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## AdS/CFT Correspondence

- The presently described research is set in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence.
- This correspondence is a duality between a string theory defined on a certain space (the product of Anti de-Sitter space and a closed manifold) and a conformal field theory on the boundary of this space.
- The AdS/CFT correspondence, in its original form, establishes a duality between Type IIB string theory defined on $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ space and $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang-Mills defined on the boundary of this space.


## $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang-Mills

- Our research takes place on the field theory side of the correspondence, i.e. in $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang Mills theory (SYM), a 4 dimensional, supersymmetric QFT.
- The theory has matching bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom (hence SUSY) and includes 6 real scalar fields transforming in the adjoint of $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{N})$. We construct and study operators built out of complex linear combinations of these:

$$
Z=\Phi_{1}+i \Phi_{2}, Y=\Phi_{3}+i \Phi_{4}, X=\Phi_{5}+i \Phi_{6}
$$

- These fields have the following two point functions:

$$
\left\langle Z_{i j} Z_{k l}^{\dagger}\right\rangle=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k},\left\langle Y_{i j} Y_{k l}^{\dagger}\right\rangle=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k},\left\langle X_{i j} X_{k l}^{\dagger}\right\rangle=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}
$$

## BPS operators of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

- In particular we are concerned with half-BPS operators (operators comprised of a single matrix field) and near BPS operators (operators predominantly composed of a single matrix field with a sprinkling of the other complex matrix fields).
- Schur polynomials provide a very useful basis in which to express half-BPS operators due to certain special properties.


## Definition and Properties of Schur Polynomials

- The Schur Polynomial is defined as:

$$
\chi_{R}(Z)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma_{R}(\sigma)\right) Z_{i \sigma(1)}^{i_{1}} Z_{i \sigma(2)}^{i_{2}} \ldots Z_{i \sigma(n)}^{i_{n}}
$$

- Useful features include the fact that the two point function of Schur Polynomials is known to all orders in N :

$$
\left\langle\chi_{R}(Z) \chi_{S}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle=\delta_{R S} f_{R}
$$

- The product of weights $f_{R}$ is defined by considering the Young diagram $R$ :



## Definition and Properties of Schur Polynomials

- The Schur polynomials also satisfy a product rule:

$$
\chi_{R}(Z) \chi_{S}(Z)=\sum_{T} g_{R S T} \chi_{T}(Z)
$$

- This product rule can be understood as resulting from the fact that Schur polynomials are themselves characters of SU(N).
- The Littlewood-Richardson numbers $g_{R S T}$ count the number of times the irreducible representation $T$ appears in the direct product of the irreducible representations R and S .


## LLM Backgrounds

- Schur Polynomials with $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ fields comprising them are dual to the LLM geometries (asymptotically AdS geometries).
- The particular Young diagram labeling the Schur Polynomial corresponds to a LLM boundary condition which in turn determines the metric of the LLM geometry.
- We consider the following Young diagram label for the background Schur Polynomial.



## LLM Backgrounds

- This corresponds to the LLM geometry which is obtained by taking the LLM boundary condition to be a black annulus on a white plane.



## The Objective

- Obtaining the result of probing the new background geometry with gravitons, strings or branes on the string theory side of the AdS/CFT correspondence amounts to calculating correlators of the following form on the field theory side:

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{B}=\frac{\left\langle\chi_{B}(Z) \mathcal{O} \chi_{B}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle}{\left\langle\chi_{B}(Z) \chi_{B}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle}
$$

- The inherent difficulties in evaluating such a correlator are clear. Since there are $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ fields comprising $\chi_{B}(Z)$ non-planar diagrams can certainly not be neglected.
- To evaluate correlators of this form using perturbation theory requires a reorganization corresponding to re-summing an infinite number of diagrams.


## Solution

- Fortunately the Schur Polynomial basis for multi-trace halfBPS operators makes the calculation easily tractable.
- Express two arbitrary half-BPS operators in the Schur basis:

$$
\prod_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{n_{i}}\right)=\sum_{R} \alpha_{R} \chi_{R}(Z), \prod_{j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger m_{j}}\right)=\sum_{R} \beta_{R} \chi_{R}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)
$$

- In the trivial background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(N)=\left\langle\prod_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{n_{i}}\right) \prod_{j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger m_{j}}\right)\right\rangle & =\sum_{R, S} \alpha_{R} \beta_{S}\left\langle\chi_{R} \chi_{S}^{\dagger}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{R} \alpha_{R} \beta_{R} f_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution

- In the annulus background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{B}(N) & =\left\langle\prod_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{n_{i}}\right) \prod_{j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger m_{j}}\right)\right\rangle_{B} \\
& =\sum_{R, S} \alpha_{R} \beta_{S} \frac{\left\langle\chi_{B}(Z) \chi_{R}(Z) \chi_{S}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right) \chi_{B}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle}{f_{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now, due to the fact that every column of the Young diagram labeling the background Schur polynomial has N boxes, it is a singlet of $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ and thus the product of the background Schur polynomial and any other Schur has the simple result:

$$
\chi_{B}(Z) \chi_{R}(Z)=\chi_{+R}(Z)
$$

## Solution

- Graphically:



## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{B}(N) & =\sum_{R, S} \alpha_{R} \beta_{S} \frac{\left\langle\chi_{+R}(Z) \chi_{+S}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle}{f_{B}} \\
& =\sum_{R} \alpha_{R} \beta_{R} \frac{f_{+R}}{f_{B}}=\sum_{R} \alpha_{R} \beta_{R} f_{R}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Where $f_{R}^{\prime}$ is precisely equal to $f_{R}$ after sending $N \rightarrow N+M$



## Solution

- Thus we have:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{B}(N)=\mathcal{A}(N+M)
$$

- $\mathcal{A}(N)$ admits an expansion in $\frac{1}{N}$ which implies that $\mathcal{A}(N+M)$ admits an expansion in $\frac{1}{N+M}$.
- This is not a property of the full theory, and pertains to amplitudes of half-BPS operators that can be rewritten in the Schur polynomial basis.


