Low-scale leptogenesis and varying relativistic degrees of freedom

Dimitrios Karamitros

University of Jyväskylä

CorfuSI 2024 Workshop on the Standard Model and Beyond 02/09/2024

P. Candia da Silva, DK, T. McKelvey, A. Pilaftsis

arXiv:2206.08352[hep-ph]

arXiv:2310.03703[hep-ph]

JHEP 11, 065 (2022)

DK, T. McKelvey, A. Pilaftsis

Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 5, 055038

Outline

- Introduction
 - Matter-antimatter asymmetry
 - Leptogenesis
 - Resonant leptogenesis

2 Model

- Yukawa structure
- Tri-resonant leptogenesis

3 Leptogenesis

- Initial conditions: Neutrinos
- Initial conditions: Leptons
- Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: how?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?

Final results

Summing up...

Introduction



Introduction

- Matter-antimatter asymmetry
- Leptogenesis
- Resonant leptogenesis

2 Mode

- Yukawa structure
- Tri-resonant leptogenesis

3 Leptogenesis

- Initial conditions: Neutrinos
- Initial conditions: Leptons
- Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: how?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?

Final results

Summing up...

Introduction – Matter-antimatter asymmetry

Observations consistently show that there are more particles than antiparticles:

$$\eta_B = \frac{n_B}{n_\gamma} \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}$$

¹Sakharov's conditions (A.D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. 5 (1967) 24).

Introduction – Matter-antimatter asymmetry

Observations consistently show that there are more particles than antiparticles:

$$\eta_B = \frac{n_B}{n_\gamma} \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}$$

Conditions for baryon asymmetry:1

- Baryon-number violation.
- C and CP violation.
- Deviation from equilibrium.

¹Sakharov's conditions (A.D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. 5 (1967) 24).

Introduction – Matter-antimatter asymmetry

Observations consistently show that there are more particles than antiparticles:

$$\eta_B = \frac{n_B}{n_\gamma} \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}$$

Conditions for baryon asymmetry:1

- Baryon-number violation.
- C and CP violation.
- Deviation from equilibrium.

Direct evidence of CP violation!

¹Sakharov's conditions (A.D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. 5 (1967) 24).

A popular scenario of baryon asymmetry production is *baryogenesis through leptogenesis*:

- CP and L violation from *new physics*.
- New particles fall out of equilibrium.
- Baryon asymmetry generated when via (B+L)-violating (non-perturbative) sphaleron interactions.
- Baryon asymmetry freezes at $T \approx 130$ GeV.

A popular scenario of baryon asymmetry production is *baryogenesis through leptogenesis*:

- CP and L violation from *new physics*.
- New particles fall out of equilibrium.
- Baryon asymmetry generated when via (B+L)-violating (non-perturbative) sphaleron interactions.
- Baryon asymmetry freezes at $T \approx 130$ GeV.

Violation of L-number terms are naturally connected to neutrino masses.

Introduction – Resonant leptogenesis



"Resonant" leptogenesis: Enhancement of CP violation due to through the mixing of (two) nearly degenerate heavy Majorana neutrinos.²

²A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997), 5431-5451. A. Pilaftsis and T. E. J. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. B **692** (2004), 303-345.

Introduction – Resonant leptogenesis



"Resonant" leptogenesis: Enhancement of CP violation due to through the mixing of (two) nearly degenerate heavy Majorana neutrinos.² \Rightarrow Leptogenesis with small masses!

²A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997), 5431-5451. A. Pilaftsis and T. E. J. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. B **692** (2004), 303-345.

Model

- Introduct
 - Matter-antimatter asymmetry
 - Leptogenesis
 - Resonant leptogenesis

2 Model

- Yukawa structure
- Tri-resonant leptogenesis

Leptogenesis

- Initial conditions: Neutrinos
- Initial conditions: Leptons
- Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: how?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?

Final results

Summing up...

Tri-resonant model:

$$\begin{split} -\mathcal{L}^{\nu_R} &= \boldsymbol{h}_{ij}^{\nu} \overline{L}_i \tilde{\Phi} \nu_{R,j} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\nu}_{R,i}^C \left(\boldsymbol{m}_M \right)_{ij} \nu_{R,j} + \text{H.c.} ,\\ \boldsymbol{h}^{\nu} &= \boldsymbol{h}_0^{\nu} + \delta \boldsymbol{h}^{\nu} .\\ \boldsymbol{h}_0^{\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & a \, \omega & a \, \omega^2 \\ b & b \, \omega & b \, \omega^2 \\ c & c \, \omega & c \, \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} ,\\ \text{with } \boldsymbol{\omega} &= exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right); \textit{i.e. generator of } \mathbb{Z}_3.^3 \end{split}$$

³ Same results are obtained if ω is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_{3n} .

