

Best estmate of initial uptae, sub-organ localization, P in kidneys Monday 26th August 2022 – 11:00

¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT - Dosimetry overview



Marta Cremonesi, marta.cremonesi@ieo.it

Radiation Research Unit, Istituto Europeo di Oncologia, Milano, Italy



¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE: some dose-effect correlations

Therapy	No. of Patients	Clinical Endpoint	Correlation Found	Reference
¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	14	Hematological toxicity (PLT ¹ and WBC ² variation)	Cumulative bone marrow absorbed dose	Bergsma 2016
¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	52	Hematological toxicity (PLT variation)	Per-cycle bone marrow absorbed dose	Del Prete 2019
¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	24	Tumor response (RECIST ³ criteria)	Tumor absorbed dose	Ilan 2015
¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	48	Tumor response (CT)	Tumor absorbed dose	Jahn 2021

Absorbed doses in the kidneys

- Mean absorbed doses range: 0.54 1.00 Gy/GBq
 Large inter-patient variabilities have been reported, e.g.
 0.3–1.98 Gy/GBq up to a factor of 3, also up to 6-7
- For 7,4 GBq x 4 cycles, cumulatively ~ 16 30 Gy



Cremonesi, EJNMMI 2018; Sundlöv A, EJNMMI 2017; Marian Phys Med 2018; Chicheportiche, 2018



KIDNEYS

The ranges of variability can be associated with different patient characteristics (e.g. renal function, tumour burden), the use of different renal protectors, and methodological aspects

Owing to the inter- and intra-patient variability of kidney AD / GBq, a fixed activity and fixed number of cycles results in a highly variable cumulative AD.

From the clinical experience, the mean absorbed dose threshold to the kidneys seems to be

- for ⁹⁰Y-PRRT: identified in 3 or more cycles (\rightarrow 40 BED)
- for ¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT: not found yet, but > 23 Gy in 4 or more cycles, and with a BED > 40 Gy, possibly due to more non-uniform irradiation

Dose-toxicity correlations found for kidneys in 9°Y-PRRT

• The LQ model (BED) offers further improvement

Absorbed dose vs. renal toxicity



BED (Biological Effective Dose)

Patients could be stratified

Models indicate different impact for ⁹⁰Y vs ¹⁷⁷Lu due to different dose distribution in renal cortex

Do the same BED values apply also for ¹⁷⁷Lu, i.e. is it possible to extrapolate mean dose constraints for toxicity?

BED NTCP for ⁹⁰Y-PRRT
$$\clubsuit$$
 BED₅₀ 40 Gy
BED₅ 28 Gy \clubsuit D₅₀ Lu 36 Gy
D₅ Lu 26 Gy

NO TOXICITY HAS BEEN FOUND even in the rare cases of this AD

The previous model applies in the assumption of dose uniformity..."acceptable" for ⁹⁰Y, but not for ¹⁷⁷Lu

Dose distribution in renal cortex from autoradiography





The higer non-uniformity of ¹⁷⁷Lu should mitigate the renal burden

→ higher tolerability of ¹⁷⁷Lu vs.⁹⁰Y for a same mean dose

Subsequently confirmed by clinical data.

Sandstrom M, et al. J Nucl Med 2013; 54:33–41

7.4 GBq/cycle, # of cycles to give Dose < 23 Gy to kidneys or 2 Gy to RM



> 4 cycles in 50% of pts, up to 10 cycles

Garske-Román U, et al. EJNMMI 2018;45(6):970-988.



Pts with 23 Gy to kidneys \rightarrow higher activity \rightarrow higher PFS and OS No toxicity

Dosimetry tailoring the # of cycles improves PFS and OS without toxicity

BUT DO WE NEED SO MANY CYCLES?

The number of cycles impacts on renal impairment in ⁹⁰Y-PRRT...



patients treated with a low numer of cycles of ⁹⁰Y-PRRT undergo toxicity at lower absorbed doses

explains

- why for ⁹⁰Y-PRRT
- why not for ¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT
- at the activities used



Absorbed doses in tumors – (1^{rst} cycle)



Up to 2017

Update from 2018 (all SPECT / CT)

the # of cycles impacts on tumor and tumor/kidney balance - ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE

Kidney AD is almost stable over cycles (majority of cases)



However, differences of up to a factor of 2 - 3 have been reported (due to tumour response during the cycles or changes in renal function)



Tumor AD variation over cycles

Garkavij M, Cancer 2010;116(S):1084-92 Sundlov A, EJNMMI. 2017;44:1480-89 Santoro L, EJNMMI Res. 2018;8(1):103.

dosimetry based ¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT in 4 cycles: increasing activity/cycle

Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging (2017) 44:1490-1500

Personalized ¹⁷⁷Lu-octreotate peptide receptor radionuclide therapy of neuroendocrine tumours: a simulation study

Michela Del Prete^{1,2} · François-Alexandre Buteau^{1,2} · Jean-Mathieu Beauregard^{1,2}

Activity (GBq) Activity (GBq) Activity (GBq) Activity (GBq) Activity (GBq) Activity (GBq) Activity vs. standard Activity vs. standard Activity vs. standard Activity vs. standard Activity (GBq) Activi Clinical Trial > Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2019 Mar;46(3):728-742. doi: 10.1007/s00259-018-4209-7. Epub 2018 Nov 30.



