Y. Zhang Phys. Rev. D **98**, 113010 (2018) – Published 26 December 2018



Using data from the COHERENT experiment, the authors put bounds on neutrino electromagnetic charge radii, including the first bounds on the transition charge radii. These results show promising prospects for current and upcoming neutrino-nucleus scattering experiments. <u>Show Abstract + ()</u>

Particle Data Group, Review of Particle Physics (2018-2024)

29.12.2018

Experimental limits on v charge radius $\langle r_{v}^{2} \rangle$

C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, "Electromagnetic interactions of neutrinos: a window to new physics", Rev. Mod. Phys. 87 (2015) 531

Method	Experiment	Limit (cm ²)	C.L.	Reference
Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ - e^-	Krasnoyarsk TEXONO	$\begin{split} \langle r_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle &< 7.3 \times 10^{-32} \\ -4.2 \times 10^{-32} &< \langle r_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle &< 6.6 \times 10^{-32} \end{split}$	90% 90%	Vidyakin <i>et al.</i> (1992) Deniz <i>et al.</i> (2010) ^a
Accelerator ν_e - e^-	LAMPF LSND	$\begin{array}{l} -7.12 \times 10^{-32} < \langle r_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle < 10.88 \times 10^{-32} \\ -5.94 \times 10^{-32} < \langle r_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle < 8.28 \times 10^{-32} \end{array}$	90% 90%	Allen <i>et al.</i> $(1993)^{a}$ Auerbach <i>et al.</i> $(2001)^{a}$
Accelerator ν_{μ} - e^{-}	BNL-E734 CHARM-II	$\begin{array}{l} -4.22 \times 10^{-32} < \langle r_{\nu_{\mu}}^2 \rangle < 0.48 \times 10^{-32} \\ \langle r_{\nu_{\mu}}^2 \rangle < 1.2 \times 10^{-32} \end{array}$	90% 90%	Ahrens <i>et al.</i> (1990) ^a Vilain <i>et al.</i> (1995) ^a

... updated by the recent constraints (effects of physics Beyond Standard Model)



$$(|\langle r_{\nu_{e\mu}}^2 \rangle|, |\langle r_{\nu_{e\tau}}^2 \rangle|, |\langle r_{\nu_{\mu\tau}}^2 \rangle| < (28, 30, 35) \times 10^{-32} cm^2$$

M.Cadeddu, C. Giunti, K.Kouzakov, Yu-Feng Li, A. Studenikin, Y.Y.Zhang, Neutrino charge radii from COHERENT elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering, Phys.Rev.D 98 (2018) 113010 Electromagnetic \checkmark in astrophycis and bounds on \bigwedge , and 9_{\checkmark}





reactor √e and solar √e fluxes,
 SN 1987A √ burst (all flavours)
 spectral distortion of CMBR

Raffelt 1999 Kolb, Turner 1990 Ressell, Turner 1990



Solar v_s with $\mu_v \sim 3 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ emit 5? per day in 1 Km^3 water detector Grimus & Neufeld, 1993

v radiative decay and Cherenkov radiation in external environments

coherent forward elastic scattering on (electron) background also generates $\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_j + \mathcal{X}$ not suppressed by i GIM D'Olive, Nieves, Pal (1990)



Galtsov, Nikitina (1972) Cherenkov radiation by \checkmark in magnetic field B induces effective \checkmark - \checkmark vertex and modifies \checkmark dispersion relation (no need for BSM)

→ in medium acquire induce q as a consequence of weak interactions Oraevsky, Semikoz, Smorodinsky (1986)

another mechanism of Cherenkov radiation in medium

Sawyer (1992) D'Olive, Nieves, Pal (1996)

Seffect for $m_v = 0$ in SM (without physics BSM)

• other particular cases for V_i → V_j + X in em fields and matter Skobelev (1976) Borisov, Zhukosky, Ternov (1988) Ternov (2016)

New mechanism of electromagnetic radiation





A. Egorov, A. Lobanov, A. Studenikin, Phys.Lett. B 491 (2000) 137 Lobanov, Studenikin, Phys.Lett. B 515 (2001) 94 Phys.Lett. B 564 (2003) 27 Phys.Lett. B 601 (2004) 171 Studenikin, A.Ternov, Phys.Lett. B 608 (2005) 107 A. Grigoriev, Studenikin, Ternov, Phys.Lett. B 622 (2005) 199 Studenikin, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 39 (2006) 6769 J.Phys.A: Math.Theor. 41 (2008) 16402

Grigoriev, A. Lokhov, Studenikin, Ternov, Nuovo Cim. 35 C (2012) 57 Phys.Lett.B 718 (2012) 512

... quasi-classical approach to V spin evolution in external field

New mechanism of electromagnetic radiation





spin evolution in presence of general external fields

M.Dvornikov, A.Studenikin, JHEP 09 (2002) 016

General types non-derivative interaction with external fields

$$-\mathcal{L} = g_s s(x)\bar{\nu}\nu + g_p \pi(x)\bar{\nu}\gamma^5\nu + g_v V^{\mu}(x)\bar{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}\nu + g_a A^{\mu}(x)\bar{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^5\nu + \frac{g_t}{2}T^{\mu\nu}\bar{\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\nu + \frac{g'_t}{2}\Pi^{\mu\nu}\bar{\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\nu,$$

scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial-vector, $s, \pi, V^{\mu} = (V^0, \vec{V}), A^{\mu} = (A^0, \vec{A}),$ tensor and pseudotensor fields: $T_{\mu\nu} = (\vec{a}, \vec{b}), \Pi_{\mu\nu} = (\vec{c}, \vec{d})$

Relativistic equation (quasiclassical) for γ spin vector:

$$\vec{\xi}_{\nu} = 2g_a \left\{ A^0[\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{\beta}] - \frac{m_{\nu}}{E_{\nu}}[\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{A}] - \frac{E_{\nu}}{E_{\nu}+m_{\nu}}(\vec{A}\vec{\beta})[\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{\beta}] \right\} + 2g_t \left\{ [\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{b}] - \frac{E_{\nu}}{E_{\nu}+m_{\nu}}(\vec{\beta}\vec{b})[\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{\beta}] + [\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times [\vec{a} \times \vec{\beta}]] \right\} + + 2ig'_t \left\{ [\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{c}] - \frac{E_{\nu}}{E_{\nu}+m_{\nu}}(\vec{\beta}\vec{c})[\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times \vec{\beta}] - [\vec{\xi}_{\nu} \times [\vec{d} \times \vec{\beta}]] \right\}.$$

Neither S nor π nor V contributes to spin evolution

• Electromagnetic interaction $T_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} = (\vec{E}, \vec{B})$ SM weak interaction $G_{\mu\nu} = (-\vec{P}, \vec{M}) \qquad \vec{M} = \gamma (A^0 \vec{\beta} - \vec{A}) \\ \vec{P} = -\gamma [\vec{\beta} \times \vec{A}],$... quantum theory of

Spin light of neutrino in matter

new mechanism of the electromagnetic process stimulated by the presence of matter, in which neutrino with nonzero magnetic moment emits light A.Lobanov, A.Studenikin, Phys.Lett. B 564 (2003) 27,

 $SL\nu$

Phys.Lett. B 601 (2004) 171

A.S., A.Ternov, Phys.Lett. B 608 (2005) 107
A.Grigoriev, A.S., A.Ternov, Phys.Lett. B 622 (2005) 199
A.S., J.Phys.A: Math.Theor. 41 (2008) 16402
A.S., J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 39 (2006) 6769

A.Grigoriev, A.Lokhov, A.Ternov, A.Studenikin, Phys. Lett. B 718 (2012) 512 JCAP 11 (2017) 024

«method of exact solutions» Interaction of particles in external electromagnetic fields (Furry representation in quantum electrodynamics) Potential of electromagnetic field $e \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{\perp}} e + \gamma$ $A_{\mu}(x) = A^q_{\mu}(x) + A^{ext}_{\mu}(x)$ synchrotron radiation quantized part evolution operator of potential $U_F(t_1, t_2) = Texp\left[-i\int^{z_2} j^{\mu}(x)A^q_{\mu}(x)dx\right]$ charged particles current $j_{\mu}(x) = \frac{e}{2} \overline{[\Psi_F \gamma_{\mu}, \Psi_F]}$ "broad lines" Dirac equation in external classical (nonquantized) field $A^{ext}_{\mu}(x)$ $\left\{\gamma^{\mu}\left(i\partial_{\mu} - eA^{ext}_{\mu}(x)\right) - m_{e}\right\}\Psi_{F}(x) = 0$... beyond perturbation series expansion,

strong fields and non linear effects...







