# Renormalization of Composite Operators & RG flow (In progress ...)

#### Leonidas-Anastasios Karageorgos

National Technical University of Athens

Workshop on Quantum Gravity, Strings and the Swampland Corfu, September 6, 2024





- 2 Renormalization of the theory
- 3 Renormalization of Composite Operators
- Renormalization of Composite Kinetic(K)-Operators
- 5 Constraints on the construction of  $\Theta$  operator

- 2 Renormalization of the theory
- 3 Renormalization of Composite Operators
- 4 Renormalization of Composite Kinetic(K)-Operators
- 5 Constraints on the construction of  $\Theta$  operator

- Spectral index of the CMB  $n_s^{(obs)} = 0.964^1$
- Ising critical exponent  $\eta^{(lattice)} = 0.036$
- $n_s^{(obs)} = 1 \eta^{(lattice)} \longrightarrow \text{Ising Cosmology}^2$

$$n_{\rm s} = 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle \text{ [See Kalogirou's talk]}$$
(1)

- Diagrammatic calculation of  $\langle T_{\mu\nu} T_{\rho\sigma} \rangle$  and consequently of  $\langle \Theta \Theta \rangle$  in the context of  $\lambda \phi^4$  theory for  $d = 4 \epsilon$
- Check of the CS equation of  $\langle \Theta \Theta \rangle$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} + \beta_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \end{bmatrix} \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle = 0$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle = -\beta_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle \underbrace{=}_{\gamma} -\eta \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle$$
(2)

L.Karageorgos (NTUA)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Planck Collaboration et al. Planck 2018 results. IX. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity. 2019. arXiv: 1905.05697 [astro-ph.CO]. URL: https://arXiv.org/abs/1905.05697.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Nikos Irges, Antonis Kalogirou, and Fotis Koutroulis. "Ising Cosmology". In: The European Physical Journal C 83.5 (May 2023). DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11622-8. URL: https://doi.org/10.1140/2Fepjc/2Fs10052-023-11622-8.

#### Questions

 Which is the appropriate definition of Energy-Momentum Tensor (EMT) as an QFT operator? (There exist Improvements<sup>3</sup>)

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = \partial_{\mu}\phi_{0}\partial_{\nu}\phi_{0} + \frac{\lambda_{0}}{4!}g_{\mu\nu}\phi_{0}^{4} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(\partial\phi_{0}\right)^{2}$$
(3)  
$$\Theta^{(0)} = \left(1 - \frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\partial\phi_{0}\right)^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{0}d}{4!}\phi_{0}^{4}$$
(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} &\to \mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \# \left( \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \Box \right) \phi_0^2 \\ \Theta^{(0)} &\to \Theta + (d-1) \# \Box \phi_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5)$$

- **②** Can we define a bare  $\Theta_0$  which, after the renormalization procedure, takes the form  $\Theta = Z_{\Theta}^{-1}\Theta_0 = \beta_i \mathcal{O}^i$ ?
- **③** Is the eigenvalue of  $\Theta$  affected by how we define  $\Theta$ ?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Curtis G Callan, Sidney Coleman, and Roman Jackiw. "A new improved energy-momentum tensor". In: Annals of Physics 59.1 (1970), pp. 42–73. ISSN: 0003-4916. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(70)90394-5. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pi/00039491679003945.

### 2 Renormalization of the theory

B Renormalization of Composite Operators

#### 4 Renormalization of Composite Kinetic(K)-Operators

 ${\mathfrak s}$  Constraints on the construction of  ${\Theta}$  operator

#### Steps:

- We define the renormalized field  $\phi$  and the renormalized coupling constant  $\lambda$  by inserting the counterterms, and we write down the renormalized Lagrangian.
- **②** We apply Wick's theorem to the renormalized Lagrangian and evaluate all the corresponding loop diagrams for  $d = 4 \epsilon$ , keeping the finite terms.
- We impose the renormalization conditions at a certain energy scale. Using these conditions, we evaluate the expressions of the counterterms.
- We substitute the counterterms and obtain the renormalized expressions, which give the dependence of the correlation functions on the energy scale.
- With the use of the Callan-Symanzik equation, we calculate the RG-functions.