## Field Theory Side

- We wish to calculate three point functions of the form:

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{n}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{m}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger m+n}\right)\right\rangle
$$

- If the holomorphic fields are inserted at one spacetime event and the anti-holomorphic fields are inserted at a second spacetime event, this correlator is extremal and protected by a non-renormalization theorem.
- This means that free field theory results can be faithfully compared to supergravity calculations at large 't Hooft coupling.
- The particular correlator that will be used for comparison is:

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger 4}\right)\right\rangle_{B}
$$

## Field Theory Side

- Utilizing our previous results:

$$
\left\langle\chi_{B}(Z) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger 4}\right) \chi_{B}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle=16(N+M)^{3} f_{B}
$$

- Keeping the comparison with the supergravity in mind, we relate the three point function to a one point function of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)$ in the following normalized state:

$$
|\Phi\rangle=\mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{4}\right)\right) \chi_{B}(Z)|0\rangle
$$

- Now:

$$
\langle\Phi| \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)|\Phi\rangle=\mathcal{N}^{2}\left\langle\chi_{B}(Z) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{\dagger 4}\right) \chi_{B}\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right.
$$

## Field Theory Side

- Where, using our previous techniques we obtain:

$$
\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}^{2}}=4(N+M)^{4} f_{B}\left(1+O\left((N+M)^{-2}\right)\right)
$$

- Thus:

$$
\langle\Phi| \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)|\Phi\rangle=\frac{4}{M+N}
$$

- This is the result we wish to compare to the supergravity result.


## Supergravity Side

- The supergravity field that couples to the boundary operator we are considering, $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)$, is a mixture of components of the fluctuations of the metric on the $S^{5}$ and the five form field strength, denoted as $S^{22}$ by Skenderis and Taylor, whose results we now apply.
- The one point function we desire is obtained by variation of the renormalized on-shell supergravity action with respect to the boundary value of $S^{22}$. The result obtained by Skenderis and Taylor was:

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{S^{22}}\right\rangle=N^{2} \int r^{3} \rho e^{2 i \phi} d r d \phi
$$



## Supergravity Side

- To determine $\rho$ we utilize the free fermion description of the half-BPS sector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.
- The Schur polynomial labelled by Young diagram $\lambda$ (once normalized) corresponds to the state:

$$
\hat{C}_{N-1+\lambda_{1}}^{\dagger} \ldots \hat{C}_{\lambda_{N}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle
$$

- Where the fermion creation and annihilation operators, $\hat{C}_{l}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{C}_{m}$ satisfy: $\left\{\hat{C}_{l}^{\dagger}, \hat{C}_{m}\right\}=\delta_{l m}, \quad \hat{C}_{m}|0\rangle=0$
- The energies of the free fermions are given by:

$$
E_{i}=\lambda_{i}+N-i+1, i=1, \ldots, N
$$

## Supergravity Side

- To utilize the free fermion description we rewrite the the state $|\Phi\rangle$ in terms of normalized Schur polynomials:

$$
|\Phi\rangle=\frac{1}{2(N+M)^{2} \sqrt{f_{B}}}\left[\mathcal{N}_{1}\left|\chi \square \mathcal{N}_{2}\right| \chi \square\right\rangle
$$



## Supergravity Side

- We have normalized the Schur polynomials utilizing the correlator result e.g.:

- since,

$$
\left\langle\chi \square(Z) \chi \square\left(Z^{\dagger}\right)\right\rangle=f_{B}(N+M)(N+M+1)
$$

## Supergravity Side

- The supergravity density function is given by:

$$
\rho=\frac{e^{-N r^{2}}}{\pi} \sum_{l, m}\left(z^{*}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{2^{I+m}}{l!m!}}\langle\Phi| \hat{C}_{l}^{\dagger} \hat{C}_{m}|\Phi\rangle, \quad z=\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} r e^{i \phi}
$$

- In evaluating $\langle\Phi| \hat{C}_{l}^{\dagger} \hat{C}_{m}|\Phi\rangle$ we note that there are two types of terms that contribute, those where $I=m$ or where $I$ and $m$ are such that the fermion occupation of $\hat{C}_{m}\left|\chi_{i}\right\rangle$ matches that of $\left\langle\chi_{i}\right| \hat{C}_{j}^{\dagger}$.
- We denote the sum of terms of the first type $\rho_{1}$ and the sum of terms of the second type $\tilde{\rho_{2}}=\cos 2 \phi \rho_{2}$


## Supergravity Side

- In this way we obtain a density that has the form:

$$
\rho=\rho_{1}+\cos 2 \phi \rho_{2}
$$

- The integral over $\rho_{1}$ vanishes and finally evaluating the integral of $\rho_{2}$, we obtain:

$$
\langle\Phi| \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)|\Phi\rangle=\frac{4}{M+N}
$$

- This matches the field theory result precisely!


## Summary

- We have introduced a means to easily calculate half-BPS correlators in the presence of certain Schur polynomial operators dual to new background geometries.
- In particular the annulus background was presented here but we have also extended the technology to multi-ring backgrounds as well as multi-charge backgrounds.
- Confirming the correctness of the results obtained involved calculating holographically renormalized correlators for comparison. We have agreement.
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