...«method of exact solutions»... Studenikin

- Quantum treatment of neutrino in background matter J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 6769–6776
- Method of wave equations exact solutions in studies of neutrinos and electron interactions in dense matter J.Phys.A: Math.Theor. 41 (2008) 164047 (20 p)
- Neutrinos and electrons in background matter: A new approach Ann.Fond. de Broglie 31 (2006) 289-316



Neutrino – photon coupling



broad neutrino lines account for interaction with environment

"Spin light of neutrino in matter"



... within the quantum treatment based on method of exact solutions ...

Modified Dirac equation for neutrino in matter



It is supposed that there is a macroscopic amount of electrons in the scale of a neutrino de Broglie wave length. Therefore, **the interaction of a neutrino with the matter (electrons) is coherent.**

L.Chang, R.Zia,'88; J.Panteleone,'91; K.Kiers, N.Weiss, M.Tytgat,'97-'98; P.Manheim,'88; D.Nötzold, G.Raffelt,'88; J.Nieves,'89; V.Oraevsky, V.Semikoz, Ya.Smorodinsky,89; W.Naxton, W-M.Zhang'91; M.Kachelriess,'98; A.Kusenko, M.Postma,'02. A.Studenikin, A.Ternov, hep-ph/0410297; Phys.Lett.B 608 (2005) 107

This is the most general equation of motion of a neutrino in which the effective potential accounts for both the **charged** and **neutral**-**current** interactions with the background matter and also for the possible effects of the matter **motion** and **polarization**.

Quantum theory of spin light of neutrino $SL\nu$



Quantum treatment of *spin light of neutrino* in matter showns that this process originates from the two subdivided phenomena:

the shift of the neutrino energy levels in the presence of the background matter, which is different for the two opposite neutrino helicity states,

$$E = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 \left(1 - s\alpha \frac{m}{p}\right)^2 + m^2} + \alpha m$$
$$s = \pm 1$$

the radiation of the photon in the process of the neutrino transition from the "excited" helicity state to the low-lying helicity state in matter

A.Studenikin, A.Ternov, Phys.Lett.B 608 (2005) 107;

A.Grigoriev, A.Studenikin, A.Ternov,

Phys.Lett.B 622 (2005) 199; Grav. & Cosm. 14 (2005) 132;

neutrino-spin self-polarization effect in the matter

A.Lobanov, A.Studenikin, Phys.Lett.B 564 (2003) 27; Phys.Lett.B 601 (2004) 171
Spatial distribution of radiation power



increase of matter density

projector-like distribution

cap-like distribution

It is possible to have
$$\tau = \frac{1}{\Gamma} <<$$
 age of the Universe ?

For ultra-relativistic \checkmark with momentum $p \sim 10^{20} eV$ and magnetic moment $\mu \sim 10^{-10} \mu_B$ in very dense matter $n \sim 10^{40} cm^{-3}$ from $\Box = 4 - 2 - 2 - 2$

p ≫
$$m_{plasmon}$$

also discussed by
A.Kuznetsov,
N.Mikheev,
IJMP A 2007

$$\alpha m_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} G_F n \left(1 + \sin^2 \theta_W \right)$$

A.Lobanov, A.S., PLB 2003; PLB 2004 A.Grigoriev, A.S., PLB 2005 A.Grigoriev, A.S., A.Ternov, PLB 2005 A.Grigories, A.Lokhov, A.S., A.Ternov, PLB 2012

it follows that

 $\Gamma = 4\mu^2 \alpha^2 m_\nu^2 p$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\Gamma} = 1.5 \times 10^{-8} s$$

A.Grigoriev, A.Lokhov, A.Ternov, A.Studenikin The effect of plasmon mass on Spin Light of Neutrino in dense matter Phys.Lett. B 718 (2012) 512-515



Figure 1: 3D representation of the radiation power distribution.



Figure 2: The two-dimensional cut along the symmetry axis. Relative units are used.

4. Conclusions

We developed a detailed evaluation of the spin light of neutrino in matter accounting for effects of the emitted plasmon mass. On the base of the exact solution of the modified Dirac equation for the neutrino wave function in the presence of the background matter the appearance of the threshold for the considered process is confirmed. The obtained exact and explicit threshold condition relation exhibit a rather complicated dependance on the matter density and neutrino mass. The dependance of the rate and power on the neutrino energy, matter density and the angular distribution of the $SL\nu$ is investigated in details. It is shown how the rate and power wash out when the threshold parameter $a = m_{\gamma}^2/4\tilde{n}p$ approaching unity. From the performed detailed analysis it is shown that the $SL\nu$ mechanism is practically insensitive to the emitted plasmon mass for very high densities of matter (even up to $n = 10^{41} cm^{-3}$) for ultra-high energy neutrinos for a wide range of energies starting from E = 1 TeV. This conclusion is of interest for astrophysical applications of $SL\nu$ radiation mechanism in light of the recently reported hints of $1 \div 10$ PeV neutrinos observed by IceCube [17]. ournal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

Spin light of neutrino in astrophysical environments

Alexander Grigoriev, b,c Alexey Lokhov, d Alexander Studenikin a,e,1 and Alexei Ternov c

^aDepartment of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia
^bSkobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia
^cDepartment of Theoretical Physics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141701 Dolgoprudny, Russia
^dInstitute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117312 Moscow, Russia
^eDzhelepov Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia
E-mail: ax.grigoriev@mail.ru, lokhov.alex@gmail.com, studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru, ternov.ai@mipt.ru

Received May 23, 2017 Revised October 16, 2017 Accepted October 31, 2017 Published November 16, 2017 JCAP11 (2017) 024

A.Grigoriev, A.Lokhov, A.Studenikin, A.Ternov, Spin light of neutrino in astrophysical environments. J. Cosm. Astropart. Phys. 11 (2017) 024

SLv in neutron matter of real astrophysical objects [4]

Plasma effects [5]





Figure 2. The allowed range of electron antineutrino energies for the $SL\nu$ in the matter of a neutron star depending on the neutron density. Solid line: the $SL\nu$ process threshold without account for the $\bar{\nu}_e e$ -scattering; dash-dotted line: the $SL\nu$ process threshold with account for the $\bar{\nu}_e$ -e-scattering; dashed line: the threshold for the W boson production. (a) $Y_e = 0.09$; (b) $Y_e = 0.05$. The allowed regions are marked in green.



Neutrino lifetime with respect to the SLv for most optimistic set of parameters:

$$\tau_{SLv} = 10^{-4} - 10^3 s$$
, for $n_b = 10^{41} - 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

The SLv in short Gamma-Ray Bursts (SGRBs)

Factors for best SLv generation efficiency

- · High neutrino energy and density
- · High background neutral matter density
- · Low density of the matter charged component
- · Low temperature of the charged component
- · Considerable extension of the medium

SLv radiation by ultra high-energy neutrino in the diffuse neutrino wind blown during neutron stars merger





Electromagnetic \checkmark in astrophycis and bounds on \bigwedge , and 9_{\checkmark}

Astrophysical bounds on M,



$$|M|^2 = M_{\alpha\beta} p^{\alpha} p^{\beta}, \quad M_{\alpha\beta} = 4\mu^2 (2k_{\alpha}k_{\beta} - 2k^2\epsilon_{\alpha}^*\epsilon_{\beta} - k^2g_{\alpha,\beta}), \quad \epsilon_{\alpha}k^{\alpha} = 0$$

Decay rate $\Gamma_{\gamma \to \nu \bar{\nu}} = \frac{\mu^2}{24\pi} \frac{(\omega^2 - k^2)^2}{\omega} = 0 \text{ in vacuum } \omega = k$ In the classical limit $\sqrt[3]{}^{\bullet}$ like a massive particle with $\omega^2 - k^2 = \omega_{pl}^2$ Energy-loss rate per unit volume $\mu^2 \to \sum_{a,b} \left(|\mu_{a,b}|^2 + |\epsilon_{a,b}|^2 \right)$ distribution function of plasmons

Astrophysical bound on

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{v}} Q_{\mu} = g \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \omega f_{BE} \Gamma_{\gamma \to \nu \bar{\nu}}$$

Energy-loss rate

per unit volume

Π

Magnetic moment plasmon decay enhances the Standard Model photo-neutrino

cooling by photon polarization tensor

more fast star cooling

slightly reducing the core temperature

delay of helium ignition in low-mass red giants

(due to nonstandard V losses) astronomical observable

can be related to <mark>luminosity</mark> of stars before and after helium flash

... in order not to delay helium ignition in an unacceptable way (a significant brightness increase is constraint by observations ...)