# Renormalization of $\lambda \phi^4$ -theory (Warm up )

The bare theory is described by the following action:

$$S^{(0)}[\phi_0;\lambda_0] = \int \mathrm{d}^d x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial \phi_0 \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{4!} \phi_0^4 \right] \tag{6}$$

After introducing the counterterms the renormalized action is given by:

$$S = \int d^{d}x \left[ \frac{Z_{\phi}}{2} (\partial_{\mu}\phi)^{2} - \frac{Z_{\lambda}}{4!} \lambda \phi^{4} \right]$$
  
= 
$$\int d^{d}x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu}\phi)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4} + \frac{\delta_{\phi}}{2} (\partial_{\mu}\phi)^{2} - \frac{\delta_{\lambda}\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4} \right]$$
(7)

with

$$\phi_0 = Z_{\phi}^{1/2} \phi$$

$$\lambda_0 = Z_{\lambda} Z_{\phi}^{-2} \lambda$$
(8)

where  $Z_{\phi} = 1 + \delta_{\phi}$  and  $Z_{\lambda} = 1 + \delta_{\lambda}$ 

# (Off-Shell)Renormalization conditions - Propagator

• The interacting propagator is written as:

$$\langle \phi(\mathbf{p})\phi(-\mathbf{p})\rangle = rac{ig(\mathbf{p}^2)}{\mathbf{p}^2 + \Pi(\mathbf{p}^2)}$$
 (9)

where  $\Pi(p^2)$  is the sum of all 1 P.I. correction of the propagator.

• We introduce an energy scale  $\mu^2$  where we imply the following renormalization conditions for the propagator:

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \Pi(p^2) \right|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} &= 0 \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\Pi(p^2)}{\mathrm{d}p^2} \right|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

• The coupling constant  $\lambda$  is defined as the magnitude  ${\mathcal M}$  of the scattering  $\phi\phi\to\phi\phi$ 

$$\langle \phi(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\phi(p_4)\rangle = \mathcal{M}\frac{i}{p_1^2}\frac{i}{p_2^2}\frac{i}{p_3^2}\frac{i}{p_4^2}(2\pi)^d \delta\left(\sum p_{in} - \sum p_{out}\right) \quad (11)$$

 $\bullet$  For the renormalization of the coupling constant  $\lambda$  we define the following renormalization condition:

$$\mathcal{M} = -i\lambda$$
, at S.P.( $\mu$ ) with  $s^2 = t^2 = u^2 = -\mu^2$  (12)

where  $S.P.(\mu)$  is the Symmetric Point.

The one loop contributions of the  $\phi\phi\to\phi\phi$  scattering are given by:.

$$\mathcal{M} = + + + + + (t, u) \text{-channels}$$

$$= -i\lambda - i\lambda\delta_{\lambda}^{(1)} - \frac{(-i\lambda)^2}{2} \sum_{p^2 = s, t, u} L_1(p^2)$$
(13)

$$L_{1}(p^{2}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{k^{2} (k-p)^{2}} = i \frac{\Gamma\left(2-\frac{d}{2}\right) \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\right]^{2}}{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma\left(d-2\right)} \left(-p^{2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-2}$$
(14)

Using the renormalization condition we evaluate the counterterm  $\delta_{\lambda}^{(1)}$ 

$$\delta_{\lambda}^{(1)} = -i\frac{3\lambda}{2}L_1(-\mu^2) \tag{15}$$

Which implies that for  $d = 4 - \epsilon$  with  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ 

.

$$\mathcal{M} = -i\lambda - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2(4\pi)^2} \sum_{p^2 = s, t, u} \ln\left(\frac{-p^2}{\mu^2}\right)$$
(16)

We will calculate the  $\beta$ -function by applying the Callan-Symanzik equation on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} + 4\gamma_{\phi}\right] \langle \phi \phi \phi \phi \rangle = 0$$
(17)

Since  $\gamma_{\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ , solving for  $\beta_{\lambda}$  gives:

$$\beta_{\lambda} = -3\frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \tag{18}$$

# Two-loop Renormalization and $\gamma\text{-function}$

The massless interacting propagator is given by the following diagrams:

$$\langle \phi(\boldsymbol{p})\phi(-\boldsymbol{p})\rangle = \underline{\qquad} + \underline{\qquad} + \underline{\qquad}$$
(19)

