Searches for Long Lived Particles Present and Future

Albert De Roeck CERN, Geneva, Switzerla Antwerp University Betrum UC-Davis California USA NTU, Singapore

28th August 2024







Outline

Introduction: Long Lived Particles
Long Lived Particle searches at the LHC
Proposals for new experiments at the LHC

Transverse experiments
Forwards experiments
Non-collider opportunities
(Future Colliders)
Summary/Outlook





The Large Hadron Collider and Experiments



LHC: So far no New Physics

q* (qg)

0 1

2 3

5 6

TeV

q* (qγ) f=1

ATLAS Preliminary ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits July 2024 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ Model Signature [L dt [fb-1] Mass limit Reference 2-6 jets 1-3 jets $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 0 e, µ mono-jet 140 140 1.85 $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \leq 400 \text{ GeV}$ 2010.14293 Eniss ą̃ [8x Degen.] 0.9 $m(\tilde{a}) - m(\tilde{a}^0) = 5 \text{ GeV}$ 2102.10874 2-6 jets 140 $m(\hat{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\hat{\chi}_{1}^{0})=1000 \text{ GeV}$ $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow g\tilde{g}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 0 e.µ Emiss 2.3 2010.14293 1.15-1.95 2010.14293 $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{q}W\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 1 e.µ 2-6 jets 140 2.2 m(x10)<600 GeV 2101.01629 ee, µµ 2 jets Emiss 140 2.2 $m(\hat{x}_1^0) < 700 \, GeV$ 2204.13072 22. 2→aa(ll)X $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow qqWZ\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ 0 e.μ SS e.μ 7-11 jets 6 jets ET 140 140 $m(\hat{\ell}_{1}^{0}) < 600 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\hat{\ell})-m(\hat{\ell}_{1}^{0})=200 \text{ GeV}$ 1.97 2008.06032 1.15 2307.01094 $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow t\tilde{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 0-1 e,μ SS e,μ 3 b 6 jets E_T^{mis} 140 140 2.45 m($\hat{\chi}_{1}^{0}$)<500 GeV m($\hat{\chi}_{1}^{0}$)=300 GeV 2211.08028 1.25 1909.08457 $\bar{b}_1\bar{b}_1$ 0 e. µ 140 1.255 $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 400 \text{ GeV}$ 10 GeV $< \Delta m(\tilde{b}_{1}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 20 \text{ GeV}$ 2 b E_T^{mis} 2101.12527 0.68 2101.12527 $\Delta m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 130 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 100 \text{ GeV}$ $\bar{b}_1\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_1 \rightarrow b\bar{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow bh\bar{\chi}^0_1$ 0 e.µ 6b 2b Forbidden 0.23-1.35 1908.03122 140 E_{T}^{mas} 0.13-0.85 21 140 =130 GeV, m(x10)=0 GeV 2103.08189 $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \hat{\chi}_1^0$ 0-1 e. µ ≥ 1 jet E_T^{miss} 140 1.25 m(x1)=1 GeV 2004.14060, 2012.03799 3 jets/1 b Emis 140 2012.03799, 2401.13430 $\tilde{i}_1 \tilde{i}_1, \tilde{i}_1 \rightarrow Wb \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 1 e.µ 1.05 m(x1)=500 GeV 2 jets/1 b m(7)=800 GeV $\tilde{l}_1\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 bv, \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow \tau \tilde{G}$ 1-2 T 140 14 2108.07665 $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0 / \tilde{c} \tilde{c}, \tilde{c} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 0.85 m($\tilde{\ell}_1^0$)=0 GeV m(\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{c})-m($\tilde{\ell}_1^0$)=5 GeV 1805.01649 0 e. µ 20 36.1 0 e. µ mono-iet 140 0.55 2102.10874 $\begin{array}{c} \tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1,\,\tilde{t}_1{\rightarrow}t\tilde{\chi}^0_2,\,\tilde{\chi}^0_2{\rightarrow}Z/h\tilde{\chi}^0_1\\ \tilde{t}_2\tilde{t}_2,\,\tilde{t}_2{\rightarrow}\tilde{t}_1+Z \end{array}$ 1-2 e.u 1-4b E_T^{mis} 140 0.067-1.18 $m(\hat{\chi}_{2}^{0})=500 \, GeV$ 2006.05880 3 1 b ET 140 Forbidder 0.86 $m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0})=360 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{t}_{1})-m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0})=40 \text{ GeV}$ 2006.05880 $\hat{x}_1^{\pm}\hat{x}_2^0$ via WZ Multiple ℓ/jets ee, μμ 140 140 0.96 $m(\tilde{\ell}_1^0)=0$, wino-bino $m(\tilde{\ell}_1^0)=5$ GeV, wino-bino 2106.01676. 2108.07586 E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss} ≥ 1 jet 0.205 1911.12606 $\hat{\chi}_1^{\pm} \hat{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ via WW 2 e. µ Emi 140 0.42 $m(\tilde{t}_1^0)=0$, wino-bino 1908.08215 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ via Wh Multiple (/jets E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss} 140 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ 1.06 $m(\tilde{t}_1^0)=70$ GeV, wino-bino 2004 10894 2108 07586 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\dagger} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\dagger}$ via $\tilde{l}_L/\tilde{\nu}$ 2 e. µ 140 1.0 $m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu})=0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{a})+m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}))$ 1908 08215 21 E_T^{mix} 140 0.5 $m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0})=0$ 2402 00603 TT. T→TX $\tilde{l}_{LR}\tilde{l}_{LR}, \tilde{l} \rightarrow l\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 2 e.µ ee.µµ 0 jets ≥ 1 jet 140 140 0.7 $m(\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{0})=0$ $m(\tilde{\ell})-m(\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{0})=10 \text{ GeV}$ 1908.08215 0.26 1911.1260 $\hat{H}\hat{H}, \hat{H} \rightarrow h\hat{G}/Z\hat{G}$ 0 e.μ 4 e.μ 0 e.μ $\begin{array}{c} \geq 3 \ b \\ 0 \ \text{jets} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} E_{T_{\text{miss}}}^{\text{miss}} \\ E_{T_{\text{miss}}}^{\text{miss}} \\ \geq 2 \ \text{large jets} \ E_{T_{T}}^{\text{miss}} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{BR}(\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow h \widehat{G}) = 1 \\ \mathsf{BR}(\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \widehat{G}) = 1 \\ \mathsf{BR}(\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \widehat{G}) = 1 \end{array}$ 140 140 140 0.94 2401.14922 0.55 2103.11684 2108.07586 0.45-0.93 2 e.µ ≥ 2 jets E_T^{miss} 140 2204.13072 0.77 $BR(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z\tilde{G})=BR(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow h\tilde{G})=0.5$ Direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^* \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^*$ Disapp. trk Emis 140 0.66 0.21 Stable # R-hadron pixel dE/dx 140 2.05 LQ1(ej) x2 pixel dE/dx E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss} 140 [r(g) =10 ns] 2.2 Metastable \bar{r} R-hadron, $\bar{r} \rightarrow aa \tilde{t}$ LQ1(ej)+LQ1(vj) β=0.5 11. 1→tG Displ. lep 140 0.74 LQ2(µi) x2 0.36 LQ2(μj)+LQ2(vj) β=0.5 pixel dE/dx E_T^{miss} 140 LQ3(Tb) x2 LQ3(vb) x2 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} / \tilde{\chi}_1^0 , \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} {\rightarrow} Z \ell {\rightarrow} \ell \ell \ell$ 3 e. µ 140 (T)-1 BB(Ze)-0.625 1.05 LQ3(Tt) x2 $\hat{\chi}_1^{\pm} \hat{\chi}_1^{\mp} / \hat{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow WW/Z\ell\ell\ell\ell\nu\nu$ 4 e. µ 0 jets Eni 140 [Am # 0, An # 0] 1.55 0.95 LQ3(vt) x2 $\hat{g}\hat{g}, \hat{g} \rightarrow qq\hat{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \hat{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow qqq$ $\vec{n}, \vec{i} \rightarrow t\hat{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \hat{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow tbs$ >8 iets 140 50 GeV 1250 Ge 2.34 Single LO1 (A=1) Multiple 36.1 0.55 1.05 Single LQ2 (\arrow=1) **Vd**E $\vec{n}, \vec{i} \rightarrow b \vec{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \vec{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow b b s$ > 4b 140 0.95 O 3 i.i. i.→bs 2 jets + 2 b 36.7 0.61 0.42 $\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow qt$ 2 e.μ 1 μ 2 b DV 140 136 0.4-1.85 $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}/\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{0} \rightarrow tbs, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow bbs$ 140 0.2-0.32 1-2 e. u ≥6 jets RS1(jj), k=0.1 RS1(yy), k=0.1 RS1(ee,µµ), k=0.1 *Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or 10-1 nena is shown. Many of the limits are based or simplified models of refs for the assumptions made **CMS** Preliminary