Tri-resonant model:

$$\begin{split} -\mathcal{L}^{\nu_R} &= \boldsymbol{h}_{ij}^{\nu} \overline{L}_i \tilde{\Phi} \nu_{R,j} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\nu}_{R,i}^C \left(\boldsymbol{m}_M \right)_{ij} \nu_{R,j} + \text{H.c.} ,\\ \boldsymbol{h}^{\nu} &= \boldsymbol{h}_0^{\nu} + \delta \boldsymbol{h}^{\nu} .\\ \boldsymbol{h}_0^{\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & a \, \omega & a \, \omega^2 \\ b & b \, \omega & b \, \omega^2 \\ c & c \, \omega & c \, \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} ,\\ \text{with } \boldsymbol{\omega} &= exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right); \textit{i.e. generator of } \mathbb{Z}_3.^3 \end{split}$$

Reason: Tree-level and 1-loop neutrino masses vanish at leading order of h_0^{ν} . Dominant contribution comes from δh^{ν} .

³ Same results are obtained if ω is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_{3n} .

CP asymmetry, is enhanced if two right-handed neutrinos obey

 $|m_{N_{\alpha}}-m_{N_{\beta}}|\sim \Gamma_{\beta}/2$.

Tri-resonant case produces even larger asymmetry:



Leptogenesis



ntroduction

- Matter-antimatter asymmetry
- Leptogenesis
- Resonant leptogenesis
- 2 Mode
 - Yukawa structure
 - Tri-resonant leptogenesis

3 Leptogenesis

- Initial conditions: Neutrinos
- Initial conditions: Leptons
- Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: how?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?

Final results

5 Summing up...

Initial conditions: Neutrinos



Initial conditions: Leptons



Initial conditions: Leptons



The initial conditions do not really matter!

Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?



Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?



$$\frac{d\delta\eta_N}{d\log z} \stackrel{z\ll 1}{\sim} -\frac{z^3}{2} \frac{\Gamma_N}{H(z=1)} \delta\eta_N + \frac{z^2}{2} (1+\delta\eta_N) - \frac{d\log h_{\text{eff}}}{d\log T} (1+\delta\eta_N)$$
$$\frac{d\delta\eta_N}{d\log z} \stackrel{\delta\eta_N \ll 1}{\sim} \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{d\log h_{\text{eff}}}{d\log T}$$

Varying relativistic DOFs: how?



Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?



Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?



Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?



Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?



Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?



Also (sometimes) results in dependence on $T_{\rm sph}$.

Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?



Also (sometimes) results in dependence on $T_{\rm sph}$. \Rightarrow We need accurate computation of both $\frac{d \log h_{\rm eff}}{d \log T}$ and $T_{\rm sph}$.

Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?





- ntroduction
- Matter-antimatter asymmetry
- Leptogenesis
- Resonant leptogenesis

2 Model

- Yukawa structure
- Tri-resonant leptogenesis

3 Leptogenesis

- Initial conditions: Neutrinos
- Initial conditions: Leptons
- Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: how?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?

Final results

Summing up...

We solve the transport equations in the mass basis of the heavy neutrinos.⁴



⁴P. S. Bhupal Dev, P. Millington, A. Pilaftsis and D. Teresi, Nucl. Phys. B **886** (2014), 569-664 [arXiv:1404.1003 [hep-ph]].

⁵The PRISM/PRIME Project, Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements 218 (2011), no. 1 44–49.

We solve the transport equations in the mass basis of the heavy neutrinos.⁴



Possible probe: $\mu \rightarrow e$ transitions within Titanium.⁵

⁴P. S. Bhupal Dev, P. Millington, A. Pilaftsis and D. Teresi, Nucl. Phys. B **886** (2014), 569-664 [arXiv:1404.1003 [hep-ph]].

⁵The PRISM/PRIME Project, Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements 218 (2011), no. 1 44–49.

We solve the transport equations in the mass basis of the heavy neutrinos. $\!\!\!^4$



Possible probe: $\mu \rightarrow e$ transitions within Titanium.⁵

Note the difference below $m_{N_1} \approx 100 \text{ GeV}$.

⁴P. S. Bhupal Dev, P. Millington, A. Pilaftsis and D. Teresi, Nucl. Phys. B **886** (2014), 569-664 [arXiv:1404.1003 [hep-ph]].

⁵The PRISM/PRIME Project, Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements 218 (2011), no. 1 44–49.

Summing up...

- 1 Intro
 - Matter-antimatter asymmetry
 - Leptogenesis
 - Resonant leptogenesis

2 Model

- Yukawa structure
- Tri-resonant leptogenesis

3 Leptogenesis

- Initial conditions: Neutrinos
- Initial conditions: Leptons
- Varying relativistic DOFs: The devil in details?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: how?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: What about "attractors"?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: T_{sph} dependence?
- Varying relativistic DOFs: So what?

Final results



- Tri-resonant leptogenesis works.
- $\bullet\,$ Masses below the ${\rm TeV}$ scale.
- Varying degrees of freedon **must** be included.

- Tri-resonant leptogenesis works.
- $\bullet\,$ Masses below the ${\rm TeV}$ scale.
- Varying degrees of freedon **must** be included.

As for the future:

- Tri-resonant leptogenesis works.
- $\bullet\,$ Masses below the ${\rm TeV}$ scale.
- Varying degrees of freedon **must** be included.

As for the future:

- Multi-resonant leptogenesis?
- $\bullet\,$ Study known models including varying ${\it h}_{\rm eff},$ to find how much they change.
- Extensions of TRL might introduce additional CP violations or mixing, making the parameter space better?

Thank you!