In this case:

$$-i\Pi(p^2) = - - + - - \otimes - = -\frac{i}{p^4} \frac{\lambda^2}{6} S_1(p^2) - \frac{i}{p^2} \delta_{\phi}$$
(20)

$$S_{1}(p^{2}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d} k_{1,2}}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \frac{1}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} \left(k_{1} + k_{2} - p\right)^{2}} = \frac{(-1)^{d-4}}{(4\pi)^{d}} \frac{\Gamma(3-d) \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - 1\right)\right]^{3}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d}{2} - 3\right)} (-p^{2})^{d-3}$$
(21)

Using the renormalization condition we get  $\delta_{\phi}$  and as a consequence the renormalized propagator for  $d \rightarrow 4$ :

$$\delta_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{-\mu^2} \frac{\lambda^2}{6} S_1(-\mu^2) \Rightarrow \langle \phi(\boldsymbol{p})\phi(-\boldsymbol{p}) \rangle = \frac{i}{p^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{12(4\pi)^2} \ln\left(\frac{-p^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right] \quad (22)$$

Solving the CS- equation for  $\gamma_{\phi}$  we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} + 2\gamma_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \langle \phi(p)\phi(-p) \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma_{\phi} = \boxed{\frac{\lambda^2}{12(4\pi)^4} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)}$$
(23)  
L.Karageorgos (NTUA) Renormalization of Composite Operators & RG flow 13/43

The 2-loop contributions of the *s*-channel of the  $\phi\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$  scattering are given by the following diagrams:



$$+ = -i\lambda^3 D(s) = \frac{i\lambda^3}{2} \left[ \int_k \frac{L_1(k+p_2) + L_1(k+p_3)}{k^2 (k+p_s)^2} \right] \ni \frac{2\ln(-s)}{\epsilon}$$



$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(s) = \frac{i\lambda^3}{4} \left\{ \left[ L_1(s) - L_1(-\mu^2) \right]^2 - \left[ L_1(-\mu^2) \right] \right]^2 \right\} - i\lambda^3 \left[ L_1(-\mu^2) L_1(s) + D(s) \right] - i\delta^{(2)}_{\lambda,s} \lambda - i \frac{\lambda^3}{12(4\pi)^4} \ln\left(\frac{-4q^2}{3\mu^2}\right)$$
(28)

The overlapping divergences get cancelled out since for  $d=4-\epsilon$  with  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_1(-\mu^2)L_1(s) + D(s) \end{bmatrix} (4\pi)^4 = -\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{2\ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{\gamma}}{4\pi}\right) - 3}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2}\ln^2\left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\left[G(p_s, p_2) + G(p_s, p_3)\right] + (\text{mom. ind. terms})$$
(29)

The s-channel counterterm is given by:

$$\delta_{\lambda,s}^{(2)} = -\frac{\lambda^2}{4} \left[ L_1(-\mu^2) \right]^2 - \lambda^2 \left[ L_1(-\mu^2) L_1(-\mu^2) + D(-\mu^2) \right]$$
(30)

Since the other two channels (t, u) will give exactly the same contribution, the total renormalized magnitude of the 4-point function up to  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$  is:

$$\mathcal{M} = -i\lambda - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2(4\pi)^2} \sum_{p^2 = s,t,u} \ln\left(\frac{-p^2}{\mu^2}\right) - i\frac{3\lambda^3}{4(4\pi)^4} \sum_{p^2 = s,t,u} \ln^2\left(\frac{-p^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \frac{i\lambda^3}{(4\pi)^4} \sum_{p^2 = s,t,u} \ln\left(\frac{-p^2}{\mu^2}\right) - i\frac{\lambda^3}{12(4\pi)^4} \sum_{i=1,2,3,4} \ln\left(\frac{-4q_i^2}{3\mu^2}\right)$$
(31)  
+  $\frac{i\lambda^3}{2(4\pi)^4} \sum_{k=s,t,u} \hat{G}(p_k, p_2, p_3)$ 

From the CS equation we get:

$$\beta_{\lambda} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} - \frac{17\lambda^3}{3(4\pi)^4} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$
(32)

2 Renormalization of the theory

#### 3 Renormalization of Composite Operators

- 4 Renormalization of Composite Kinetic(K)-Operators
- 5 Constraints on the construction of  $\Theta$  operator

# Definitions

### Definition of Bare Operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{n,0}(x) = \lim_{\{x_i\} \to x} \phi_0(x) \phi_0(x_1) \cdots \phi_0(x_{n-1})$$
(33)