... best astrophysical limit on magnetic moment...

$$\mu_{\downarrow} \le 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$$

G.Raffelt, PRL 1990 D+M

$$\mu^2 \to \sum_{a,b} \left(|\mu_{a,b}|^2 + |\epsilon_{a,b}|^2 \right)$$



Astrophysics bounds on ... example 4 ... (also dy SN 1987A provides energy-loss limit on M related to observed duration of V signal and transition moments) ..in magnetic moment scattering $\nu_e^L + e ightarrow \nu_e^R + e$ Dar, Nussinov & Rephaeli, Goldman et al, Notzol, Voloshin, Ayla et al, Balantekin et 1988 due to change of helicity $V_{\mu} \Longrightarrow V_{\mu}$ proto-neutron star formed in core-collapse SN can cool faster since v_{l} are sterile and not trapped in a core like v_{l} for a few sec • escaping $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ will cool the core very efficient and fast (~ 1 s) the observed 5-10 s pulse duration in Kamioka II and IMB is in agreement with the standard model \mathcal{V}_{L} trapping ... $\mu_{\nu}^{D} \sim 10^{-12} \mu_{B}$

... inconsistent with SN1987A observed cooling time

Barbieri, Mahapatra Lattimer, Cooperstein, 988 Raffelt, 1996

Astrophysics bounds on $\mu_{ m s}$

... example 5...





Astrophysical bounds on q_{v}

Constraints on neutrino millicharge from red giants cooling



Delay of helium ignition in low-mass red gians due to nonstandard **V** losses

$$q_{\nu} \le 2 \times 10^{-14} e$$

$$q_{\nu} \le 3 \times 10^{-17} e$$

...to avoid delay of helium ignition in low-mass red giants

Halt, Raffelt, Weiss, PRL1994

- ... absence of anomalous energy-dependent dispersion of SN1987A ♥ signal, most model independent
- ... from "charge neutrality" of neutron...



Grigoriev, Savochkin, Studenikin, Russ. Phys. J. 50 (2007) 845 Studenikin, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 164047 Balantsev, Popov, Studenikin,

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 255301 Balantsev, Studenikin, Tokarev, Phys. Part. Nucl. 43 (2012) 727 Phys. Atom. Nucl. 76 (2013) 489

Studenikin, Tokarev, Nucl. Phys. B 884 (2014) 396





In quasi-classical approach \mathbf{v} quantum states in rotating matter \mathbf{v} motion in circular orbits $R = \int_0^\infty \Psi_L^\dagger \mathbf{r} \, \Psi_L \, d\mathbf{r} = \sqrt{\frac{2N}{|2Gn_n\omega - \epsilon q_0B|}} \quad N=1,2,3 \dots$ due to effective Lorentz force $\mathbf{F}_{eff} = q_{eff} \mathbf{E}_{eff} + q_{eff} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \mathbf{B}_{eff} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \text{J.Phys.A: Math.Theor.} \\ \text{41(2008) 164047} \end{array}$ A. Studenikin, $q_{eff}\mathbf{E}_{eff} = q_m \mathbf{E}_m + q_0 \mathbf{E}$ matter matter rotation density frequency $q_{eff}\mathbf{B}_{eff} = |q_m B_m + q_0 B|\mathbf{e}_z$ where $q_m = -G$, $\mathbf{E}_m = -\nabla n_n$, $\mathbf{B}_m = 2n_n \boldsymbol{\omega}$ matter induced "charge", "electric" field, "magnetic" fields

... we predict: A.Studenikin, I.Tokarev, Nucl.Phys.B (2014)
 E ~ 1 eV
 1) low-energy ∨ are trapped in circular orbits inside rotating neutron stars

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{2N}{Gn\omega}} \, \boldsymbol{\swarrow} \, R_{NS} = 10 \, km$$



2) rotating neutron stars as filters for low-energy relic V? $T_{\nu} \sim 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$

• Millicharged \mathcal{V} as star rotation engine

 Single V generates feedback force with projection on rotation plane • $F = (q_0 B + 2Gn_n \omega) \sin \theta$ $\Omega = \omega_m + \omega_c$ single 💙 torque $\omega_m = \frac{2Gn_n}{p_0 + Gn_n}\omega$ • $M_0(t) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2(t)\Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta}{4}} Fr(t) \sin \theta$ Wc $\omega_c = \frac{q_0 B}{p_0 + G n_n} \checkmark$ total N, torque $M(t) = \frac{N_{\nu}}{4\pi} \int M_0(t) \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi$ ω 0 Should effect initial star rotation (shift of star angular velocity) A.Studenikin, $\left| \bigtriangleup \omega \right| = \frac{5N_{\nu}}{6M_{c}} (q_0 B + 2Gn_n \omega_0) \right| \bigtriangleup \omega = \omega - \frac{\omega_0}{\dots}$ I.Tokarev. Nucl.Phys.B (2014)

• vStar Turning mechanism (vST)

Studenikin, Tokarev, Nucl. Phys. B 884 (2014) 396

Escaping millicharged Vs move on curved orbits inside magnetized rotating star and feedback of effective Lorentz force should effect initial star rotation

• New astrophysical constraint on γ millicharge

$$\frac{|\triangle \omega|}{\omega_0} = 7.6\varepsilon \times 10^{18} \left(\frac{P_0}{10 \text{ s}}\right) \left(\frac{N_\nu}{10^{58}}\right) \left(\frac{1.4M_\odot}{M_S}\right) \left(\frac{B}{10^{14}G}\right)$$

$$|\triangle \omega| < \omega_0 \qquad \dots \text{to avoid contradiction of } \text{ST impact with observational data on pulsars} \dots$$

$$q_0 < 1.3 \times 10^{-19} e_0 \qquad \dots \text{best astrophysical bound} \dots$$

$$= \underbrace{\text{Main steps in V oscillations}}_{\text{Main steps in V oscillations}} 67 \text{ years!}_{\text{early history of}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Wac}}{=} \underbrace{\overline{V}_{e}}_{e}, \underbrace{B. Pontecorvo, 1957}_{early history of}}_{\text{S. Pontecorvo, 1957}}, \underbrace{V oscillations}_{\text{V oscillations}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Wac}}{=} \underbrace{V_{\mu}}_{\mu}, \underbrace{S. Sakata, 1962}_{S. Sakata, 1962}, \underbrace{L. Wolfenstein, 1978}_{S. Sakata, 1962}, \underbrace{L. Wolfenstein, 1978}_{S. Mikheev}, \underbrace{A. Smirrhov, 1985}_{NSW-effect, Solution for V_{o}-problem}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{MSW}-effect, Solution for V_{o}-problem}{\text{MSW}-effect, Solution for V_{o}-problem}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Bruno Pontecorvo}_{1913-1993}, \underbrace{L. Wolfenstein, 1978}_{L. Wolfenstein, 1978}, \underbrace{L. Wolfenstein, 1978}_{S. Mikheev}, \underbrace{A. Smirrhov, 1985}_{V, 0}, \underbrace{L. Wolfenstein, 1978}_{I. Okun, 1986}, \underbrace{V_{o}}_{V_{o}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{MSW}-effect}{=} \underbrace{V_{e}}_{e}, \underbrace{M. Voloshin, M. Vysotsky}_{L. Okun, 1986}, \underbrace{V_{o}}_{V_{o}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Only in B_{I}}_{And}}_{and}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{matter at rest}}{and}$$



Bruno Pontecorvo, **«Mesonium and anti-mesonium»,** Sov.Phys.JETP 6 (1957) 429 Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 33 (1957) 549-551:

«It was assumed above that there exists a 15 pytho TTOHMEROphen conservation law for the neutrino charge, according to which a neutrino cannot change then into an antineutrino in any approximation. This law has not yet been established; evidently it mixing has been merely shown that the neutrino and In vacuum antineutrino are not identical particles. If the two-component neutrino theory should turn out to be incorrect ... and if the conservation law of neutrino charge would not apply, then in principle neutrino antineutrino transitions could take place in vacuo»



15 pytho TTOHMEROPH

 Staff member at Faculty of Physics of Moscow State University, 1966 - 1986



Bruno Pontecorvo, «Inverse β processes and nonconcervation of leptonic charge», JINR Preprint P-95, Dubna, 1957, 3 pages : 67 years of mixing and oscillations

Neutrinos in vacuum can transform themselves into antineutrino and vice versa. This means that neutrino and antineutrino are particle mixtures... So, for example, a beam of neutral leptons from a reactor which at first consists mainly of antineutrinos will change its composition and at a certain distance R from the reactor will be composed of neutrino and antineutrino in equal quantities».