No signal of new physics so far!!

LFU "violation" $R_{K(*)}$ went away \otimes



inclusive jets, A+

inclusive jets, A-

^{0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10111213141516171819} TeV

Are we leaving no stones unturned?

- The LHC BSM searches are indispensable and should be continued in the new energy regime and with increasing statistics (higher mass, lower couplings)
- But are we looking at the right place and do we leave not stones unturned? -> Recent focus on long lived particles
- Time for more effort in thinking of complementary searches:
 -> What could the LHC miss with the present detectors?

Are we looking at the right place?





Long lifetimes in the BSM world



Any model with small couplings, small mass splittings, or decays via off-shell particles can result in long lived particles (LLPs)

Long Lived Particles

Long lifetimes arise from a hierarchy of scales or a small coupling

- RP Violating SUSY
- AMSB SUSY
- Gauge Mediated SUSY
- Split SUSY
- Hidden Valleys Models
- Dark QED/Dark Photons
- Magnetic monopoles
- Quirk Models
- Dark Matter Models
- Stable Sexaquarks
- Axion-Like Particles



LLP Community Workshops





https://indico.cern.ch/event/1119695/



https://indico.cern.ch/event/1381368/

Physics Beyond Colliders Study Group e.g. https://indico.cern.ch/event/1369776/

LLP Community White Paper: arXiv:1903.04497

Long Lived Particles @ LHC

Examples of the distance travelled before decay in a central detector (example for ATLAS) depending on lifetime and kinematics



Long Lived Particles @LHC

Signatures



Some of the Challenges

Triggers: Tracking detectors are powerful but difficult to use in trigger

SM backgrounds often low. But need special studies (punch through, secondary interactions, tails, cosmics...)

Special reconstruction is often needed

Some detector upgrades for High-Luminosity LHC (>2029) address these issues.

Long Lived Searches: Examples



Long Lived Searches: Examples

delayed jets



LLPs in muon system



displaced photons



Disappearing tracks



Small displacements



RPV searches



Developments @ LHC Analyses

- Triggers improvements:
 - Examples LHCb software trigger, displaced objects, timing, ... (see eg:2210.14675)
- Data collection improvements
 - Scouting of data & data parking techniques
- Analysis improvements
 - Better use of the detector capabilities, timing, LLP search in all subsystems eg muon system, new reconstruction methods, Machine Learning...
- Detector upgrades for HL-LHC:
 - Extended fast timing (4D reconstruction) and improved triggers (displaced tracks), smart FPGAs in DAQ...
- New/extended experiments @ LHC -> next

LLP Summary Plot for Hidden Sector Models



95% CL exclusion limits on Higgs branching to a pair of spin-0 LLPs

Long-Lived Particle Overview

Overview of CMS long-lived particle searches



Selection of observed exclusion limits at 95% C.L. (theory uncertainties are not included). The v-axis tick labels indicate the studied long-lived particle.

Some recent results wil be covered in talks by G. Landsberg, Alex Oh., Andrea Perrotta...