### Definition of Bare Correlation functions

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{n,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle = \frac{\langle 0| T\left\{\mathcal{O}_{n,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)e^{iS_{int}^{(0)}[\phi_{0};\lambda_{0}]}\right\}|0\rangle}{\langle 0| T\left\{e^{iS_{int}^{(0)}[\phi_{0};\lambda_{0}]}\right\}|0\rangle}$$
(34)

### Definition of Renormalized Operator

$$\mathcal{O}_n(x) = Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{n,0}(x) \tag{35}$$

### Definition of Renormalized Correlation functions

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_n(x)\phi(y)\phi(z)
angle = Z_{\mathcal{O}_n}^{-1}Z_{\phi}^{-1}\left< \mathcal{O}_{n,0}\phi_0\phi_0 \right>$$

L.Karageorgos (NTUA)

(36)

#### Steps:

- We apply Wick's theorem to the bare Lagrangian in order to get the bare (n+2)-point ⟨φ<sub>0</sub>(x) · · · φ<sub>0</sub>(x<sub>n-1</sub>)φ<sub>0</sub>(y)φ<sub>0</sub>(z)⟩
- We consider the limit {x<sub>i</sub>} → x and evaluate the corresponding loop diagrams.
- **③** We impose the appropriate renormalization conditions and solve for  $Z_{\mathcal{O}_n}$
- We input the value of  $Z_{\mathcal{O}_n}$  back in (36) and obtain the expression for the renormalized 3pt function
- With the use of Callan Symanzik equation we extract the Conformal Data of Composite operators.

# The example of $\mathcal{O}_2$ operator

The bare 3pt function of  $\mathcal{O}_{2,0}$  is given by the following limit:

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}_{2(0)}(x)\phi_0(y)\phi_0(z)\right\rangle = \lim_{y_1 \to y} \left\langle \phi_0(x_1)\phi_0(x_2)\phi_0(y_1)\phi_0(y)\right\rangle \tag{37}$$

which corresponds to the following diagrams up to  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_0)$ 



In momentum space the 3-point function is given by:

$$\langle \langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0}(p_1)\phi_0(p_2)\phi_0(p_3) \rangle \rangle = \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} \left[ 2 + i\lambda_0 L_1(p_1^2) \right]$$
(39)

where

$$\langle \langle \cdots \rangle \rangle = \langle \cdots \rangle (2\pi)^d \delta(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)$$
 (40)

(38)

The general form of the Poincaré invariant 3-point function is the following:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{a}(x_{1})\mathcal{O}_{b}(x_{2})\mathcal{O}_{c}(x_{3})\rangle = \frac{c_{abc}}{|x_{1,2}|^{\alpha} |x_{1,3}|^{\beta} |x_{2,3}|^{\gamma}}, |x_{i,j}| = |x_{i} - x_{j}|$$
 (41)

using dimensional analysis:

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \sum_{i=a,b,c} [\mathcal{O}_i]$$
(42)

we can choose

$$\alpha = [\mathcal{O}_{a}] + [\mathcal{O}_{b}] - [\mathcal{O}_{c}]$$
  

$$\beta = [\mathcal{O}_{a}] + [\mathcal{O}_{c}] - [\mathcal{O}_{b}]$$
  

$$\gamma = [\mathcal{O}_{c}] + [\mathcal{O}_{b}] - [\mathcal{O}_{a}]$$
(43)

### Renormalization condition of $\mathcal{O}_2$

In our case 
$$\mathcal{O}_a = \mathcal{O}_2$$
 and  $\mathcal{O}_b = \mathcal{O}_c = \phi$ 

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2}(x_{1})\phi(x_{2})\phi(x_{3})\rangle = \frac{c_{\mathcal{O}_{2}\phi\phi}}{|x_{1,2}|^{d-2} |x_{1,3}|^{d-2} \underbrace{|x_{2,3}|^{0}}_{1}}$$
(44)

We apply a Fourier transformation and set  $c_{\mathcal{O}_2\phi\phi} = 2 \left[\frac{4\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d-2)}\right]^{-2} i^2$ 

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle = 2\frac{i}{p_2^2}\frac{i}{p_3^2}(2\pi)^d \,\delta^{(d)}(p_1+p_2+p_3) \tag{45}$$

which corresponds to the following Feynman diagram :