New developments in γ spin and flavour oscillation





generation of \mathbf{v} spin (flavour) oscillations by interaction with transversal matter current P.Pustoshny, Studenikin, Neutrino spin and spin-flavour oscillations in transversal matter currents with standard and non-standard interactions Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 113009

Studenikin, Neutrino in electromagnetic fields and moving matter Phys.Atom.Nucl. 67 (2004) 993-1002

inherent interplay of ${oldsymbol {\mathcal V}}$ spin and flavour oscillations in ${f B}$

A. Popov, Studenikin, Neutrino eigenstates and flavour, spin and spin-flavor oscillations in a constant magnetic field Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 144



A. Studenikin, Neutrino in electromagnetic fields and moving matter, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 67 (2004) 993-1002

P. Pustoshny, A. Studenikin,

Neutrino spin and spin-flavour oscillations in transversal matter currents with standard and non-standard interactions, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 113009 Physics of Atomic Nuclei, Vol. 67, No. 5, 2004, pp. 993–1002. Translated from Yadernaya Fizika, Vol. 67, No. 5, 2004, pp. 1014–1024. Original Russian Text Copyright © 2004 by Studenikin.

ELEMENTARY PARTICLES AND FIELDS Theory Phys.Atom.Nucl. 67 (2004) 993-1002 Neutrino in Electromagnetic Fields and Moving Media

A. I. Studenikin^{*}

Moscow State University, Vorob'evy gory, Moscow, 119899 Russia Received March 26, 2003; in final form, August 12, 2003

The possible emergence of neutrino-spin oscillations (for example, $\nu_{eL} \leftrightarrow \nu_{eR}$) owing to neutrino interaction with matter under the condition that there exists a nonzero transverse current component or matter polarization (that is, $\mathbf{M}_{0\perp} \neq 0$) is the most important new effect that follows from the investigation of neutrino-spin oscillations in Section 4. So far, it has been assumed that neutrino-spin oscillations may arise only in the case where there exists a nonzero transverse magnetic field in the neutrino rest trame.

STUDENIKIN PHYSICS OF ATOMIC NUCLEI Vol. 67 No. 5 2004



... the effect of \mathbf{V} helicity conversions and oscillations induced by transversal matter currents has been confirmed in studies of \mathbf{V} propagation in astrophysical media:

- J. Serreau and C. Volpe, Neutrino-antineutrino correlations in dense anisotropic media, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 125040
- V. Ciriglianoa, G. M. Fuller, and A. Vlasenko, A new spin on neutrino quantum kinetics Phys. Lett. B747 (2015) 27
- A. Kartavtsev, G. Raffelt, and H. Vogel,
 Neutrino propagation in media: flavor-, helicity-, and pair correlations, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 125020...

Neutrino spin (spin-flavour) oscillations in transversal matter currents ... quantum treatment ... transversal • ${oldsymbol {\mathcal V}}$ spin evolution effective Hamiltonian in moving matter ${oldsymbol {\mathcal V}}$ and + longitudinal currents • two flavor ${m V}$ with two helicities: ${m u}_f=(u_e^+, u_e^-, u_\mu^+, u_\mu^-)^T$ \mathbf{V} interaction with matter composed of neutrons: $\mathbf{n} = \frac{n_0}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \begin{vmatrix} neutron number \\ density in laboratory reference frame$ $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ velocity of matter $L_{\rm int} = -f^{\mu} \sum \bar{\nu}_l(x) \gamma_{\mu} \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} \nu_l(x) = -f^{\mu} \sum \bar{\nu}_i(x) \gamma_{\mu} \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} \nu_i(x) \begin{vmatrix} l = e, \ or \ \mu \\ i = 1, \ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ $f^{\mu} = -\frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}}j_n^{\mu}$ $egin{aligned} u_e^\pm &= u_1^\pm\cos heta + u_2^\pm\sin heta, \ u_\mu^\pm &= u_1^\pm\sin heta + u_2^\pm\cos heta \end{aligned}$ V flavour and mass states $j_{n}^{\mu} = n(1, \mathbf{v})$ P. Pustoshny, A. Studenikin, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 113009

(2 flavours x 2 helicities) evolution equation Standard Model Non-Standard Interactions Resonant amplification of \mathbf{v} oscillations: • $\nu_e^L \Leftarrow (j_\perp) \Rightarrow \nu_e^R$ by longitudinal matter current • $\nu_e^L \Leftarrow (j_\perp) \Rightarrow \nu_e^R$ by longitudinal $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ • $\nu_e^L \Leftarrow (j_\perp) \Rightarrow \nu_u^R$ by matter-at-rest effect

• $\nu_e^L \Leftarrow (j_{\perp}^{NSI}) \Rightarrow \nu_{\mu}^R$ by matter-at-rest effect P. Pustoshny, A. Studenikin, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 113009



Resonance amplification of
spin-flavor oscillations
(in the absence of j.)
Criterion – oscillations are important:

$$\begin{split}
 & \mu_e^L \leftarrow (j_\perp, B_\perp) \Rightarrow \nu_\mu^R \\
 & \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_\perp + \mathbf{b}_\parallel \to \mathbf{0} \\
\hline \mathbf{Criterion - oscillations are important:} \qquad & \sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} = \frac{E_{\text{eff}}^2}{E_{\text{eff}}^2 + \Delta_{\text{eff}}^2} \ge \frac{1}{2} \\
\hline E_{eff} = \left| \mu_{e\mu} B_\perp + \left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma} \right)_{e\mu} \widetilde{G} n v_\perp \right| \ge \left| \Delta M - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_{11}}{\gamma_{11}} + \frac{\mu_{22}}{\gamma_{22}} \right) B_\parallel - \widetilde{G} n(1 - \boldsymbol{v}\beta) \right| \\
 & \text{neglecting } \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_\perp + \mathbf{b}_\parallel \to \mathbf{0} : \qquad & L_{eff} = \frac{\pi}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma} \right)_{e\mu} \widetilde{G} n v_\perp} \qquad & \left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma} \right)_{e\mu} \approx \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\gamma_\nu} \\
\hline \left| \left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma} \right)_{e\mu} \widetilde{G} n v_\perp \right| \ge \left| \Delta M - \widetilde{G} n(1 - \boldsymbol{v}\beta) \right| \\
\hline \Delta m^2 = 7.37 \times 10^{-5} \ eV^2 \qquad & \widetilde{G} = \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} = 0.4 \times 10^{-23} \ eV^{-2} \\
\hline sin^2 \theta = 0.297 \\
p_0^{\nu} = 10^6 \ eV \qquad & \Delta M = 0.75 \times 10^{-11} eV \\
\hline n_0 \sim \frac{\Delta M}{\widetilde{G}} = 10^{12} \ eV^3 \approx 10^{26} \ cm^{-3} \qquad & L_{eff} = \frac{\pi}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma} \right)_{e\mu} \widetilde{G} n v_\perp} \approx 5 \times 10^{11} \ km \\
\hline \end{array}$$

