

Gravity Duals of Quark-Gluon Plasmas with Flavors

Aldo L. Cotrone
KU Leuven

arXiv:0909.2865

with Francesco Bigazzi (ULB), Javier Mas (Santiago U.), Angel Paredes (UB), Alfonso Ramallo (Santiago U.), Javier Tarrio (Santiago U.).

- ⑥ Holographic description of **Quark**-Gluon Plasmas.
- ⑥ Missing effects: e.g. enhancement of jet quenching.

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Flavors beyond quenched approximation
=
backreaction of D7-branes
=
difficult

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Flavors beyond quenched approximation
=
backreaction of D7-branes
=
difficult

Tricks:

- ⑥ Smear $N_f \gg 1$ D7 in transverse directions \rightarrow ODE.
Flavor group is Abelian.

[Bigazzi-Casero-Cotrone-Kiritsis-Paredes 2005]

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Flavors beyond quenched approximation
=
backreaction of D7-branes
=
difficult

Tricks:

- ⑥ Smear $N_f \gg 1$ D7 in transverse directions \rightarrow ODE.
Flavor group is Abelian.

[Bigazzi-Casero-Cotrone-Kiritsis-Paredes 2005]

- ⑥ Solve in series of $\epsilon = \lambda \frac{N_f}{N_c} \rightarrow$ analytic results (2^{nd} order).
Note: excellent approximation because $\lambda \frac{N_f}{N_c}$ must be small.

[Gubser-Herzog-Klebanov-Tseytlin 2001]

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Action: IIB + DBI + CS

Solution for massless flavors:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{r^2}{R^2} \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right) dt^2 + \frac{r^2}{R^2} d\vec{x}_3^2 + \tilde{S}^8 \tilde{F}^2 \frac{R^2}{r^2} \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)} + \tilde{S}^2 R^2 ds_{CP^2}^2 + \tilde{F}^2 R^2 (d\tau + A_{CP^2})^2$$

$$R^4 = 4\pi g_s N_c \alpha'^2$$

$$F_{(5)} = 16\pi g_s N_c \alpha'^2 (1 + *) \varepsilon(S^5)$$

$$F_{(1)} = \frac{g_s}{2\pi} N_f (d\tau + A_{CP^2})$$

T=0 solution in [Benini-Canoura-Cremonesi-Nunez-Ramallo 2006].

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

$$\tilde{F} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{24} \left(1 + \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{6r_*^4 - 3r_h^4} \right)$$

$$\tilde{S} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{24} \left(1 - \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{6r_*^4 - 3r_h^4} \right)$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_* + \epsilon \log \frac{r}{r_*}$$

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F} &= 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{24} \left(1 + \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{6r_*^4 - 3r_h^4} \right) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{1152} \left(17 - \frac{94}{9} \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{2r_*^4 - r_h^4} + \frac{5}{9} \frac{(2r^4 - r_h^4)^2}{(2r_*^4 - r_h^4)^2} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{8}{9} \frac{r_h^8 (r_*^4 - r^4)}{(2r_*^4 - r_h^4)^3} - 48 \log\left(\frac{r}{r_*}\right) \right) \\
 \tilde{S} &= 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{24} \left(1 - \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{6r_*^4 - 3r_h^4} \right) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{1152} \left(9 - \frac{106}{9} \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{2r_*^4 - r_h^4} + \frac{5}{9} \frac{(2r^4 - r_h^4)^2}{(2r_*^4 - r_h^4)^2} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{8}{9} \frac{r_h^8 (r_*^4 - r^4)}{(2r_*^4 - r_h^4)^3} + 48 \log\left(\frac{r}{r_*}\right) \right) \\
 \Phi &= \Phi_* + \epsilon \log \frac{r}{r_*} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{72} \left(1 - \frac{2r^4 - r_h^4}{2r_*^4 - r_h^4} + 12 \log \frac{r}{r_*} + 36 \log^2 \frac{r}{r_*} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{9}{2} \left(Li_2\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right) - Li_2\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r_*^4}\right) \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Comments:

- ⑥ Landau pole Λ_{LP} : work in IR, below UV cutoff $\Lambda_* \sim r_*$:
 $\Lambda_* \ll \Lambda_{LP}$ if $\epsilon \ll 1$.

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Comments:

- ⑥ Landau pole Λ_{LP} : work in IR, below UV cutoff $\Lambda_* \sim r_*$:
 $\Lambda_* \ll \Lambda_{LP}$ if $\epsilon \ll 1$.
- ⑥ Brane distribution dense enough if $N_f \gg 1$.

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Comments:

- ⑥ Landau pole Λ_{LP} : work in IR, below UV cutoff $\Lambda_* \sim r_*$:
 $\Lambda_* \ll \Lambda_{LP}$ if $\epsilon \ll 1$.
- ⑥ Brane distribution dense enough if $N_f \gg 1$.
- ⑥ Full regime of validity:

$$N_c \gg 1 \quad \lambda \gg 1 \quad N_f \gg 1 \quad \epsilon = \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \frac{N_f}{N_c} \ll 1$$

A flavored $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

Comments:

⑥ Landau pole Λ_{LP} : work in IR, below UV cutoff $\Lambda_* \sim r_*$:
 $\Lambda_* \ll \Lambda_{LP}$ if $\epsilon \ll 1$.

⑥ Brane distribution dense enough if $N_f \gg 1$.

⑥ Full regime of validity:

$$N_c \gg 1 \quad \lambda \gg 1 \quad N_f \gg 1 \quad \epsilon = \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \frac{N_f}{N_c} \ll 1$$

⑥ Leading corrections if $\lambda^{-3/2} \ll \epsilon^2$.