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle = \underbrace{p_1}_{p_2} \qquad (46)$$

# $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ renormalization of $\mathcal{O}_2$ operator

The renormalization condition for the 3-point function of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  operator is the following one:

$$\langle \langle \mathcal{O}_2(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3) \rangle \rangle = 2 \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} , \text{ at S.P. } p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = -\mu^2$$
 (47)

By imposing this renormalization condition we obtain:

$$Z_{\mathcal{O}_2} = 1 + i\frac{\lambda}{2}L_1(-\mu^2) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$
(48)

and as a result for  $d = 4 - \epsilon$  with  $\epsilon \rightarrow 4$ :

$$\langle \langle \mathcal{O}_2(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle \rangle = 2\frac{i}{p_2^2}\frac{i}{p_3^2} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda}{2(4\pi)^2}\ln\left(\frac{-p_1^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)\right]$$
(49)

Using the CS equation we obtain the anomalous dimension of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ :

$$\left[\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta_{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + 2\gamma_{\phi} + \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}\right] \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{O}_{2}(p_{1})\phi(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})\right\rangle \right\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{2}} = \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^{2}}}$$
(50)

# $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ renormalization of $\mathcal{O}_2$

There are two kinds of  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$  contributions

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle_{(\text{sunset})} = \lim_{x_{1}\to x} \begin{bmatrix} x^{X_{1}} & x^{X_{1}} & x_{1}x & x_{1}x \\ \varphi & \varphi & \varphi & \varphi \\ zy & yz & yz & zy \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle_{(\text{candy})} = \lim_{x_{1}\to x} \begin{bmatrix} x & y & x & x_{1} & x & x_{1} \\ y & y & z & y & z & z \\ x_{1} & z & y & z & z & y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(51)$$

Moving to momentum space the total  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_0^2)$  contribution of the bare 3-point function is given below:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0}(p_1)\phi_0(p_2)\phi_0(p_3)\rangle_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)} = \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} \left\{ -\frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} \left( L_1(p_1) \right)^2 -\frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} \int \frac{L_1(k-p_2)+L_1(k-p_3)}{k^2 \left(k+p_1\right)^2} -\frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} \int \frac{L_1(k-p_2)+L_1(k-p_3)}{k^2 \left(k+p_1\right)^2} -2\frac{\lambda_0^2}{6} \left[ \frac{S_1(p_2)}{p_2^2} + \frac{S_1(p_3)}{p_3^2} \right] \right\}$$
(52)

# $|\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ renormalization of $\mathcal{O}_2$

We have to take into account the quantum correction of the coupling constant  $\lambda$ 

$$\lambda_0 = Z_\lambda Z_\phi^{-2} \lambda \tag{53}$$

and the renormalized primary field  $\phi$ .

$$\phi_0 = Z_\phi^{1/2} \phi \tag{54}$$

with  $Z_{\phi} = 1 + \delta_{\phi}$  and  $Z_{\lambda} = 1 + \delta_{\lambda}$ 

$$\delta_{\lambda}^{(1)} = -i\frac{3\lambda}{2}L_{1}(-\mu^{2})$$

$$\delta_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{-\mu^{2}}\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6}S_{1}(-\mu^{2})$$
(55)

The bare 3-point function can be written as:

(

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0}(p_1)\phi_0(p_2)\phi_0(p_3)\rangle\rangle = \frac{i}{p_2^2}\frac{i}{p_3^2}C_{\mathcal{O}_2\phi\phi}^{\mathsf{bare}}$$
(56)

# $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ renormalization of $\mathcal{O}_2$

This  $C^{\text{bare}}_{\mathcal{O}_2\phi\phi}$  can be expressed in terms of renormalized  $\lambda$ :

$$C_{\mathcal{O}_{2}\phi\phi}^{\text{bare}} = 2 + i\lambda L_{1}(p_{1}) + i\delta_{\lambda}\lambda L_{1}(p_{1}) - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \left[ (L_{1}(p_{1}))^{2} + 2D(p_{1}^{2}) \right] -2\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6} \left[ \frac{S_{1}(p_{2})}{p_{2}^{2}} + \frac{S_{1}(p_{3})}{p_{3}^{2}} \right]$$
(57)