RPV

SUSY

UDD, $\ddot{g} \rightarrow tbs$, $m_{\sigma} = 2500 \text{ GeV}$

UDD, $\ddot{a} \rightarrow tbs$, $m_{\ddot{a}} = 2500 \text{ GeV}$

UDD, $t \rightarrow \overline{dd}$, $m_{\tilde{t}} = 1600 \text{ GeV}$

UDD, $t \rightarrow \overline{dd}$, m = 1600 GeV

LOD, $\tilde{t} \rightarrow bl$, $m_{\tilde{t}} = 600 \text{ GeV}$

LOD, $\tilde{t} \rightarrow bl$, $m_{\tilde{t}} = 460 \text{ GeV}$

LOD, $\tilde{t} \rightarrow bl$, $m_{\tilde{t}} = 1600 \text{ GeV}$

GMSB, $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{G}$, $m_{\sigma} = 2450 \text{ GeV}$

GMSB, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow g\tilde{G}$, $m_d = 2100 \text{ GeV}$

Split SUSY, $\ddot{q} \rightarrow q \ddot{q} \chi_1^0$, $m_{\ddot{q}} = 2500 \text{ GeV}$

Split SUSY, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}\chi_1^0$, $m_{\tilde{q}} = 1300 \text{ GeV}$

Stopped $t, t \rightarrow t \chi_1^0, m_t = 700 \text{ GeV}$

AMSB, $\chi^{\pm} \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \pi^{\pm}$, $m_{\chi^{\pm}} = 700 \text{ GeV}$

GMSB SPS8, $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$, $m_{\chi_1^0} = 400 \text{ GeV}$

GMSB, co-NISP, $\tilde{I} \rightarrow I\tilde{G}$, $m\tilde{I} = 270$ GeV

Split SUSY (HSCP), $f_{\bar{\alpha}\sigma} = 0.1$, $m_{\bar{\alpha}} = 1600 \text{ GeV}$

Stopped \tilde{g} , $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \chi_1^0$, $f_{\bar{g}g} = 0.1$, $m_{\bar{g}} = 1300 \text{ GeV}$

GMSB, $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow H\ddot{G}(50\%)/Z\ddot{G}(50\%)$, $m_{\chi_1^0} = 600 \text{ GeV}$

GMSB, $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow H\ddot{G}(50\%)/Z\ddot{G}(50\%), m_{\chi_1^0} = 300 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow ee, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 20 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(0.03\%), X \rightarrow II, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 30 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow b\bar{b}, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 40 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow b\bar{b}, m_{H} = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_{X} = 40 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow b\bar{b}, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 40 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow b\bar{b}, m_{H} = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_{X} = 40 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow d\bar{d}, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 40 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow \tau \tau, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 40 \text{ GeV}$

 $H \rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow \tau\tau, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 7 \text{ GeV}$

mGMSB (HSCP) $\tan \beta = 10$, $\mu > 0$, $m_{\tilde{\tau}} = 247$ GeV

Example: Heavy Neutral Leptons

Neutrino portal: vMSM (Neutrino Minimal Standard Model) Minimal extension of the SM fermion sector by Right Handed HNLs: N1, N2, N3 Addresses the masses of neutrinos, baryon asymmetry and dark matter



Now we have LHC studies with displaced jets/lepton analyses with L~ 1m

Search for Long Lived Leptons (HNL)



Search for long-lived heavy neutral leptons (HNLs)

arXiv.2312.07484

HNLs produced through mixing with SM neutrinos in final state of 2 charged leptons + 2 jets

Low mass HNLs are long lived

 $\tau_N \propto m_N^{-5} V_{Nl}^{-2}$

Search for 2 leptons; one forms a displaced vertex with jets

Different sensitivities for Dirac and Majorano neutrinos



Search for Long Lived Leptons (HNL)







Search for long-lived heavy neutral leptons (HNLs)

Jets+lepton displaced vertex



HNLs decaying in Muon system



HNLs from b-quark decays

arXiv.2407.10717

arXiv.2402.18658

arXiv.2403.04584



Search for Long Lived Leptons (HNL)







2204.11988 Summary of HNL results $(|U_e|^2, |U_{\pi}|^2, |U_{\pi}|^2) = |U|^2 (x_e, x_{\mu}, x_{\sigma})$ $(|U_e|^2, |U_{\pi}|^2) = |U|^2 (x_e, x_{\mu}, x_{\sigma})$ $(10_{-10} - 10_{-$ CMS 35.9-138 fb⁻¹(13 TeV) |V_{µN}|² Majorana 10^{0} Observed Expected 10^{-1} 2405.17605 10^{-2} Muon Channel 10-Prompt 1ℓ + 2 displaced ℓ 10⁻³ JHEP 07 (2022) 081 Prompt 1ℓ + >=1 displaced jet 10^{-} JHEP 03 (2024) 105 HNL 10-4 Prompt 1ℓ + MDS 10arXiv:2402.18658 B-parking 2ℓ + π Type I seesaw arXiv:2403.04584 10-5 10^{-6} Prompt 3ℓ = (e, mu, τ) arXiv:2403.00100 Dirac model Same-sign 2l+jet 10^{-7} 10^{-2} 10⁻⁶ JHEP 01 (2019) 122 VBF Same-sign 2ℓ PRL 131 (2023) 011803 10^{-} 10^{-7} 10^{4} 10¹ 10^{2} 10³ 10^{0} m_N [GeV] 10^{-} 10^{-3} 10^{-6} Displaced decays

• Neutrinos from B and W decays

q



Dedicated LHC Experiments for Searches for Long Lived Particles

The MoEDAL Experiment

...A search for Magnetic Monopoles and more...