Personalized ¹⁷⁷ Lu-octreotate peptide receptor radionuclide therapy of neuroendocrine tumours: initial results from the P-PRRT trial

Michela Del Prete ¹ ² ³ ⁴, François-Alexandre Buteau ¹ ², Frédéric Arsenault ¹ ² ³ ⁴,



• Low number of cycles are feasible without toxicity: more favorable rationale

dose prescription: 23 Gy to kidneys in 4 cy

Red Marrow absorbed doses

Typically, for ¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT **AD < 2 Gy, cumulatively**

blood based method





RM Absorbed Dose-toxicity correlations – imaging based







Acute RM toxicity	Bergsma, 2016	Del Prete, 2019	Hagmarker, 2019	Garske-Roman, 2018
n. of pts	320	52	46	200
grade	3/4	3/4		3/4
frequency	11% (35 pts)	< 10%		15%
Activity / cycle GBq	7.4	8.8 (0.7–32.4), to reach AD = 23 Gy to kidneys in 4 cycles		7.4
n. of cycles	4	4		To reach AD=23 Gy to kidneys
Dosimetry method	blood	SPECT, L4-L5	Planar, SPECT (different lumbar volumes)	blood
AD/activity Gy/GBq	In 23 evaluable patients 67 ± 7 mGy/GBq	0.29 (0.04–1.47) cumulative	Planar0,026 (0,016-0,043)L4 spect0,043 (0,020-0,068)L spetc0,053 (0,024 -0,084)V spect0,046 (0,028-0,091)T spect0,062 (0,024-0 16)	0,16
Cumulative AD		1.17 (0.52–4.25)	- d	(0.12 Gy/7.4 GBq)
AD vs toxicity Correlation?	"in a selected group of patients		pleteu	
Previous possibly hematotoxic treatments		be con		
notes	15 pts required > 6 months or blood transfusions to recover	tope		The treatment was stopped in 44 patients (22%) for RM-related reasons

Late effects

Reference	Radiopharmaceutical	Number pf patients	Patients with MDS	Patients with AL
Imhoff, 2011	⁹⁰ Y-DOTATOC	1,109	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)
Pfeifer, 2011	⁹⁰ Y-DOTATOC	69	2 (2.9%)	_
Kwekkeboom, 2008	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	504	3 (0.6%)	_
Sabet, 2013	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	203	3 (1.5%)	_
Kesavan, 2014	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE + capecitabine and temozolomide	65	2 (3.1%)	_
Bodei, 2015	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE, ⁹⁰ Y-DOTATOC	807	19 (2.4%)	9 (1.1%)
Brieau, 2016	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE + previous alkylating chemotherapy	20	3 (15%)	1 (5%)
Brabander, 2017	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE	610	9 (1.5%)	4 (0.7%)
Del Prete, 2017	¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE + several previous chemotherapy regimens	36	_	1 (2.8%)

Table 4 Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and acute leukaemia (AL) associated with PRRT published in the literature

to be updated...



Breitz H et al.

CaBioth Radopp. 2003;18(2):225-30. 83 myeloma pts for BM transplantation

 MIRD 14 u- bladder:
 45-155 Gy

 ICRP 53 kidney:
 0.5-8 Gy

¹⁶⁶Ho-DOTMP:

First case of severe renal toxicity at AD not very high, apparently

35% G3-G4 renal tox

33% G1–G3 u. bladder tox



17-166 GBq

imaging @ 3 h show no kidney uptake

Very rapid transit tim through kidnys: 2,6 min



AD to the kidneys: **2-4 Gy** with ICRP 53, **8-17 Gy** from imaging

the severity was related to AD and probably to **dose rate**

BED_{tot} = 20 - 44 Gy

Initial dose-rate to the kidney: 0.7 Gy/h, with rapid decrease

Breitz H et al. JNM 2006;47(3):534-42.

BED as a bridge between NM and EBRT

Example: BED for kidneys in case of 90Y-PRRT



For mono-exp time-activity trends



RB parameters used for Kidneys

T_{repair} 2 h α/β 2.5 Gy α_{kidneys} 0.03 Gy⁻¹

Wessels, MIRD20 JNM2008 1884-99

Red Marrow dosimetry

	Blood based method		Imaging method		
Limits	not ablenot ableinfiltrating	to correlate with toxicity and to consider the impact of ng skeletal metastases	•	low activity concentration in the vertebrae and possible scatter contribution from surrounding tissues \rightarrow quantification? dependence on the choice of the measured vertebrae; impact by presence of infiltrating skeletal metastases	

EANM Guide Lines on dosimetry in 177Lu- PRRT and RLT - Sjögreen Gleisner K, et al. EJNMMI 2022: Illustrates the imaging method;

Indicates that RM dosimetry can be performed based on both methods

Clinical issues

How much should we be concernd to low RM toxicity (grade I-II) How much should we be concernd to late effects for PRRT