This expression is free from overlapping divergences! Using the  $O(\lambda)$  results from the renormalization of  $O_2$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathcal{O}_{2}\phi\phi}^{\mathbf{R}} =& 2\left\{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2(4\pi)^{2}}\ln\left(\frac{-p_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2(4\pi)^{4}}\ln^{2}\left(\frac{-p_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) \\ &- \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2(4\pi)^{4}}\ln\left(\frac{-p_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{12(4\pi)^{4}}\left[\ln\left(\frac{-p_{2}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{-p_{3}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right] - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2(4\pi)^{4}}\hat{G}\right\} \end{aligned}$$
(58)  
$$\hat{G} = \int_{0}^{1} dy dz \frac{z}{1-z} \left[\ln\left(\frac{-2yz(1-z)p_{1} \cdot p_{2} + yz(1-yz)p_{1}^{2} + z(1-z)p_{2}^{2}}{p_{1}^{2}[z^{2}y(1+y) - z(1+2y)]}\right) \\ &+ (p_{2} \leftrightarrow p_{3})\right] \end{aligned}$$
(59)

43

# $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ renormalization of $\mathcal{O}_2$

From the CS equatio we obtain:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2}=rac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2}-rac{5}{6}rac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^4}$$

In agreement with the general result presented in<sup>4</sup>

### A closer look at $\hat{G}$ term

This term does not contribute in the Callan-Symanzik equation. It is important to note that  $\hat{G}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  is a scale invariant term since:

$$\hat{G}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \hat{G}(\alpha p_1, \alpha p_2, \alpha p_3)$$
(61)

Also obeys the Dilatation Ward Identity:

$$\left[-p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}-p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}-p_3\frac{\partial}{\partial p_3}+2\Delta_{\phi}+\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_2}-2d\right]\lambda^2\frac{i}{p_2^2}\frac{i}{p_3^2}\hat{G}(p_1,p_2,p_3)=\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$
(62)

<sup>4</sup> Johan Henriksson. "The critical O(N) CFT: Methods and conformal data". In: Physics Reports 1002 (Feb. 2023), pp. 1–72. ISSN: 0370-1573. DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2022.12.002. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2022.12.002.

(60)

- 2 Renormalization of the theory
- 3 Renormalization of Composite Operators

#### Renormalization of Composite Kinetic(K)-Operators

5) Constraints on the construction of  $\Theta$  operator

### Definition of K-operators

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}_{1,0}(x) = \partial_
u \phi_0(x) \partial^
u \phi_0(x) \ &\mathcal{K}_{2,0}(x) = \Box \phi_0^2(x) \ &\mathcal{K}_{3,0}(x) = \phi_0(x) \Box \phi_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

### Definition of Correlation functions

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_{1,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle = \lim_{x_{1}\to x} \left[ \left( \partial^{(x_{1})} \cdot \partial^{(x)} \right) \langle \phi_{0}(x_{1})\phi_{0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle \right] - (\text{c.t.})$$

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_{2,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle = \Box_{x} \lim_{x_{1}\to x} \langle \phi_{0}(x)\phi_{0}(x_{1})\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle - (\text{c.t.})$$

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_{3,0}(x)\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle = \lim_{x_{1}\to x} \Box_{x} \langle \phi_{0}(x)\phi_{0}(x_{1})\phi_{0}(y)\phi_{0}(z)\rangle - (\text{c.t.})$$

$$(64)$$

(63)

### F-Identify

$$F_0(x) \equiv K_{2,0}(x) - 2K_{1,0}(x) - 2K_{3,0}(x) = 0$$
(65)

### Equations of motion

$$K_{3,0} = -\frac{\lambda_0}{6} \mathcal{O}_{4,0} \tag{66}$$

# 3-point function of $K_2$ operator

This is the simplest case. We evaluate the following diagrams:

$$\Box_{x} \lim_{x_{1} \to x} \left[ \begin{array}{c|cccc} x & x_{1} & x & x_{1} & x & y \\ | & | & + & | & | & + & \\ | & | & + & | & | & + & \\ y & z & z & y & x_{1} & z \end{array} \right]$$
(67)

The bare 3-point function of  $K_{3,0}$  operator takes the following form:

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{K}_{3,0}(p_1)\phi_0(p_2)\phi_0(p_3)\rangle\rangle = -p_1^2 \langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0}(p_1)\phi_0(p_2)\phi_0(p_3)\rangle\rangle$$
(68)

The renormalization procedure is exactly the same as in the case of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  operator.