MoEDAL

See talk J. Pinfold



LHCb

MoEDAL = ~ 75 physicists from 22 institutes and 11 countries -> MoEDAL is a passive detector, sensitive to new physics

Monopole search in heavy ion data using Schwinger model



MoEDAL is THE prototype of a small dedicated BSM experiment @LHC

New Directions for Experiments



Proposals for Transverse Detectors

New Transverse Experiment Proposals

MilliQan: searches for millicharged particles MAPP: MoEDAL upgrade FORMOSA: demonstrator



CODEX-b: searches for long lived weakly interacting neutral particles



Also: AL3X ('ALICE' for LLP arXiv.1810.03636).

MATHUSLA: searches for long lived weakly interacting neutral particles





ANUBIS: searches for long lived weakly interacting neutral particles

~2020

+Recently (2021): a new detector for CMS cavern..

Particles with Milli-Charges?

"New" idea -> Hunting for particles with charges ~ 0.3-0.001e Baseline paper: arXiv:1410.6816 Proposal for a new experiment/CMS subdetector. Demonstrator (1%) taking data since mid-2017 till 2018

A Letter of Intent to Install a Milli-charged Particle Detector at

arXiv:1607.04669

LHC P5

Austin Ball,¹ Jim Brooke,² Claudio Campagnari,³ Albert De Roeck,¹ Brian Francis,⁴
Martin Gastal,¹ Frank Golf,³ Joel Goldstein,² Andy Haas,⁵ Christopher S. Hill,⁴ Eder
Izaguirre,⁶ Benjamin Kaplan,⁵ Gabriel Magill,^{7,6} Bennett Marsh,³ David Miller,⁸ Theo
Prins,¹ Harry Shakeshaft,¹ David Stuart,³ Max Swiatlowski,⁸ and Itay Yavin^{7,6}



Motivation:

"Dark QED" ie QED in the dark sector that kinematically mixes with the SM QED.
The EDGES anomaly...?

Detection technique: scintillators-> low light signals



MilliQan Experiment

Existing Counting Roon

Millicharged Particles

Search for Millicharges: Particles with very small charges, compared to the electron, expected e.g. in Dark Sector theories.

Scintillator bar and slab based detectors +PMTs



MAPP/MoEDAL

MAPP is a detector for the upgrade of MoEDALSee talk J. PinfoldMAPP is a scintillator detector –like MilliQan– installed at CERN



MAPP is being commissioned for physics.

MAPP-1: DY only, 100% eff., no background milliQan: DY+meson decays, bkg.+detector eff. included FORMOSA-1: DY+meson decays, 100% eff., no background



400 scintillator bars (10×10×75 cm³) in 4 sections readout by PMTs
Protected by a hermetic VETO counter system



MilliQan: a new type of new physics hunter

- The idea of detector and the success of the demonstrator in 2018-• 2020 has led to new proposals for MilliQan-like experiments..
 - SUBMET: T2K 'neutrino' beam (mass< 2 GeV). Experiment installed and being comissioned right now. arXiv:2007.06329
 - MoEDAL/MAPP: @LHCb IP
 - FORMOSA: @FPF Cavern of the HL-LHC
 - FerMINI: FNAL fixed target experiment

- (Japan)
- arXiv:1909.05216 (CERN)
- (CERN) arXiv:2203.05090
- (USA) arXiv:1812.03998

E.G the SUBMET proposal (funded and approved in June '23; Installed '24)



MilliQan collaboration is involved in SUBMET, FerMINI & FORMOSA Detectors =>This is a science program for up to 2040 and beyond!!

SUBMET Experiment at J-PARC

SUB-Millicharge ExperimenT







At the 30 GeV proton beam of J-PARC (next to the T2K near detector)



Detector installed spring/summer 2024
Comissionong run with beam July 2024
First Physics run this fall !

CODEX-b

COmpact Detector for EXotics at LHCb: a dedicated LLP detector@ IP8



- Nominal design: $10x10x10m^3$ tracking volume 25 m away from the IP, preceded by an active shield of $(25+5)\lambda$ Pb + 7λ concrete -> 1% angular acceptance
- RPC tracking detectors (ATLAS Phase 1 upgrade), integrated in LHCb triggerless readout -> Good vertexing and timing
- Modifications to the volume possible if DELPHI detector will be relocated

CODEX-β

Demonstrator to test technologies planned for CODEX-b

Integration with LHCb DAQ, measure backgrounds, develop & test reconstruction algorithms & simulation, + physics performance (but no shield)

- 2x2x2m³ cube in LHCb HLT D1 server room in Run 3
- 14 triplets of RPC designed for ATLAS Phase I upgrade of muon spectrometer. Cost O(200 kCHF)
 Expect 10⁷ K_L to decay in the demonstrator volume.
 Some reach for a search of multi-tracks (4+) LLP decays (appear eg in Hidden Valley models)
 - CODEX-beta for Run 3 progressing steadily
 - Ramping up hardware production and software activities
 - RPC assembly to begin next month
 - Investigating first toy data analyses



Detector being prepared to be installed this winter shutdown



CODEX-b: Physics

Physics reach

- Many UV complete and minimal benchmarks studied
- Two representative examples:
 - $h \rightarrow A'A' \rightarrow 2e2e$
 - $\cdot \ b \to sS \to s\ell\ell$
- Unique reach from CODEX-b wrt existing experiments
- Find many more scenarios in the Eol [arXiv:1911.00481]



MATHUSLA

MATHUSLA: MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra-Stable neutraL pArticles

Dedicated detector sensitive to neutral long-lived particles with lifetime up to the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis limit $(10^7 - 10^8 \text{ m})$ for the HL-LHC

Proposed large area surface detector located above CMS with robust tracking and background rejection

- Large volume ~100x100x30m³
- 4D tracking with ~ns time resolution
- Can run standalone or "combined" to CMS







MATHUSLA

MATHUSLA to be build up from 9x9x30m³ modules

- 6-layer tracking/timing detectors at the top
- Additional double tracking/timing layer at ground level
- Double tracking/timing layer at the floor level

- arXiv 1606.06298
- arXiv 1806.07396
- CERN-LHCC-2018-025



Baseline technology: extruded scintillator bars with wavelength shifting fibers (WLSF) connected to SiPMs. Possibly with RPCs or cosmic ray data. ->2018 RPC test-stand feasibility study (2005.02018)