• $L_{eff} \approx 10 \ km$ (within short GRB) if $n_0 \approx 5 \times 10^{36} \ cm^{-3}$ •




A.Popov, A.Studenikin, Eur. Phys.J. C79 (2019) 144

"Neutrino eigenstates and flavour, spin and spin-flavour oscillations in a constant magnetic field"



Consider two flavour \mathbf{V} with two helicities as superposition of helicity mass states $\nu_{i}^{L(R)}$ Popov, Studenikin, Eur. Phys .J. C79 (2019) 144 $\nu_e^{L(R)} = \nu_1^{L(R)} \cos \theta + \nu_2^{L(R)} \sin \theta$, however, $\nu_i^{L(R)}$ are not stationary states $u_{\mu}^{L(R)} = -\nu_1^{L(R)} \sin \theta + \nu_2^{L(R)} \cos \theta$ in magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = (B_{\perp}, 0, B_{\parallel})$ $\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$ • Dirac equation $|(\gamma_{\mu}p^{\mu} - m_i - \mu_i \Sigma B)\nu_i^s(p) = 0|$ in a constant β $\hat{H}_i \nu_i^s = E \nu_i^s \quad \hat{H}_i = \gamma_0 \gamma \boldsymbol{p} + \mu_i \gamma_0 \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{B} + m_i \gamma_0 \left(s = \pm 1 \right) \quad \mu_{ij} (i \neq j) = 0 \quad \bullet$ $oldsymbol{V}$ spin operator that commutes with \hat{H}_i : "bra-ket" products $\langle
u^s_i |
u^{s'}_k
angle = \delta_{ik} \delta_{ss'}$ ullet• $\hat{S}_i = \frac{1}{N} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{B} - \frac{i}{m_i} \gamma_0 \gamma_5 [\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \times \boldsymbol{p}] \boldsymbol{B} \right]$ $\hat{S}_i |\nu_i^s\rangle = s |\nu_i^s\rangle, s = \pm 1$ $\frac{1}{N} = \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{m_i^2 \boldsymbol{B}^2 + \boldsymbol{p}^2 B_\perp^2}}$ $E_i^s = \sqrt{m_i^2 + p^2 + \mu_i^2 \mathbf{B}^2 + 2\mu_i s \sqrt{m_i^2 \mathbf{B}^2 + p^2 B_\perp^2}}$ •V energy spectrum

Probabilities of ν oscillations (flavour, spin and spin-flavour)

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{\nu_{e}^{L}\leftrightarrow\nu_{\mu}^{L}} \quad P_{\nu_{e}^{L}\rightarrow\nu_{\mu}^{L}}(t) = \left|\langle\nu_{\mu}^{L}|\nu_{e}^{L}(t)\rangle\right|^{2} \qquad \mu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{1}\pm\mu_{2}) \begin{array}{l} \underset{\text{of \forall mass states}$}{\text{magnetic moments}} \\ P_{\nu_{e}^{L}\rightarrow\nu_{\mu}^{L}}(t) = \sin^{2}2\theta \Big\{\cos\left(\mu_{1}B_{\perp}t\right)\cos\left(\mu_{2}B_{\perp}t\right)\sin^{2}\frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4p}t + \\ flavour \\ +\sin^{2}\left(\mu_{+}B_{\perp}t\right)\sin^{2}(\mu_{-}B_{\perp}t)\Big\} \end{array}$$

$$P_{\nu_{e}^{L} \rightarrow \nu_{e}^{R}} = \left\{ \sin \left(\mu_{+}B_{\perp}t\right) \cos \left(\mu_{-}B_{\perp}t\right) + \cos 2\theta \sin \left(\mu_{-}B_{\perp}t\right) \cos \left(\mu_{+}B_{\perp}t\right) \right\}^{2}$$

$$spin - \sin^{2} 2\theta \sin \left(\mu_{1}B_{\perp}t\right) \sin \left(\mu_{2}B_{\perp}t\right) \sin^{2} \frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4p} t.$$

$$P_{\nu_{e}^{L} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}^{R}}(t) = \sin^{2} 2\theta \left\{ \sin^{2} \mu_{-}B_{\perp}t \cos^{2} \left(\mu_{+}B_{\perp}t\right) + \frac{(1 \text{ interplay of oscillations on vacuum } \omega_{vac} = \frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4p} }{\ln m \text{ and } \omega_{vac}} + \sin \left(\mu_{1}B_{\perp}t\right) \sin \left(\mu_{2}B_{\perp}t\right) \sin^{2} \frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4p} t \right\}$$

$$n \text{ magnetic } \omega_{B} = \mu B_{\perp} \text{ frequencies}$$
A.Popov, A.S., Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 144







• For completeness: v survival
$$\nu_e^L \leftrightarrow \nu_e^L$$
 probability
... depends on \mathcal{M} , and \mathbb{B}
 $P_{\nu_e^L \to \nu_e^L}(t) = \left\{ \cos(\mu_+ B_\perp t) \cos(\mu_- B_\perp t) - \cos 2\theta \sin(\mu_+ B_\perp t) \sin(\mu_- B_\perp t) \right\}^2 - \sin^2 2\theta \cos(\mu_1 B_\perp t) \cos(\mu_2 B_\perp t) \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m^2}{4p} t$
 $\int \sum_{\mu_e^L \to \nu_\mu^L} of all probabilities (as it should be...):$
 $P_{\nu_e^L \to \nu_\mu^L} + P_{\nu_e^L \to \nu_e^R} + P_{\nu_e^L \to \nu_\mu^R} + P_{\nu_e^L \to \nu_e^L} = 1$
A.Popov, A.S., Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 144
the discovered correspondence between flavour and spin oscillations in \mathbb{B} can be important in studies of ν propagation in astrophysical environments

Manifestations of nonzero Majorana CP-violating phases in oscillations of supernova neutrinos

Artem Popov® Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

Alexander Studenikin®

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia and Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

(Received 14 February 2021; accepted 18 May 2021; published 22 June 2021)

We investigate effects of nonzero Dirac and Majorana *CP*-violating phases on neutrino-antineutrino oscillations in a magnetic field of astrophysical environments. It is shown that in the presence of strong magnetic fields and dense matter, nonzero *CP* phases can induce new resonances in the oscillations channels $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$, $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu$, and $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_\tau$. We also consider all other possible oscillation channels with ν_μ and ν_r in the initial state. The resonances can potentially lead to significant phenomena in neutrino oscillations accessible for observation in experiments. In particular, we show that neutrino-antineutrino oscillations combined with Majorana-type *CP* violation can affect the $\bar{\nu}_e/\nu_e$ ratio for neutrinos coming from the supernovae explosion. This effect is more prominent for the normal neutrino mass ordering. The detection of supernovae neutrino fluxes in the future experiments, such as JUNO, DUNE, and Hyper-Kamiokande, can give an insight into the nature of *CP* violation and, consequently, provides a tool for distinguishing the Dirac or Majorana nature of neutrinos.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.115027

I. INTRODUCTION

CP symmetry implies that the equations of motion of a system remain invariant under the *CP* transformation, that is a combination of charge conjugation (*C*) and parity inversion (*P*). In 1964, with the discovery of the neutral kaon decay [1], it was confirmed that *CP* is not an underlying symmetry of the electroweak interactions theory, thus opening a vast field of research in *CP* violation. Currently, *CP* violation is a topic of intense studies in particle physics that also has important implications in cosmology. In 1967, Sakharov proved that the existence of *CP* violation is a necessary condition for generation of the baryon asymmetry through baryogenesis in the early Universe [2]. A review of possible baryogenesis scenarios can be found in [3].

Today we have solid understanding of CP violation in the quark sector, that appears due to the complex phase

ar.popov@physics.msu.ru

*studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru

in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix parametrization. Its magnitude is expressed by the Jarlskog invariant $\mathcal{J}_{CKM} = (3.18 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$ [4], which seems to be excessively small to engender baryogenesis at the electroweak phase transition scale [3]. However, in addition to experimentally confirmed CP violation in the quark sector, CP violation in the lepton (neutrino) sector hypothetically exists (see [5] for a review). Leptonic CP violation is extremely difficult to observe due to weakness of neutrino interactions. In 2019, a first breakthrough happened when NOvA [6] and T2K [7] collaborations reported constraints on the Dirac CP-violating phase in neutrino oscillations. Hopefully, future gigantic neutrino experiments, such as DUNE [8] and Hyper-Kamiokande [9], also JUNO [10] with detection of the atmospheric neutrinos, will have a good chance significantly improve this results. Note that leptonic CP violation plays an important role in baryogenesis through leptogenesis scenarios [11].