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{K}_{3}(p_{1})\phi(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})\rangle\rangle = -p_{1}^{2}\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{2}(p_{1})\phi(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})\rangle\rangle$$
(69)

So, it is a matter of fact that:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}_2} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2} \tag{70}$$

# 3-point function of $K_3$ operator

The first non-vanishing contribution  $\langle K_{3,0}\phi_0\phi_0\rangle$  is of order  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_0^2)$ .



# 3-point function of $K_{3,0}$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_0^3)$

Using the equality following equality we can obtain the  ${\cal O}(\lambda_0^3)$  expression of the 3-point function

# Loop diagrams of the 3-point function of $K_3$

$$- - 4\lambda_0 \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} S_1(p_2^2)$$
 (76)

$$- -4\lambda_0 \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} S_1(p_3^2)$$
(77)





$$= -i\frac{3}{2}\lambda_0^2 TB(p_3^2)$$
(80)

# Values of the loop diagrams

$$ST(p^{2}) = \frac{i(-1)^{3d-12}}{(4\pi)^{3d/2}} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\right]^{4} \Gamma(3-d) \Gamma\left(5-\frac{3d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3d}{2}-4\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d}{2}-3\right) \Gamma(4-d) \Gamma(2d-5)} \left(-p^{2}\right)^{\frac{3d}{2}-5}$$

$$TB(p^{2}) = i(-1)^{2d-10} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(2-\frac{d}{2}\right)\right]^{2} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\right]^{5}}{(4\pi)^{3d/2} \left[\Gamma\left(d-2\right)\right]^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(5-\frac{3d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(4-d)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d}{2}-4\right)}{\Gamma(2d-5)} \left(-p^{2}\right)^{\frac{3d}{2}-5}$$

$$(82)$$

$$T(p_{2}, p_{3}) = i \frac{(-1)^{2d-10}}{(4\pi)^{3d/2}} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\right]^{4} \Gamma\left(5-\frac{3d}{2}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(d-2\right)\right]^{2}} \left(l_{d}(p_{2}, p_{3})+l_{f}(p_{2}, p_{3})\right)$$

$$(83)$$

Where  $I_f$  is finite and  $I_d$  is divergent and given by :

$$I_{d}(p_{2},p_{3}) = 2\frac{\Gamma(d-3)\Gamma(d-2)}{(4-d)\Gamma(2d-5)}(-p_{2}^{2})^{\frac{3d}{2}-5} + 2\frac{\Gamma(d-3)\Gamma\left(\frac{3d}{2}-4\right)}{(4-d)\Gamma\left(\frac{5d}{2}-7\right)}\left(-p_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3d}{2}-5}$$
(84)

# $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$ renormalization of $K_3$ operator and mixing

The renormalization condition is:

$$\langle \langle \mathcal{K}_3(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle \rangle = \frac{2}{3}\lambda^2 \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} (p_2^2 + p_3^2) , \text{ at } S.P \text{ with } p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = -\mu^2$$
(85)

The bare 3-point function is given by:

$$\langle \langle \mathcal{K}_{3,0}(p_1)\phi_0(p_2)\phi_0(p_3) \rangle \rangle = p_1^2 \frac{i}{p_2^2} \frac{i}{p_3^2} i\lambda^3 \frac{ST(p_1^2)}{p_1^2} + i\lambda^2 \frac{i}{p_3^2} \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \frac{S_1(p_2^2)}{p_2^2} - i\lambda L_1(-\mu^2) \frac{S_1(p_2^2)}{p_2^2} + i\frac{1}{4}\lambda \frac{TB(p_2^2)}{p_2^2} + i\lambda \frac{T(p_2, p_3)}{p_2^2} \right\}$$
(86)  
+  $(p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3)$ 

#### There is mixing between $K_3$ and $K_2$ !

The Callan Symanzik equation gets the following form:

$$\left[\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta_{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + 2\gamma_{\phi} + \right] \langle K_{i}\phi\phi\rangle + \Gamma_{ij} \langle K_{j}\phi\phi\rangle = 0$$
(87)

