LHC Upgrade Physics in CMS (Higgs Sector)

Vladimir Rekovic for the CMS Collaboration



PU 140 (200) @ 25(50) ns bunch crossing

Detector and trigger challenges

ATLAS and CMS were designed to cope with L= $1-2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$

- Need detectors and trigger with high performances from low to high energy scales
 - 125 GeV SM-like boson measurements
 - Multi-TeV new physics searches
- Phase 1 Upgrade: twice LHC design luminosity
 - Event pileup reaches ~50 collisions per beam crossing (@ 25 ns)
 - Factor 5 increase in trigger rates relative to 2012 run
- Phase 2 Upgrade: 5x LHC design luminosity
 - Event pileup reaches ~140 collisions per beam crossing (@ 25 ns)
 - Need solutions to cope with very high rates (10-15 x 2012), radiation and pileup
- CMS Documentation:
 - Phase I & II Upgrade Technical Proposal (CERN-LHCC-2011-006, 2015-010)
 - Phase II Upgrade Scope Document" (CERN-LHCC-2015-019)

Physics program priorities

The discovery of a SM-like scalar boson at m_H~125 GeV defines the physics priorities

- With LHC 13/14 TeV data until ~2022 (~300 fb⁻¹)
 - Measure SM-like scalar boson properties
 - mass, J^{PC}
 - individual couplings with 5-15% precision
 - Search for new physics at a higher scale (new energy region)
 - SUSY
 - Exotics
- With HL-LHC 14 TeV data until ~2032 (~3000 fb⁻¹)
 - High Precision SM scalar boson measurements
 - Study Higgs boson rare decays and self-coupling
 - Study VV scattering
 - Characterize any New Physics discovered during Phase 1 at 14 TeV
 - Search for new physics in very rare processes



Higgs Physics at HL-LHC

- The High-Luminosity LHC has been identified as the highest priority program in High Energy Physics by both the European Strategy Group and the US Particle Physics Project Prioritization
- What can we do at HL-LHC in the Higgs sector?
 - Measure existing decay channels with the highest precision
 - Observe rare Higgs decays
 - $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$
 - $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$
 - H→cc (?)
 - Double Higgs production (Higgs self-coupling)
 - Vector boson scattering
 - Look for small deviations from SM predictions

CMS upgrade program

LS1 Projects

- Complete Muon coverage (ME,RE4)
- Improve muon operation, DT electronics
- Replace HCAL photo-detectors in Forward (new PMTs) and Outer (HPD→SiPMs)
- DAQ1 \rightarrow DAQ2
- L1 Trigger upgade

LS1

LS2 (2018)

Phase 1 Upgrades -

- New Pixel detector, HCAL electronics and L1-Trigger upgrade
- GEMs for forward muon detector

Phase 2 Upgrades:

RB4

RB3

RB2

Wheel 2

Wheel 1

MB3

MB2

Wheel 0

Solenoid magnet

HCAL

ECAL

Silicon tracker

HO

Pixel

Tracker replacement, L1 Track-Trigger

RE1/2

Steel

LS3 (~2023)

- Forward: calorimetry, muons and tracking
- High precision timing for PU mitigation
- Further Trigger upgrade (higher granularity)
- Further DAQ upgrade

DTs

CSCs RPCs

RE4

ME4/2

• Extension of Pixel coverage up to $|\eta| \sim 4$

- Reduce rate of fake jets due to PU for VBF/VBS physics
- Associate jets to tracks vertex to mitigate pile-up effect
- Expect significant improvements for all VBF processes: Higgs -BSM dark matter & for VBS



CMS Phase II – HGCal – Silicon-based Calorimetry

 In HL-LHC Pile-up will become ever more severe, making the identification of electromagnetic objects more challenging and swamping the relatively-isolated VBF and VBS jets with increasing QCD multijet background.

- New Calorimetry in the forward region high-granularity sampling calorimeter.