The *CP*-violation pattern in the neutrino sector depends on whether neutrino is a Dirac or Majorana particle. The Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) neutrino mixing matrix in the most common parametrization has the following form

A.Popov, A.Studenikin Phys. Rev. D103 (2021) 115027

... the role of Majorana CP-violating phases in neutrino oscillations

 $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_{e,\mu,\tau}$

in strong **B** and dense matter of supernovae for two mass hierarchies

... Majorana CP phases induce new resonances

... a tool for distinguishing Dirac-Majorana nature of V

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

Neutrino quantum decoherence engendered by neutrino radiative decay

Konstantin Stankevich^{*} Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia

Alexander Studenikin®

Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia and Dzhelepov Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia

(Received 26 November 2019; accepted 6 February 2020; published 5 March 2020)

A new theoretical framework, based on the quantum field theory of open systems applied to neutrinos, has been developed to describe the neutrino evolution in external environments accounting for the effect of the neutrino quantum decoherence. The developed new approach enables one to obtain the explicit expressions of the decoherence and relaxation parameters that account for a particular process, in which the neutrino participates, and also for the characteristics of an external environment and of the neutrino itself, including the neutrino energy. We have used this approach to consider a new mechanism of the neutrino quantum decoherence engendered by the neutrino radiative decay to photons and dark photons in an astrophysical environment. The importance of the performed studies is highlighted by the prospects of the forthcoming new large volume neutrino detectors that will provide new frontier in high-statistics measurements of neutrino fluxes from supernovae.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.056004

I. INTRODUCTION

Half a century ago Gribov and Pontecorvo derived [1] the first analytical expression for the neutrino oscillation probability that has opened a new era in the theoretical and experimental studies of the neutrino oscillation phenomenon. The neutrino oscillation patterns can be modified by neutrino interactions with external environments including electromagnetic fields that can influence neutrinos in the case neutrinos have nonzero electromagnetic properties [2]. The phenomenon of neutrino oscillations can proceed only in the case of the coherent superposition of neutrino mass states. An external environment can modify a neutrino evolution in a way that conditions for the coherent superposition of neutrino mass states are violated. Such a violation is called quantum decoherence of neutrino states and leads to the suppression of flavor neutrino oscillations. It should be noted that the quantum neutrino decoherence differs from the standard neutrino decoherence that appears

^{*}kl.stankevich@physics.msu.ru [†]studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP⁵. due to separation of neutrino wave packets, the effect that is not considered below.

The quantum neutrino decoherence has attracted a growing interest during the last 15 years. Within reasonable amount of the performed studies, the method based on the Lindblad master equation [3,4] for describing neutrino evolution has been used. This approach is usually considered as the most general one that gives a possibility to study neutrino quantum decoherence as a consequence of standard and nonstandard interactions of a neutrino system with an external environment [5–15].

The Lindblad master equation can be written in the following form (see, for instance, [13]):

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\nu}(t)}{\partial t} = -i[H_S, \rho_{\nu}(t)] + D[\rho_{\nu}], \qquad (1)$$

where ρ_{ν} is the density matrix that describes the neutrino evolution, H_S is the Hamiltonian, and the dissipation term (or dissipator) is given by

$$D[
ho_
u(t)] = rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N^2-1} [V_k,
ho_
u V_k^\dagger] + [V_k
ho_
u, V_k^\dagger],$$

where V_k are dissipative operators that arise from interaction between the neutrino system and the external

Stankevich, Studenikin, Neutrino quantum decoherence engendered by neutrino radiative decay Phys.Rev.D 101 (2020) 056004

. V radiative decay as a source of quantum decoherence in extreme astrophycal environments

ino ... observable consequences
 for SN ✓
 ⁽²⁾ (JUNO, DUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande)





 $\mu_{\nu} < 7 \times 10^{-13} \mu_B$

Potentialities of a low-energy detector based on 4 He evaporation to observe atomic effects in coherent neutrino scattering and physics perspectives, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) no.7, 073014



• Initial interest in effect of v spin oscillations in **B** on supernovae v fluxes

 H.Nunokawa, R.Tomas, J. W. F. Valle, Type-II supernovae and neutrino magnetic moment, Astropart.Phys.11 (1999) 317

has recently increased again

(new large-volume v detectors, DUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande and JUNO, open up new possibilities in precise determination of flavour ratios in supernovae v fluxes)

 V.Brdar, A.de Gouvêa, Y.-Y Li, P. Machado, The neutrino magnetic moment portal and supernovae: New constraints and multimessenger opportunities, Phys.Rev. D 107 (2023) 073005

• E.Wang, Resonant spin-flavor precession of sterile neutrinos, JCAP 05 (2024) 056

 S.Jana, Y.Porto, New resonances of supernova neutrinos in magnetic fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 101005





Resonances of Supernova Neutrinos in Twisting Magnetic Fields

Sudip Jana^{1,*} and Yago Porto^{2,†}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany ²Instituto de Física Gleb Wataghin - UNICAMP, 13083-859, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

(Received 28 March 2023; revised 20 June 2023; accepted 14 February 2024; published 5 March 2024)

We investigate the effect of resonant spin conversion of the neutrinos induced by the geometrical phase in a twisting magnetic field. We find that the geometrical phase originating from the rotation of the transverse magnetic field along the neutrino trajectory can trigger a resonant spin conversion of Dirac neutrinos inside the supernova, even if there were no such transitions in the fixed-direction field case. We have shown that, even though resonant spin conversion is too weak to affect solar neutrinos, it could have a remarkable consequence on supernova neutronization barsts where very intense magnetic fields are quite likely. We demonstrate how the flavor composition at Earth can be used as a probe to establish the presence of non-negligible magnetic moments, potentially lown to $10^{-15}\mu_B$ in upcoming neutrino experiments like the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment and the Hyper-Kamickande. Possible implications are analyzed.



The SATURNE Collaboration

RUSSIAN FEDERAL NUCLEAR CENTER

ALL-RUSSIAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS







JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH







The search for coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering and neutrino magnetic moment in Sarov



Matteo Cadeddu (INFN, Cagliari), Francesca Derdei (INFN, Cagliari), Carlo Giunti (INFN, Turin), Konstantin Kouzakov (MSU), Bayarto Lubsandorzhiev (INR RAS), Oleg Moskalev, (VNIIEF, Sarov), Ivan Stepantsov (MSU), Alexander Studenikin (MSU), Vladimir Trofimov (JINR), Maxim Vyalkov (MSU), Arkady Yukhimchuk (VNIIEF, Sarov)





The main goals of the experiment are

- first observation of coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering (CEvAS)
- search for neutrino magnetic moment

using a high-intensity tritium neutrino source: at least **1 kg, possibly up to 4 kg of T**₂

CEvAS vs CEvNS

CEvNS: Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering

predicted by D. Z. Freedman, PRD 9 (1974) 1389;
 V. B. Kopeliovich & L. L. Frankfurt, ZhETF Pis. Red. 19, No. 4 (1974) 236
 observed by D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT Collab.), Science 357 (2017) 1123



Potentialities of a low-energy detector based on ⁴He evaporation to observe atomic effects in coherent neutrino scattering and physics perspectives

 M. Cadeddu,^{1,*} F. Dordei,^{2,*} C. Giunti,^{3,4} K. A. Kouzakov,^{4,8} E. Picciau,^{1,1} and A. I. Studenikin,^{5,6,1}
 ¹Università degli studi di Cagliari and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Cagliari, Complesso Universitario di Monserrato, S.P. per Sextu Km 0.700, 09042 Monserrato (Cagliari), Italy
 ²Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Cagliari, Complesso Universitario di Monserrato, S.P. per Sextu Km 0.700, 09042 Monserrato (Cagliari), Italy
 ³Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Conservato (Cagliari), Italy
 ³Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1. I-10125 Torino, Italy
 ⁴Department of Nuclear Physics and Quantum Theory of Collisions, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
 ⁵Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119901, Russia
 ⁶Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia

(Received 14 July 2019; published 29 October 2019)

We propose an experimental setup to observe coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering ($CE\nu AS$) using electron antineutrinos from tritium decay and a liquid helium target. In this scattering process with the whole atom, that has not been observed so far, the electrons tend to screen the weak charge of the nucleus as seen by the electron antineutrino probe. The interference between the nucleus and the electron cloud produces a sharp dip in the recoil spectrum at atomic recoil energies of about 9 meV, reducing sizably the number of expected events with respect to the coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering case. We estimate that with a 60 g tritium source surrounded by 500 kg of liquid helium in a cylindrical tank, one could observe the existence of CE μ AS processes at 3σ in 5 yr of data taking. Keeping the same amount of helium and the same data-taking period, we test the sensitivity to the Weinberg angle and a possible neutrino magnetic moment for three different scenarios: 60, 160, and 500 g of tritium. In the latter scenario, the Standard Model (SM) value of the Weinberg angle can be measured with a statistical uncertainty of $\sin^2 \vartheta_{W=-0.016}^{\text{SM}=0.015}$. This would represent the lowest-energy measurement of $\sin^2 \vartheta_W$, with the advantage of being not affected by the uncertainties on the neutron form factor of the nucleus as the current lowest-energy determination. Finally, we study the sensitivity of this apparatus to a possible electron neutrino magnetic moment and we find that using 60 g of tritium it is possible to set an upper limit of about $7 \times 10^{-13} \mu_B$ at 90% C.L., that is more than one order of magnitude smaller than the current experimental limit.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.073014

I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CE ν NS) has been recently observed by the COHERENT experiment [1,2], after many decades from its prediction [3–5].

matteo.cadeddu@ca.infn.it francesca.dordei@cern.ch carlo.giunti@to.infn.it kouzakov@gmail.com emmanuele.picciau@ca.infn.it studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³. This observation triggered a lot of attention from the scientific community and unlocked a new and powerful tool to study many and diverse physical phenomena: nuclear physics [6,7], neutrino properties [8–10], physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) [11–17], and electroweak interactions [18,19]. The experimental challenge related to the CE ν NS observation is due to the fact that in order to meet the coherence requirement $qR \ll 1$ [20], where $q = |\vec{q}|$ is the three-momentum transfer and R is the nuclear radius, one has to detect very small nuclear recoil energies E_R , lower than a few keV.

At even lower momentum transfers, such that $qR_{\rm atom} \ll 1$, where $R_{\rm atom}$ is the radius of the target atom including the electron shells, the reaction can be viewed as taking place on the atom as a whole [21]. This effect should be visible for $qR_{\rm atom} \sim 1$, i.e., for momentum



In our paper we have $\operatorname{prop}_{OSEd}^{T_{R}[meV]}$ an experimental setup to observe coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering (CEvAS) using electron antineutrinos from tritium decay and a supefluid ⁴He target.

In this scattering process with the whole atom, that has not been observed so far, the electrons tend to screen the weak charge of the nucleus as seen by the electron antineutrino probe.



2470-0010/2019/100(7)/073014(9)

073014-1

Published by the American Physical Society

supefluid ⁴He target technology (HeRALD) for direct detection of sub-GeV DM has been recently proposed in: S.Hartel et al., Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 9, 092007

He-4 atomic-recoil spectrum with tritium \bar{v}_e

M. Cadeddu, F. Dordei, C. Giunti, K. Kouzakov, E. Picciau, A. Studenikin, PRD 100 (2019) 073014

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm SM}}{dT_R} = \frac{G_F^2 m}{\pi} \left[Z \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\sin^2 \theta_W \right) - \frac{1}{2}N + Z \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^2 \theta_W \right) F_{\rm el}(q^2) \right]^2 \left(1 - \frac{mT_R}{2E_\nu^2} \right) \left(\frac{d\sigma_{\mu\nu}}{dT_R} - \frac{\pi \alpha^2 Z^2}{m_e^2} |\mu_\nu|^2 \left(\frac{1}{T_R} - \frac{1}{E_\nu} \right) [1 - F_{\rm el}(q^2)]^2 \quad \text{with} \quad q^2 = 2mT_R$$



500 kg of helium 60 g of tritium 5 yrs of taking data



Summary and outlook

- The Sarov tritium neutrino experiment aims at
- (i) first observation of coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering to test SM neutrino interactions at unprecedentedly low energies
- (ii) search for neutrino magnetic moment
- A high-intensity tritium neutrino source is being prepared - at least 1 kg, 10 MCi (possibly up to 4 kg, 40 MCi)
- A 1000-L He II detector is being developed (to be ready by 2027) - observation of CEvAS (2032)
- sensitivity to $\mu_{\nu}{\sim}(\textbf{2-4})\textbf{x10}^{\text{-13}}\,\mu_{B}$ (2032)

A 4-kg Si detector is being developed (to be ready by 2026) - sensitivity to $\mu_{\nu} \sim$ (1-1.5)x10⁻¹² μ_{B} (2027)

A 14-kg Srl₂(Eu) detector is being developed (to be ready by 2025) - sensitivity to $\mu_v \sim$ (1.5-2)x10⁻¹² μ_B (2026)



The SATURNE Collaboration



M. Cadeddu, F. Dordei, C. Giunti, K. Kouzakov, E. Picciau, A. Studenikin,

- Potentialities of a low-energy detector based on 4He evaporation to observe atomic effects in coherent neutrino scattering and physics Perspectives, Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 073014
- New process in superfluid 4 He detectors: The coherent elastic neutrino-atom scattering, PoS ICHEP2020 (2021) 211

A.Yukhimchuk, K.Kouzakov, A.Studenikin et al.,

 Physics of hydrogen isotopes, PhysMath J. 1 (2023) p. 5-19, DOI: 10.56304/52949609823010057

Presentations on behalf of SATURNE Coll.

• XXI Lomonosov Conference on Elementary Particle Physics, August 2023, Moscow

- First African Conference on High Energy Physics, October 2023, Rabat, Marocco
- XXII International Seminar on High-Energy Physics "Quarks 2024",

May 2024, Pereslavl Zalessky, Russia

• LXXIV International Conference "Nucleus-2024", July 2024, Dubna

Supported by the Russian Science Foundation project #24-12-00084



XVI International School on **Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics** September 23-27, 2024, Sarov, Russia,



National Centre for **Physics and Mathematics**

http://school.lomcon.ru

toscow State University **Branch and Techno Park Sarov**

C. Giunti (INFN, Turin)

International Advisory Committee

I. Bozovic Jelisavcic (Univ. of Belgrade)

Unified Organizing Committee of Scientific Schools of NCPM D. Bisikalo (NCPM) - Co-chair S. Davydenko (NCPM) - Secretary M. Devvatkin (RFNC-VNIIEF) V. Goloviznin (MSU branch in Sarov) A. Vasiliev (NCPM) V. Il'gisonis (ROSATOM Corp.) A. Kovalishin (RFC "Kurchatov Institute") V. Kveder (Russian Academy of Sciences) S. Nedelko (JINR) O. Olkhov (ROSATOM Corp.) A. Saveliev-Trofimov (MSU branch in Sarov) **B. Sharkov (JINR & VNHEF & NCPM)** A. Sergeev (NCPM) – Chair B. A. Soloviev (Techno Park Sarov) V. Voevodin (MSU branch in Sarov) V. Soloviev (RFNC-VNIIEF) **O. Vorontsova (RFNC-VNIIEF)**

Working Group of Organizing Committee T. Aksentyeva (ROSATOM Corp.) F. Lazarev (MSU) A. Lichkunov (MSU) A. Popov (MSU) A. Purtova (MSU) K. Stankevich (MSU) T. Stavnichaya (Techno Park Sarov) M. Vyalkov (MSU & NCPM)

АКАЛЕМИЯ

НАУК





Organizing Committee at Faculty of Physics of MSU

K. Kouzakov (MSU) - Scientific Secretary A. Popov (MSU) K. Stankevich (MSU) A. Studenikin (MSU) - Chair

for contacts: Alexander Studenikin lomcon@phys.msu.ru Arkady Yukhimchuk arkad@triton.vniief.ru



http://school.lomcon.ru

online participation by request for connection to

<u>studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru</u>

Konstantin Kouzakov (MSU)

on behalf of SATURNE Coll.

