Extending trinity to the Scalar Sector

João M. Alves¹

¹Centro de Física Teórica de Partículas (CFTP), Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico (IST)

September 2, 2021

Extending trinity to the scalar sector through discrete flavoured symmetries

João M. Alves^{1,a}, Francisco J. Botella^{2,b}, Gustavo C. Branco^{1,c}, Miguel Nebot^{1,d}

¹ Departamento de Física and Centro de Física Teórica de Partículas (CFTP), Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), U. de Lisboa (UL), Av. Rovisco Pais 1. 1049-001 Lisbon. Portugal

² Departament de Fisica Teòrica and Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Universitat de València-CSIC, 46100 Valencia, Spain

Received: 17 June 2020 / Accepted: 18 July 2020 / Published online: 7 August 2020 $\ \, \mathbb O$ The Author(s) 2020













Outline

- Introduction
- 2 The Trinity Principle
- The Models
 - Definition and Symmetries
 - Flavour Changing Neutral Couplings
 - Scalar Potentials
 - Flavour sector
- 4 Conclusions

Introduction

- MHDMs arise naturally in a variety of BSM physics;
- General MHDM has tree-level scalar-mediated FCNC:
 - ⇒ Glashow and Weinberg killed them with NFC;
- MHDMs can play a role in solving flavour physics:
 - → NFC is too extreme of a scenario;
- MHDMs need a safe framework to perform flavour physics.

The Trinity Principle

- Remembering NFC of Glashow and Weinberg:
 - ⇒ "The mass matrix of a quark with a given charge may only receive contributions from one scalar."
- We extend the idea above by proposing the TP:
 - ⇒ "Each row of the mass matrix of a quark with a given charge may only receive contributions from one scalar, and vice-versa."
- Three massive generations require 3HDM.
- To test this idea, we look at the minimal models (quarks only).

Definition and Symmetries I

- Clearly that 3HDMs are the minimal implementations of the TP;
- TP is blind to the columns of each mass matrix:
 - → All right-handed fermions are flavour singlets in a minimal model;
 - ⇒ Each row is either completely null or has no zeros.
- Since scalar labels have no meaning, there are only three possibilities:
 - ⇒ All scalars contribute to the same line in each mass matrix;
 - → Only one scalar contributes to the same line in each mass matrix;
 - → No scalar contributes to the same line in each mass matrix.
- First two options work, last one is not supported by a symmetry.

Definition and Symmetries II

• The first option is implemented with two flavoured \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$\mathbb{Z}_2: \qquad \phi_1 \to -\phi_1, \quad q_{L1}^0 \to -q_{L1}^0,$$
 $\mathbb{Z}_2': \qquad \phi_2 \to -\phi_2, \quad q_{L2}^0 \to -q_{L2}^0.$

• Gives rise to Yukawa couplings for the down (Γ_a) and up (Δ_a) quarks:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \end{pmatrix}, \\ &\Delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Definition and Symmetries III

• This time, the model is protected by a flavoured- \mathbb{Z}_3 :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \Upsilon & \\ & & \Upsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_L^0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \Upsilon & \\ & & \Upsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} q_L^0.$$

Symmetry above produces the following Yukawa couplings:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \end{pmatrix}, \\ &\Delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Flavour Changing Neutral Couplings I

 \bullet For the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model, all FCNCs are controlled by:

$$\begin{split} N_d &= \left(v_2/v_1 P_1^{dL} - v_1/v_2 P_2^{dL}\right) D_d, \quad N_d' = \left(1 - v^2/v_3^2 P_3^{dL}\right) D_d, \\ N_u &= \left(v_2/v_1 P_1^{uL} - v_1/v_2 P_2^{uL}\right) D_u, \quad N_u' = \left(1 - v^2/v_3^2 P_3^{uL}\right) D_u. \end{split}$$

• Notice the mass matrices D_d and the projection operators P_i^{qL} :

$$P_i^{qL} = U_{qL}^{\dagger} P_i U_{qL}.$$

• For the Z₃ model, we have:

$$\begin{split} N_d &= \left(v_2/v_1 P_1^{dL} - v_1/v_2 P_2^{dL}\right) D_d, \quad N_d' = \left(1 - v^2/v_3^2 P_3^{dL}\right) D_d, \\ N_u &= \left(v_2/v_1 P_1^{uL} - v_1/v_2 P_3^{uL}\right) D_u, \quad N_u' = \left(1 - v^2/v_3^2 P_2^{uL}\right) D_u. \end{split}$$