To reconstruct hit position along scintillator bar: use difference in arrival time between separate measurements at two ends Lab tests: Target timing resolution ~1 ns





MATHUSLA

Recent devopments: rescoping MATHUSLA

 P5 confirmed that "auxiliary experiments like CODEX-b and MATHUSLA can extend the sensitivity to BSM particle lifetime in Higgs decays by several orders of magnitude". However, it did not recommend DOE to fund MATHUSLA in its full 100 m x 100 m scale and proposed it will compete in the portfolio for the smaller scale Agile projects



ANUBIS

ANUBIS: searches for long lived weakly interacting neutral particles

AN Underground Belayed In-Shaft detector





ANUBIS changed from 'in shaft' to 'in cavern'
ANUBIS

ANUBIS: searches for long lived weakly interacting neutral particles

AN Underground Belayed In-Shaft detector







Parameter	Specification
Time resolution	$\delta t \lesssim 0.5 \ { m ns}$
Angular resolution	$\delta \alpha \lesssim 0.01 { m rad}$
Spatial resolution	$\delta x, \delta z \lesssim 0.5~{ m cm}$
Per-layer hit efficiency	$arepsilon\gtrsim98\%$

- 3 layers of tracking stations of ATLAS phase-2 upgrade RPCs
- 2x1x1m³ test set-up deployed
- partial detector in 2028+, full detector in 2033+

ANUBIS

How close can ANUBIS get to the BBN limit?



ANUBIS

proANUBIS: A protoype for ANUBIS in the ATLAS cavern

 Neutron-air interactions, kaon decays and interactions: sources of background Likely controllable from collimated pairs of charged tracks. But need to validate background model in-situ... Calls for a prototype!





The proANUBIS prototype is currently installed (again) in the cavern for the 2024 run and being commisioned. Proof of concept for ANUBIS!

Sensitivities for an Example Process

Higgs as a portal to the Dark Sector, with a long lived scalar states s



The different proposals have different strengths and levels of complementarity Studies regularly reported in PBC, FIP, and LLP meetings

Trapping Particles

arXiv:2110.13837

- Proposal for Detecting LLPs Trapped in detector material:
 - -> 2x2x2m³ dense target (rods), turned into a LAr calorimeter
- Sensitivity studied for e.g. R-hadrons



- Take the absorber apart (brass rods, 1cm x 1cm)
- Submerge into LAr, leave 1cm space between rods
- Apply voltage to each rod and attach readout electronics
 LAr calorimeter!

Trap the particles and wait for its decay Reach longer lifetimes: > weeks, months!





Proposals for Forward Detectors

New Forward Detector Proposals

FASER: searches for long lived dark photons-like particles, neutrinos



SND@LHC: neutrino measurements and long lived particle searches



Figure 5: Layout of the proposed SND@LHC detector.

FACET: Instrumented Beampipe for CMS



FPS: A Facility for Forward Physics Containing several experiments



FASER and SND@LHC have been approved in 2019/2020 and are taking data during Run 3

Forward Particle Production



Experimental Technqiues



Two New Detectors at the LHC FASER and SND@LHC

FASER and SND@LHC

Experiments to search for forward produced LLP (ALPs, Dark Photons, DM...) and neutrinos



History of FASER and SND@LHC

- FASER: proposed in 2018
 - Approved in 2019
 - Partially using spare parts from ATLAS and LHCb
 - Partially "private" sponsored (Simons Foundation)
 - Passive Neutrino added in 2019 (FASERnu)
 - Construction started 2020/finished 2021
- SND@LHC: proposed in 2020
 - SND detector technology partialy based on SHIP proposal
 - TDR end of 2020. Approved March 2021
 - Construction started 2021/finished 2021!!

Both experiments were ready to take data in Run 3 in May 2022!

Neutrinos @ the LHC: SND@LHC & FASERv

SND= Scattering and Neutrino Detector

SND@LHC/FASERv are 480m forward and can study TeV-neutrinos with emulsion and tracking+muon/calo detectors









The FASER & FASERv Detectors



x

Trigger / pre-shower scintillator system

Neutrinos from the LHC

Direct Neutrino observation by SND@LHC and FASER

Neutrino observation with electronic detectors

- Analysis strategy:
 - Full Run 3 2022 dataset, 39 fb⁻¹
 - Observe v_{μ} Charged Current interactions with electronic detectors only
 - Maximise S/B, counting-based approach
 - ~10⁹ muon events: apply cuts with a strong rejection power to reach a negligible background level





- Observed v_{μ} candidates: 8 (expected 5)
- Preliminary estimate of background yield: 0.2

SND@LHC:2305.09383FASER:2303.14185









SND@LHC & FASER

Emulsion detector analyses

Analysis of emulsion detector data is ongoing





 Significant parts from 2022 data have been already scanned. 2023 data to start
 Examples of vertices found based on predictions from electron detectors

ν_{e} and ν_{μ} Interaction Cross Sections



Light Long Lived Particles

There are light long-lived particles in the SM: muon, pion, kaon, neutron ... many BSM scenarios also include (light) long-lived particles

Example: dark photon

similar to the SM photon but with mass mA' and couplings to SM particles suppressed by ϵ

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m_{A'}^{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} + \sum \bar{f}(i \not\partial - \epsilon e q_{f} \notA') f$$





FASER: Dark Photon Search

• Signal: $\pi/\eta \rightarrow A'\gamma$ or $pp \rightarrow ppA'$, A' travels 476 m through rock/concrete, then decays $A' \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Probes thermal target: m ~ 10 – 100 MeV, $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$.



- After unblinding, no events seen in signal region. Background ~ 10⁻³ events, FASER sets limits on previously unexplored parameter space.
- First incursion (with NA62) into the thermal target from low coupling since the 1990's.
- Background-free bodes well for the future: FASER2 has ~60,000 better sensitivity.