# Calculation of Anomalous Dimension Matrix

The relation between the bare and renormalized operators is given by introducing a mixing matrix  $Z_{ij}$  such that:

$$K_{i,0} = Z_{ij}K_j \tag{88}$$

For the purpose of our analysis we will work with the inverse matrix

$$\left[Z^{-1}\right]_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{Z_{\kappa_2}} & 0\\ -Z_{32}\frac{1}{Z_{\kappa_2}Z_{\kappa_3}} & \frac{1}{Z_{\kappa_3}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(89)

with :

$$K_i = \left[ Z^{-1} \right]_{ij} K_{j,0} \tag{90}$$

We can write the renormalized expression as:

$$\langle K_{3}(p_{1})\phi(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})\rangle = -\frac{Z_{32}}{Z_{K_{3}}} \langle K_{2}(p_{1})\phi(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})\rangle + \frac{1}{Z_{K_{3}}Z_{\phi}} \langle K_{3,0}(p_{1})\phi_{0}(p_{2})\phi_{0}(p_{3})\rangle$$
(91)

# Calculation of Anomalous Dimension Matrix

By imposing the renormalization condition we can obtain the expressions of  $Z_{{\cal K}_2}$  and  $Z_{32}$ 

$$Z_{32} = i \frac{\lambda^3}{2} \frac{ST(-\mu^2)}{-\mu^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$
(92)

$$Z_{\mathcal{K}_3} = \left\{ \frac{S_1(p_2^2)}{p_2^2} - i\frac{3}{2}\lambda L_1(-\mu^2)\frac{S_1(p_2^2)}{p_2^2} + i\frac{3}{8}\lambda\frac{TB(p_2^2)}{p_2^2} + i\frac{3}{2}\lambda\frac{T(p_2, p_3)}{p_2^2} \right\}_{\text{S.P.}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$
(93)

Using this expressions we can get the the form of the renormalized 3-point function:

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{K}_{3}(p_{1})\phi(p_{2})\phi(p_{3})\rangle\rangle = \frac{2\lambda}{3}\frac{i}{p_{2}^{2}}\frac{i}{p_{3}^{2}}\left\{p_{2}^{2}\left[\lambda+\frac{9}{2}\frac{\lambda^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}\ln\left(\frac{-p_{2}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right]+(p_{2}\leftrightarrow p_{3})\right\}$$
$$-p_{1}^{2}\frac{i}{p_{2}^{2}}\frac{i}{p_{3}^{2}}\frac{\lambda^{3}}{4(4\pi)^{6}}\ln\left(\frac{-p_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{4})$$
$$\tag{94}$$

# Calculation of Anomalous Dimension Matrix

Acting with  $\hat{R} \equiv \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + 2\gamma_{\phi}$  on the renormalized 3-point function we get:

$$\hat{R} \langle K_3(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle = \left(-\frac{6\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{\beta_\lambda}{\lambda}\right) \langle K_3(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle - \frac{\lambda^3}{4(4\pi)^6} \langle K_2(p_1)\phi(p_2)\phi(p_3)\rangle$$
(95)

From the above expression we can extract the form of the Anomalous Dimension Matrix

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{K_2} & 0\\ \frac{\lambda^3}{4(4\pi)^6} & \frac{6\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} - \frac{\beta_\lambda}{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$
(96)

This result is in agreement with the one presented in<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Lowell S Brown and John C Collins. "Dimensional renormalization of scalar field theory in curved space-time". In: Annals of Physics 130.1 (1980), pp. 215–248. ISSN: 0003-4916. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(80)90232-8. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0003491680902232.

- 2 Renormalization of the theory
- 3 Renormalization of Composite Operators
- 4 Renormalization of Composite Kinetic(K)-Operators
- 5 Constraints on the construction of  $\Theta$  operator

# Constraints on the construction of $\Theta$ operator

- **9**  $\Theta_0$  will be a a linear combination of  $K_{2,0}$  and  $K_{3,0}$  operators
- Interpretation of the second state of the s

$$\left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + 2\gamma_{\phi}\right] \langle \Theta \phi \phi \rangle = 0$$
(97)

The 3-point function should vanish when the system approaches the fixed point :

$$\langle \Theta \phi \phi \rangle \sim \beta_{\lambda} \tag{98}$$

#### Next steps

- **Q** Construction of  $\Theta_0$  operator taking into account the above constraints.
- **2** Check if  $\Theta$  is uniquely defined.
- Proceed to the calculation of 2-point function and find the eigenvalue of  $\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle$

# Thank you!