"Neutrino interactions with atomic systems and the SATURNE project"

September 27, 2024

Thank you!

Faculty of Physics

Moscow State University



XVII International School on Neutrino Astroparticle Physics Physics and Astrophysics

August 22 - 25, 2025 Under the patronage of V. Sadovnichy Rector of MSU

Organizing Committee

A. Bondar (INP, Novosibirsk) A Enoroy (ICAS) D. Galtsov (MSU) A. Isaev (MSU & UNR) A. Kataev (INR, Moscow) K. KOUZAKOV (MSU & NCPM) Yu. Kudenko (INR, Moscow) E. Lazarev (MSU) A. Lichkunov (MSU) O. Moskalev (VNIIEF & NCPM, Sarov)

D. Naumov (JINR) A. Nikishov (Lebedev Phys. Inst.) A. Popov (MSU) Yu. Popov (MSU) A. Purtova (MSU)

V. Ritus (Lebedev Phys. Inst.) G. Rubtsov (INR, Moscow)

V. Savrin (MSU) V. Shakhov (MSU)

K. Stankevich (MSU)

A. Studenikin (MSU & NCPM, Sarov)

A. Vasiliev (Rosatom & NCPM, Sarov) M. Vyalkov (NCPM & MSU branch in Sarov)

E. Yakushev (JINR)

A. Yukhimchuk (VNIIEF & NCPM, Sarov)

Organizers and sponsors

Faculty of Physics, MSU Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (Dubna) Institute for Nuclear Research (Moscow) Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, MSU Bruno Pontecorvo Laboratory on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (MSU) INTERREGIONAL CENTRE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES

For contacts: Alexander Studenikin, Chairman

Scientific Secretaries: Konstantin Stankevich, Fedor Lazarev, Artem Popov and Anastasia Purtova

e-mail: lomcon@phys.msu.ru

about 200 speakers and 400 participants



Number of participants



... registration will be opened in September 2024 ... http://lomcon.ru



Department of Theoretical Physics. Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia Phone (007-495) 939-16-17 Fax (007-495) 932-88-20 http://www.lomcon.ru

... Backup slides ...

exhibits unexpected properties (puzzles) W. Pauli, 1930 probably 1, + 0 ?



Pauli himself wrote to Baade:

"Today I did something a physicist should never do. I predicted something which will never be observed experimentally..." H. Bethe, R. Peierls,

«The 'neutrino'»

Nature 133 (1934) 532



 «There is no practically possible way of observing the neutrino» … puzzles …

... what about electromagnetic properties of V ?

Coherent elastic γ - nucleous scattering (CE γ NS)

Predicted in 1974 (Freedman)

Observations: COHERNT (2017 – Csl detector, 2020 – Ar detector) Dresden-II reactor (2022 – Ge detector)

constrains on fundamental physics



Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

e' Scattered neutrino

> Received: May 14, 2019 Revised: June 21, 2019 Accepted: July 9, 2019 PUBLISHED: July 17, 2019

> > Ы

N

 \vdash

Probing neutrino transition magnetic moments with coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering

O.G. Miranda,^a D.K. Papoulias,^b M. Tórtola^b and J.W.F. Valle^b

^aDepartamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Apartado Postal 14-740 07000 Mexico, Distrito Federal, Mexico ^bAHEP Group, Institut de Física Corpuscular — CSIC/Universitat de València, Parc Científic de Paterna, C/Catedrático José Beltrán 2, E-46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain E-mail: omr@fis.cinvestav.mx, dipapou@ific.uv.es, mariam@ific.uv.es, valle@ific.uv.es

ABSTRACT: We explore the potential of current and next generation of coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CE_νNS) experiments in probing neutrino electromagnetic interactions. On the basis of a thorough statistical analysis, we determine the sensitivities on each component of the Majorana neutrino transition magnetic moment (TMM), $|A_i|$, that follow from low-energy neutrino-nucleus experiments. We derive the sensitivity to neutrino TMM from the first CE_νNS measurement by the COHERENT experiment, at the Spallation Neutron Source. We also present results for the next phases of COHER-ENT using HPGe, LAr and NaI[T1] detectors and for reactor neutrino experiments such as CONUS, CONNIE, MINER, TEXONO and RED100. The role of the CP violating phases in each case is also briefly discussed. We conclude that future CE_νNS experiments with low-threshold capabilities can improve current TMM limits obtained from Borexino data.

Neutrino, electroweak, and nuclear physics from COHERENT ... with refined quenching factor , Cadeddu, Dordei, Giunti, Li, Zhang, PRD 2020 **COHERENT** data have been used for different purposes:

nuclear neutron distributions Cadeddu, Giunti, Li, Zhang PRL 2018

weak mixing angle Cadeddu & Dordei, PRD 2019 Huang & Chen 2019

V electromagnetic properties Papoulias & Kosmas PRD 2018

non-standard interactions
 Coloma, Gonzalez-Garcia,
 Maltoni, Schwetz PRD 2017
 Liao & Marfatia PLB 2017

Global map of CEvNS experiments



[C. Bonifazi, Neutrino 2022]

CEvAS: Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Atom Scattering

Yu. V. Gaponov and V. N. Tikhonov, Elastic scattering of low energy neutrinos by atomic systems, Yad. Fiz. (USSR) 26 (1977) 594 (in Russian).

Abstract. Elastic scattering of low energy neutrinos by atomic systems is treated. For the *V* variant of weak interaction scattering on the total system (on electrons, protons and neutrons) is coherent; for the *A* variant neutrino scatters coherently on using simple atomic systems. The result for an arbitrary atom is presented. The analysis shows that at neutrino energies ≤ 10 keV a region of coherent optical neutrino phenomena exists where the neutrino elastic scattering by an atom as a whole dominates.

So far there is no corresponding experimental observation. An experimental study of CE ν AS could provide a unique test of the SM neutrino interactions at very low energies.

Schematic representation of detector proposed to observe $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CEVAS}}$ processes



• We consider a detector setup such that the tritium source is surrounded with a cylindrical superfluid-helium tank.

This configuration allows us to maximize the geometrical acceptance, while allowing us to have a top flat surface where helium atoms could evaporate after a recoil.

⁴He volume ~ 1 m³, tritium source activity: 1 kg (10 MCi) with the possibility to increase up to 4 kg (40 MCi)

• The recoil of a helium atom after the scattering with an electron antineutrino coming from the tritium source produces phonons and rotons which, upon arrival at the top surface, cause helium atoms to be released by quantum evaporation. An array of bolometers on the top surface detects the number of helium atoms evaporated. Experimental scheme: tritium source, tank with liquid helium,

dilution refrigerator, passive shielding, bolometer array



He II detector concept to study CEvAS



Tritium neutrino source (1-4 kg, 10-40 MCi)

- Tubular copper elements with TiT₂



Helium II detector (1000 L)

- Liquid He-4 at 40-60 mK
- Array of 1000 TESs (transition edge sensors)
- 1000-channel SQUID readout

Expected results after 5 years of data collection Number of CEvAS events within SM: 60 for 1 kg of T₂ and 200 for 4 kg of T₂ Sensitivity to neutrino magnetic moment: $\mu_{\nu} \sim (2-4) \times 10^{-13} \mu_{B}$ at 90% C.L.

Expected number of CEVAS events for 5 years data taking

$$N_1 = 58,9$$
 (10 MCi), $N_2 = 195,2$ (40 MCi),
... sensitivity to CEVAS of at least 5 σ
test $M_v \sim 3.8 \times 10^{-13} M_B$ (1 kg of tritium)
 $test M_v \sim 2.3 \times 10^{-13} M_B$ (4 kg of tritium)

Important determining factors that ensure success of project are

- many years of experience in working with radioactive tritium at VNIIEF Sarov
- already available infrastructure and personal
- confirmed availability of 1 kg of tritium at the first stage of project (up to 4 kg of tritium at the next stage)


The overburden of ~ 18 m.w.e. stops the soft and hadronic components of cosmic radiation