 - silicon/tungsten electromagnetic section
 two hadronic sections, both using brass as the primary absorber material.
- Provide precision and radiation hardness



Calorimeter Pile-Up Mitigation

- Collisions are distributed over several cm in Z, and a few 100 ps in time.
- Consider 10ps~20ps TOF calorimeter resolution for MIP's and γ, together with Tracker coverage:
 - Tracking identifies location Z0 of interesting collision vertex
 - TOF of charged particles from that collision identifies time t0 of interesting collision
 - Use Z location and time to select calorimeter clusters associated to Z0 & t0 of interesting collision t > t0
 - For H -> γγ use timing of γ's to produce reduced list of possibly compatible vertices, then select best match with similar criteria as for present analysis
- Ongoing discussion for TOF detectors in front of Barrel and EndCap Calorimeter



Time

t < t0

t = t0 -

CMS Phase II Tracking Trigger

- Design modules with pT discrimination
 - Correlate hits in two closely-spaced sensors to provide vector (stub) in transverse plane: angle is a measure of pt
 - Exploit the strong magnetic field of CMS
- Level-1 "stubs" are processed in the back-end
 - Form Level-1 tracks, pT above 2~2.5 GeV
 - Use to improve different trigger channels (rate reduction x 5-10 for lepton triggers)



CMS Phase II Trigger

- Replace Ecal Barrel and Endcap Front End electronics
 - Latency 12 µsec
 - Provide individual crystal level (not 5x5 sums) trigger information
- L1 Accept rate ~750 kHz
 - More acceptance lower threshold
- Tracking trigger
 - Leptons: Pt cut & isolation. Jets: vertex
- New L1 Trigger (Calorimeter, Muon, Global) take advantage of Track Trigger and correlations b/w objects
- HLT output rate increase x 10, to 10 kHz



CMS Phase II Muon detector

Increase det. acceptance up to $|\eta|$ =4.0



CMS Higgs boson projections

- CMS reported the expected sensitivity of various Higgs boson analyses at the HL-LHC in, based on projections of 8 TeV measurements using 2012 CMS data, and DELPHES simulation studies incorporating the Phase-II detector upgrades. (CMS Phase II Technical Proposal)
- The studies presented here repeat and complement the previous public results at 300 fb⁻¹ and 3000 fb⁻¹, updating the corresponding analyses techniques to their current status.

Higgs boson projections after LS1

Approaches adopted for physics projections

- **CMS**: projections are presented under four different scenarios assumed for the size of systematic uncertainties:
 - S1: all systematic uncertainties are kept unchanged with respect to those in current data analyses
 - S1+: S1 + effects of higher pileup conditions and detector upgrades on the future performance of CMS are taken into account
 - S2: the theoretical uncertainties are scaled by a factor of 1/2, while other systematical uncertainties are scaled by 1/√L (till lower limit from estimates of achievable accuracy with upgrade detectors)
 - S2+: S2 + effects of higher pileup conditions and detector upgrades on the future performance of CMS are taken into account

Higgs coupling ratios vs. mass



V.Rekovic, LHC Uprade Physics in CMS



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and Timing

Vertex identification scenarios

S2 – 80% vtx id, no degradation due to PU

S2 pessimistic – 40% vtx id, 5% drop γ eff PU140

S2 internediate – 55% vtx id (from timing for γ)

S2 optimistic – 75% vtx id (from timing for **y** and charged ptcls)



 $H \rightarrow ZZ$

Project from 2016 analysis with 12.9 fb⁻¹ CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033

Uncertainties: integrated L (1.5%), lepton ID (1%)

Projections:

- Theory plays important role in ggH
- For the subleading production modes, the uncertainties are dominated by the statistical component
- At 3000 fb–1, the experimental systematics uncertainties (dominated by luminosity, JES, and lepton efficiencies) are slightly constrained, due to nature of the fit.





$d\sigma(H \rightarrow ZZ)/dp_T$

In this measurement, the theoretical un- certainties in the total signal cross section are not relevant and the cross section is measured in a fiducial phase space closely matching the experimental acceptance

Results of projections:

high p_T region ($p_T > 200$ GeV) is still dominated by the statistical uncertainty at 3000 fb-1.

- 10 to 29% (4 to 9%) for 300 (3000) fb-1.



$H \rightarrow ZZ$ anomalous coupling

- Anomalous contributions in the spin-0 tensor structure of HZZ interactions can be characterized by coefficients a₂, a₃, Λ₁, and Λ_Q (arXiv:1411.3441)
- Only tensor structures proportional to a2, a3 and Λ1 are observable using on-shell H boson decay.
- Since the measurement is statistically limited, only scenarios S1 and S1+, where the systematic uncertainties are unchanged with respect to the reference analysis, are shown.