Flavour Changing Neutral Couplings II

With just quarks, most stringent constraints come from meson mixing:

$$\left| \sum_{a=0}^{4} \frac{(Y_a^{q\dagger})_{ji}^2}{m_{h_a}^2} \right| < \frac{12m_j^2 \Delta m_P}{5m_P^3 f_P^2}.$$

- For generality, we considered the meson $P^0 = q_i \bar{q}_j$;
- The couplings Y_a^q envolve N_q , N_q' and the scalar mixing matrix O.
- Through some inequalities, we obtain for the D-mesons:

$$\left(\frac{2v^2}{v_1^2} + \frac{2v^2}{v_2^2} + \frac{v^2}{v_3^2} - \frac{3v^2}{v'^2}\right) \sum_{a=0}^4 \frac{1 - O_{a0}^2}{m_{h_a}^2/m_{h_0}^2} < 2 \times 10^{-4}.$$

- This bound is sufficient for ensuring validity, but not necessary.
- These models require a cancellation of the order of 10^{-2} .

Scalar Potentials

The most general potentials invariant under these symmetries are:

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}'_{2}}(\phi) \supset \sigma_{12} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2})^{2} + \sigma_{23} (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{3})^{2} + \sigma_{31} (\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{1})^{2} + h.c.,$$

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}_{3}}(\phi) \supset \sigma_{1} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}) (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}) + \sigma_{2} (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}) (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}) + \sigma_{3} (\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}) (\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}) + h.c..$$

- The missing terms play no role in the generation of CPV.
- After SSB, in the \mathbb{Z}_3 model we have:

$$\begin{split} \cos(\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) &= \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3 v_1 v_3}{2\sigma_2^2 v_2^2} - \frac{\sigma_1 v_1}{2\sigma_3 v_3} - \frac{\sigma_3 v_3}{2\sigma_1 v_1}, \\ \cos(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3) &= \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 v_1 v_2}{2\sigma_3^2 v_3^2} - \frac{\sigma_1 v_1}{2\sigma_2 v_2} - \frac{\sigma_2 v_2}{2\sigma_1 v_1}. \end{split}$$

Both scalar potentials can generate spontaneous CPV.

Flavour Sector I

ullet Both flavour sectors are entirely defined by the unitary matrix U_{qL} :

$$N_d' = \left[1 - v^2/v_3^2 \left(U_{dL}^{\dagger} P_3 U_{dL}\right)\right] D_d.$$

- In principle, will have six new flavour parameters in each model.
- If Lagrangian is CP invariant, mass matrices are given by:

$$\Gamma_1 = egin{pmatrix} x & x & x \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M_d = egin{pmatrix} e^{ilpha_1} & & & & & \\ & e^{ilpha_2} & & & & & \\ & & e^{ilpha_3} & & & & \end{pmatrix} \hat{M}_d.$$

• Since \hat{M}_d is real, the matrices which diagonalize it are:

$$U_{dL} = \left(egin{matrix} \mathrm{e}^{ilpha_1} & & & & \ & \mathrm{e}^{ilpha_2} & & \ & & \mathrm{e}^{ilpha_3} \end{matrix}
ight) O_{dL}.$$



As the up and down sectors receive opposite phases, we get the CKM:

$$\begin{split} V_{\mathbb{Z}_3} &= e^{i(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} O_{uL}^T \operatorname{diag} \left\{ e^{i(2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}, 1, 1 \right\} O_{dL}, \\ V_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2'} &= O_{uL}^T \operatorname{diag} \left\{ e^{2i\alpha_1}, e^{2i\alpha_2}, e^{2i\alpha_3} \right\} O_{dL}. \end{split}$$

- From 1808.00493, we check that a complex CKM is generated;
- From 2105.14054, we see that we may relate δ_{CKM} with δ_{PMNS} .

Conclusions

- We have probed two distinct models which implement the TP:
 - ⇒ Both have naturally suppressed FCNC;
 - ⇒ Both have potentials that can generate spontaneous CPV;
 - ⇒ Both can produce a complex CKM matrix out of the vacuum phases.
- Implementing the TP generates naturally suppressed FCNC;
- Nevertheless, it still leaves room for perforing flavour physics.