FASER: Dark Photon Search

• Signal: $\pi/\eta \rightarrow A'\gamma$ or $pp \rightarrow ppA'$, A' travels 476 m through rock/concrete, then decays $A' \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Probes thermal target: m ~ 10 – 100 MeV, $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$.



- After unblinding, no events seen in signal region. Background ~ 10^{-3} events, FASER sets limits on previously unexplored parameter space.
- First incursion (with NA62) into the thermal target from low coupling since the 1990's.
- Background-free bodes well for the future: FASER2 has ~60,000 better sensitivity.





FASER: Axion-Like Particle Search





Mainly from B decays

- Currently sensitive to axion-like particles (ALPs) coupling to SU(2)_L gauge bosons
- Signature:
 - decay a-> $\gamma\gamma$ with >1 TeV in calorimeter
 - No signal in veto counters
 - In time with LHC collision
 - Background dominated by neutrinos interacting in the detector material!

1 event observed / 0.4 +/- 0.4 expected

CERN-FASER-CONF-2024-001



More BSM Searches to Come

Eg. SND@LHC sensitivity for light dark matter



Production: consider a scalar χ particle coupled to the Standard Model via a leptophobic portal,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{leptophob}} = -g_B V^{\mu} J^B_{\mu} + g_B V^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \chi + \chi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \chi),$$

Detection: χ elastic/inelastic scattering off nucleons of the target



- More channels to explore by SND@LHC and FASER
- ➔ Higgs-like scalars, Heavy Neutral Leptons, final state radiation effects, Quirks, LFV with tau excess, exotic interactions...





FACET



An Option for the FUTURE: The Forward Physics Facility



NEW: The Forward Physics Facility

Origin: Letter of intent contributed to the Snowmass21 process. Based on the FASER experience and studies: propose to have a Forward Physics Facility (FPF) experimental hall with room to include forward detectors for new physics searches (and QCD): FASER2, others

2203.05090



FORMOSA Demonstrator

A small-scale version of the full FORMOSA was installed during YETS 2023

 \rightarrow 2rows x 2columns x 4 layers

Located in the UJ12 cavern (behind FASER), in the opposite side of the to-be FPF

The demonstrator allows to prove concept and target new phase space





Expected evolution over the next few years





UCDAVIS

Non-Collider Experiments

- Neutrino Experiments
- Beam Dump Experiments
- High Intensitiy Experiments

Ongoing and planned projects: Belle-II, BES-III,NA62, NA64, MixroBooNE, T2K, DAMSA, Shiness, LUXE, SUBMET,...

. . .

New: The SHiP Experiment

NEWS: March 24 CERN management selected SHiP as the experiment for the new beam dump facility for the CERN fixed target North Hall. SHiP foreseen to take data as of ~2030 for 15 years



SHiP is an optimized detector for searches for Feebly Interacting Particles (FIPS) such as:

- Axions/Axion-like particles
- Heavy Neutral Leptons
- Millicharged particles
- Dark Sector scalars
- Dark Photons
- Light Dark Matter...

SHiP will be a 15+ year program with a ~2 orders improved sensitivity compared to present experiments in the region of a few GeV

SHiP Physics Prospects



All plots are based on 6×10²⁰ PoT, and limits correspond to 90% CL, translating to 2.3 events in the absence of background

Neutrino Experiments Near Detectors

High intensity frontier for low mass particles with very weak couplings ->upcoming neutrino experiments (SBL, LBL) foresee very high intensity beams



arXiv:1907.08311

M. HOSTERT^{**}, B. JONES^{*}, B.J. KAYSER⁵⁰, K.J. KELL^{***}, D. KIM^{**}, J. KOPP^{54,0}, A. KÜBIK^{**},
 K. LANG²⁰, I. LEPETIC²¹, P. MACHADO¹⁶, C.A, MOURA²², F. OLNESS⁶, J.C. PARK²³, S. PASCOLI¹⁵,
 S. PRAKASH¹³, L. ROGERS⁶, I. SAFA²⁴, A. SCHNEIDER²⁴, K. SCHOLBERG²⁵, S. SHIN^{26,27},
 I.M. SHOEMAKER²⁸, G. SINEV²⁵, B. SMITHERS⁶, A. SOUSA^{* 2}, Y. SU²⁹, V. TAKHISTOV³⁰,
 J. THOMAS³¹, J. TODD², Y.-D. TSAI¹⁵, Y.-T. TSAI³², J. YU^{* 6}, AND C. ZHANG⁴

ProtoDUNEs for BSM Searches?

arXiv:2304.06765

New Physics searches using ProtoDUNE and the CERN SPS accelerator

Pilar Coloma,^{1,*} Jacobo López-Pavón,^{2,†} Laura Molina-Bueno,^{2,‡} and Salvador Urrea^{2,§}

Use the ProtoDUNE detectors to hunt for weakly interaction LLPs or light dark matter scattering? The 'beam' comes for free!!



The T2 target in the North Hall "acts" like a beam dump for the 400 GeV SPS beam, and can deliver 3.5x10¹⁸ POTs/year



Experimental feasibility study ongoing...



Physics Beyond Colliders

Example: Heavy Neutral Leptons



Summary

- Clearly and increased interest in low mass/coupling and LLP searches at the LHC in CMS, ATLAS, LHCb, MoEDAL. Many analyses done or in are progress. No signal observed yet, but only top of the iceberg covered so far.
- New ideas for additional small experiments at the LHC to increase the coverage: MilliQan, MAPP, MATHUSLA, CODEXb, AL3X, ANUBIS, FACET.... LLPs also focus in the "Physics Beyond Collider" studies @ SPS (SHiP.... ProtoDUNEs?)
- New: FASER & SND@LHC Ready and take run 3 data
- Milligan: Technology works: ->now several c
- Snowmass21 process: . Several new ideas
 experiments at the LHC proposed recently: 1
- If we would observe one significant anomaly



Backup

Neutrinos at the LHC



Cross section/neutrino energy

- Highest neutrino energy made by man-kind
- Behavior of neutrinos at TeV energies?
- Lepton Universality in neutrino scattering?
 - v_{τ} and heavy quarks \rightarrow Flavor anomaly e.g. R_D
- Any new physics effects at high energy?