Thermodynamics

Thermodynamics:

$$s = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 N_c^2 T^3 \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_h + \frac{7}{24}\epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{E_{ADM}}{V_3} = \frac{3}{8}\pi^2 N_c^2 T^4 \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_h + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

$$p = -\frac{F}{V_3} = Ts - \varepsilon = \frac{1}{8}\pi^2 N_c^2 T^4 \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_h + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Note: $\frac{d\epsilon_h}{dT} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{T} + O(\epsilon_h^3)$.

Check: first order equal to probe computation [Mateos-Myers-Thomson 2007].

Thermodynamics

Breaking of conformality at second order:

$$\varepsilon - 3p = \frac{1}{16} \pi^2 N_c^2 T^4 \epsilon_h^2$$
$$v_s^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left[1 - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Thermodynamics

Breaking of conformality at second order:

$$\varepsilon - 3p = \frac{1}{16} \pi^2 N_c^2 T^4 \epsilon_h^2$$
$$v_s^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left[1 - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Running of central charge at second order and $a = p$.

Hydrodynamics

Shear viscosity [Kovtun-Son-Starinets 2004]:

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

Bulk viscosity **if** Buchel's bound [Buchel 2007] is valid:

$$\zeta \geq \frac{\pi}{72} N_c^2 T^3 \epsilon_h^2$$


Jet quenching

$$\hat{q} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \sqrt{\lambda} T^3 \left[1 + \frac{1}{8} (2 + \pi) \epsilon_h + 0.5565 \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Transport coefficient characterizing probe energy loss.
In string theory calculated by light-like Wilson loop.

[Liu-Rajagopal-Wiedemann 2006]

Jet quenching


$$\hat{q} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \sqrt{\lambda} T^3 \left[1 + \frac{1}{8} (2 + \pi) \epsilon_h + 0.5565 \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Flavors enhance jet quenching

Jet quenching

$$\hat{q} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \sqrt{\lambda} T^3 \left[1 + \frac{1}{8} (2 + \pi) \epsilon_h + 0.5565 \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Flavors enhance jet quenching

⑥ at fixed $N_c = 3, \lambda = 6\pi, T = 300 \text{ MeV}$

[Liu-Rajagopal-Wiedemann 2006]

$$\hat{q}_{N=4} \sim 4.5 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm} \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{q}_{N_f=3} \sim 5.3 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm}$$

Jet quenching

$$\hat{q} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \sqrt{\lambda} T^3 \left[1 + \frac{1}{8} (2 + \pi) \epsilon_h + 0.5565 \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Flavors enhance jet quenching

⑥ at fixed $N_c = 3, \lambda = 6\pi, T = 300 \text{ MeV}$

[Liu-Rajagopal-Wiedemann 2006]

$$\hat{q}_{N=4} \sim 4.5 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm} \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{q}_{N_f=3} \sim 5.3 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm}$$

⑥ at fixed $s/T^3 = 17.5, T = 300 \text{ MeV}, \alpha_s = 1/2 \text{ (or } \lambda)$

$$\hat{q}_{N=4} \sim 3.5 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm} \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{q}_{N_f=3} \sim 4.1 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm}$$

Jet quenching

$$\hat{q} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \sqrt{\lambda} T^3 \left[1 + \frac{1}{8} (2 + \pi) \epsilon_h + 0.5565 \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Flavors enhance jet quenching

- ⑥ at fixed $N_c = 3, \lambda = 6\pi, T = 300 \text{ MeV}$

[Liu-Rajagopal-Wiedemann 2006]

$$\hat{q}_{N=4} \sim 4.5 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm} \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{q}_{N_f=3} \sim 5.3 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm}$$

- ⑥ at fixed $s/T^3 = 17.5, T = 300 \text{ MeV}, \alpha_s = 1/2$ (or λ)

$$\hat{q}_{N=4} \sim 3.5 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm} \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{q}_{N_f=3} \sim 4.1 \text{ (Gev)}^2/\text{fm}$$

- ⑥ at fixed $N_c, \epsilon, \alpha_{qq}$ [Gubser 2006].

Jet quenching

Comments:

- ⑥ Opposite to perturbative: do phenomenologists underestimate effect of flavors? [Muller-Nagle 2006]
- ⑥ Intuitive reason?
- ⑥ $\hat{q} \neq \sqrt{s}$ with flavors. [Liu-Rajagopal-Wiedemann 2006]

Jet quenching

Comments:

- ⑥ Opposite to perturbative: do phenomenologists underestimate effect of flavors? [Muller-Nagle 2006]
- ⑥ Intuitive reason?
- ⑥ $\hat{q} \neq \sqrt{s}$ with flavors. [Liu-Rajagopal-Wiedemann 2006]

Friction parameter enhanced as well:

[Herzog-Karch-Kovtun-Kozcaz-Yaffe, Gubser 2006]

$$\mu = \frac{\pi}{2M} \sqrt{\lambda} T^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{8} (2 - \log(1 - v^2)) \epsilon_h + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{384} [44 - 20 \log(1 - v^2) + 9 \log^2(1 - v^2) + 12 Li_2(v^2)] \epsilon_h^2 \right]$$

Final comments

- ⑥ Same construction for every Sasaki-Einstein space.
- ⑥ Equations extended to massive flavors.
- ⑥ Study of probes in these backgrounds.
- ⑥ Conductivity [Karch-O'Bannon 2007].
- ⑥ Meson spectra.

Final comments

- ⑥ Same construction for every Sasaki-Einstein space.
- ⑥ Equations extended to massive flavors.
- ⑥ Study of probes in these backgrounds.
- ⑥ Conductivity [Karch-O'Bannon 2007].
- ⑥ Meson spectra.

Acknowledgment: to the Italian students, parents, teachers and scientists for their activity in support of public education and research.