FACET

Dark Photons

Mingxuan Du, Rundong Fang, Zuowei Liu and Van Que Tran, Enhanced long-lived dark photon signals at lifetime frontier detectors, arXiv:2111.15503v1 [hep-ph] 30 Nov 2021


Heavy Stable Charged Particles

- ATLAS saw an intruiging excess in high de/dx particles in 2022 2205.0613
 CMS released a similar analysis to ATLAS but no excess observed
- ATLAS found a 3.3 σ global excess in their Run 2 analysis
- But CMS **does not** see any excesses in the same region



Also no significant excess in different ATLAS de/dx analysis

CMS-EXO-18-002

Future Colliders



FCC-ee Studies

FCC_ee reach for HNLs, for 5x10¹² Z boson decays and a central detector (IDEA or CLD type)

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \nu N \qquad N \rightarrow \ell W^* \rightarrow \ell j j \qquad 2203.05502$$



Important: take LLP requirements into account from the start! (Snowmass2021)

Dedicated LLP Detectors at Future Facilities?

- FCC-ee baseline is consistent with having 2 or 4 detectors
- Opportunities for new, creative designs!

Lepton collider ideas:

- HECATE (EPJC 81 (2021) 546 / arXiv:2011.01005)
 - Instrument cavern walls with scintillators or RPCs
- Study at ILC (PRD 107 (2023) 076022 / arXiv:2202.11714)
 - Conclude that ILD still does better for LL ALPs

Hadron collider ideas:

- DELIGHT (PRD 106 (2022) 095018 / arXiv:2111.02437)
 - Transverse detector
- FORESEE (PRD 104 (2021) 035012 / arXiv:2105.07077)
 - Numerical package to simulate sensitivity of far-forward detectors
- FOREHUNT (<u>arXiv:2306.11803</u>)
 - Forward detector



HECATE: Instrument the cavern walls! Cover DLs up to 15 meters

LLPs @ FCC-hh, FCC-ee

HECATE: HErmetic CAvern TrackER. A long-lived particle detector concept for FCC-ee or CEPC

- For FCC-hh / FCC-ee, main detector will be relatively smaller than the cavern
- Cover detector cavern walls with scintillator plates or RPCs
 - >= 2 layers of 1 m² separated by a sizeable distance timing
 - >= 4 layers for good tracking
 - 4π coverage LLP detector
- FCC main detector as active veto
- Sensitive to a unique area of phase space



• THUNDERDOME: Totally Hyper-UNrealistic DEtectoR in a huge DOME (maximum distance from IP=100m for comparison)



Proposal: 2011.01005



Cavern size: r~15 m and z~50 m

Main detector size =(10m)

CEPC Studies: the Far Detector



16 options studied for D = 5-100m L,B = 50-2000mH = 10-80m

Light Scalars from Exotic H Decays



See also Xuai Zhuang

The FD will extend and complement the sensitivity to the LLPs compared with the (central) Near Detector

DELIGHT: A MATHUSLA or CODEX-b type of detector for the FCC-hh integrated from the start!

DELIGHT (A):	The same as the dimensions of the MATHUSLA detector,		
	i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 25 \times 100 \times 100 \mathrm{m}^3$.		
DELIGHT (B):	Four times bigger than the MATHUSLA detector,		
	i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ m}^3$.		
DELIGHT (C):	Twice the same decay volume as the MATHUSLA detector with		
	different dimensions, i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 200 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ m}^3$.		

$$x_1 = 25 m$$

$$y_1 = 0 m$$

$$z_1 = -\Delta z/2$$



2111.02437

2306.11803

DELIGHT Detector for long-lived particles at hi<mark>gh</mark> energy of 100 TeV

FOREHUNT: a Forward Detector for FCC-hh like FASER



2306.11803

- Brand new proposal (June 20, 2023)
- Place dedicated LLP detector in the forward region at the FCC-hh
- Target LLPs from B-meson decays

Dark Higgs scalar:

FOREHUNT

- Assume main FCC-hh detector at $z \in [-25, 25]$ m and sufficient shielding
- Put FOREHUNT at at least 50 m in z
- Option: put FOREHUNT-C slightly off z-axis
 - 1 m off z-axis: acceptance drops by factor of 2
 - 5 m off z-axis: acceptance falls drastically



mo

 $B^0 \to D^{\pm} \tau^{\mp} N_{\tau}$, HNLs: $B^{\pm} \to D^0 \tau^{\pm} N_{\tau}$, $B^{\pm} \to \tau^{\pm} N_{\tau}$.



Detector Configuration @100 TeV	Radius (R)	Length (L_d)	Position (Z)
FOREHUNT-A	1 m	10 m	50 m
FOREHUNT-B	2 m	20 m	50 m
FOREHUNT-C	5 m	50 m	50 m
FOREHUNT-D	2 m	20 m	75 m
FOREHUNT-E	5 m	50 m	75 m
FOREHUNT-F	5 m	50 m	100 m

FORMOSA



Positive outcomes from P5

5.1.3 – New Initiative: A Portfolio of Agile Projects to Search for Direct Evidence of New Particles

Another strategy to look for long-lived particles at colliders is to construct auxiliary experiments that are placed far away from the primary collision points. Proposed auxiliary experiments like CODEX-b and MATHUSLA can extend the sensitivity to BSM particle lifetimes in Higgs decays by several orders of magnitude. Experiments like FASER2 and FORMOSA at the proposed Forward Physics Facility at CERN would be sensitive to the hidden sectors through the vector and heavy neutral lepton portals. At Fermilab, PIP-II is expected to make many more protons than needed for DUNE, and we anticipate proposals for experiments using the excess protons. These experiments should compete in the portfolio for agile projects (see Recommendation 3a and section 6.2).

FORMOSA mentioned explicitly as good example of "Agile" project for dark sector sensitivity **FORMOSA:** An experiment to search for millicharged particles in the LHC collisions. It builds on the experience of the MilliQan experiment and is proposed to be housed at the FPF.

Displaced Leptons



New results from re-interpretation of prompt direct staus [<u>ATL-PHYS-PUB-2024-007</u>] + Displaced Leptons offer new sensitivity to staus (but gaps still remain!)

HNLs



HNLs



HNLs in the B-parking dataset

- We present a search for LL HNLs in our Run 2 B-parking dataset
- Look for HNLs produced in B meson decays: $B \rightarrow l_B NX$, $N \rightarrow l\pi$
- Provides excellent sensitivity in intermediate m_N ranges, ~3-6 GeV
- First EXO search using parking dataset! <u>Anne-Mazarine Lyon's</u> <u>talk</u> will cover this result



CM.

Summary Plots

CMS and dark sectors



Several LLP searches included in the combinations





CMS-EXO-23-005

IMS

Future LHCb Sensitivity

Dark photons: new muon and electron ID

- Better tracking-based muon ID massive improvement at low momentum
 - can be / going to be further improved with NNs
- Monotonic and fast Lipsitz NN for electron ID



CODEX-b Expresion of interest



arXiv:1911.00481

CODEX-b

CODEX-b offers a competitive sensitivity to a number of BSM models at a relatively low cost $\mathcal{O}(\$10 \text{ M})$:

- Abelian hidden sector
- Dark Higgs
- Axion-like particles
- Heavy neutral leptons
- R-parity violating supersymmetry
- Relaxation models
- Neutral naturalness
- Inelastic dark matter
- Dark matter coscattering
- Dark matter from sterile coannihilation
- Asymmetric dark matter
- Baryogenesis
- Hidden valleys
- And many more!



Lower limit on the branching ratio of Higgs decay to two dark photons, where the dark photons decay to leptons.

FASER

Unblinded results - II

- → Analysis also sensitive to other multi-photon signatures
 - Exclude new parameter space for ALP-photon, ALP-gluon, U(1)_B and up-philic scalar



JGU

FASER

Unblinded results - III

→ Analysis also sensitive to other multi-photon signatures

Exclude new parameter space for ALP-photon, ALP-gluon, U(1)_B and up-philic scalar

Г4



JGU

MATHUSLA Module 0



Proposal for MATHUSLA-10 (Canada)

- Dimensions ~10 x 10 m², H~flexible
- Prototype for the detector technology
- ► To be placed above CMS, and even as a standalone module can extend the LHC reach for LLP



- Manufacturing and operating the building blocks of the large scale detector.
- Exercise on real data: tracking, efficiency and • timing resolution using CR, at UofT.
- Characterize "beam-associated backgrounds" (rare SM particles in HL-LHC by operating at CERN P5 during LHC runs.



DAMSA

Conceptional Design

- > Inject and absorb as many protons and produce as large number of γ in the dump as possible
- > Allow higher coupling ALP's to decay in the vacuum w/ as small number of neutrons escaping the dump as possible
- Place the detector as close to the dump as possible on axis to expand the mass reach to higher mass region
- Search for ALP to two photons, dark photons to e⁻e⁺



- Good vertex pointing resolution for γγ and e⁺e⁻
- Sub-ns timing difference resolution (0.1ns)
- Low E threshold identification of e⁺e⁻
- Good mass resolution

DAMSA



DAMSA

DAMSA Pilot experiment

- > Goal: do a physics demonstrator in the next 2 yrs
- Beam: 300 MeV e-beams at Fermilab FAST

 → greatly reduced neutron bkgds, compared to proton beam
- > Target: 5cm x 5cm x 10cm W target (\sim 28.5X₀)
- > Vacuum decay chamber : 10cm (R) x 30cm (L)
- Detector: 6 layers of 10cm x 10cm Si tracker (LGAD) under magnetic field + CsI ECal total absorption (24X₀)



FLArE

Forward Liquid Argon Experiment (FLArE)

- FLArE: a liquid argon time projection chamber (LArTPC) detector in FPF to detect neutrinos and dark matter from LHC
 - Fiducial mass of 10 tons (1x1x7 m³) is needed for good statistics and sensitivity to dark matter
 - · Detector needs to have good energy containment and resolution for neutrino physics
 - Muon and electron ID. Very good spatial resolution (~1 mm) for tau neutrino detection



EBES

We will try to do a physics run during 2024-2025 (depending on availability of the beam)

- With 50-100 cm L_{dec} depending on background
- SiW-ECAL introduced again (need to optimize mechanics and readout software)

Will proceed to Setup-2 if magnet is available

Having prospects of magnet

Far future: (I)LC beam dump experiment



SHINESS



96

SHINESS

HNL sensitivity

- The e^+e^- can be detected in the liquid scintillator tank by looking for compatible **energy depositions** and **Cherenkov cones**.
- Analogous studies have been conducted for other π^+ DAR experiments (e.g. LSND, JSNS²), but the **directionality capabilities of SHiNESS**, enabled by the LAPPDs, allow to reach **world-leading sensitivities** in the 10-100 MeV mass range.



S™iness

More Example Processes



10²

XENON1Ţ

LHCb

FASER

MATHUSLA

ENON

DARWIN

Where could new physics be:



NEW: The Forward Physics Facility

Originally for searches for New Physics

2203.05090

Extended to cover Neutrinos, QCD, Astroparticle Physics, Dark Matter Searches



QCD: PDFs, very forward production of light and charmed mesons, very low-x (10^{-7}) and very high-x regions eg intrinsic charm, v-DIS...

Neutrino: TeV scale neutrinos, about 1000 Tau neutrinos, tau and anti- neutrino separation...

Astroparticle physics: improve the modelling of high-energy hadronic interactions in the atmosphere. Help to understand the atmospheric neutrino flux

Timeline: a proposal for Run4 starting ~ 2030