$H \rightarrow \mu \mu$

Ongoing analysis with Run II data



• The decay $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ can be observed with a significance of 5 sigma

 measurement of the H_{μμ} coupling with a precision of ~10%



$H \rightarrow cc$

- Hcc coupling can still be 4-8 x SM
- In composite Higgs

 $c_c \simeq 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_c^2 \frac{g_\psi^2 v^2}{m_\psi^2}}{m_\psi^2}\right)$

large for composite charm and light charm partners

Measuring it?

Like H→bb, but with charm tagging?

Or via $H \rightarrow J/\Psi \gamma$? <u>1306.5770</u>



SM Higgs boson pair-production

Destructive interference between the two diagrams



Many channels to investigate Most promising ones:

b̄bW⁺W⁻ (large BR but large bkg.) b̄bγγ (clean but small BR)

bδτ⁺τ⁻ bδμ⁺μ⁻ also being considered bδbδ bδ2l2v

NNLO cross-section at m_H=125 GeV:

Taken from "Higgs self-coupling measurements at the LHC" by M. J.



de Florian, J. Mazzitelli, 1309.6594

 $_{9/8/17}$ $\sigma = 40 \pm 3 \text{ fb}$

di-Higgs production with 3000 fb⁻¹

At HL-LHC with L=3000 fb⁻¹ we will produce ~120000 HH events

However we pay a big price in BR's ...

bbW+W-	~14000 evt		
ϸϬγγ	~ 150 evt		
bδτ⁺τ⁻	~ 4300 evt		
bō2l2v	~ 730 evt		



di-Higgs production @13 TeV with 2.7 fb⁻¹

Example: HH→bbyy: CMS-PAS-HIG-16-032

2D fit $M(\gamma\gamma) \times M(bb)$



SM $H \rightarrow HH$ projections

bБγγ

 γ efficiencies and uncertainties are treated in the same way as for the H $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. 90% of the photons coming from HH decays are central, neglect degradation of photon efficiency in the forward region

bδτ⁺τ⁻

- current uncertainty of **tt shape** prediction is scaled down by a factor three to match the the estimated fraction of jets faking τ leptons at the HL-LHC.

- **QCD multijet** (subdominant background) obtained from data and therefore the statistical uncertainty is assumed to be negligible. - μ , **e** and τ_h uncertainties are assumed to be that of 2015 analysis.



bbW+M-

Main backgrounds, **tt** and **Drell-Yan** processes, are expected to be estimated from data and therefore their uncertainties are considered

bbbb

Main background, **QCD multijet** production, is estimated from data. and its uncertainty is scaled down with the integrated luminosity in the projection. The uncertainties in the predictions of the other backgrounds are assumed to be unchanged with respect to the 2015 analysis.

SM $X \rightarrow HH$

Consider radion for 3 different masses, measure expected limits on cross section, and derive mass scale Λ_R (the ultraviolet cutoff of the model) at which the radion is excluded.

Table 6: Projection of the sensitivity to $gg \rightarrow X \rightarrow HH \rightarrow bbbb$ production at 3000 fb⁻¹ expected to be collected during the HL-LHC program. The 95% CL expected limits are provided for spin-0 resonance hypothesis with different mass assumptions.

	Median expected		$\sigma_{\rm R}^{NLO}(\Lambda_{\rm R}=1{ m TeV})$	$\Lambda_{\rm R}$ (TeV)
	limits on σ (fb)		(fb)	excluded
$m_X(\text{TeV})$	ECFA16 S2	Stat. Only		ECFA16 S2
0.3	46	41	7130	13
0.7	7.3	3.4	584	8.9
1.0	4.4	2.4	190	6.6

MSSM $\varphi \rightarrow \tau \tau$

Final states of the two τ leptons: $\mu \tau_h$, $e \tau_h$, $\tau_h \tau_h$ and $e \mu$. Background: Drell-Yan (dominant) with a decay to τ lepton pairs, W+jets, tt, and QCD multijet

"model-independent": search for single
resonance signal for a Higgs boson M[90 GeV,
3.2 TeV,] and decaying into τ leptons

CMS Projection CMS Projection MSSM $\phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (13 TeV) MSSM $\phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (13 TeV) **CMS** Projection MSSM $\phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (13 TeV) 95% CL limit on $\sigma(gg\phi) \cdot B(\phi \rightarrow \tau \tau)(pb)$ Projection 95% CL Expected exclusion HIG-16-006 (2.3 fb⁻¹) Projections 95% CL limit on σ (bbφ)· $B(\phi \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ (pb) Scenario 1 (300 fb Projections HIG-16-006 (2.3 fb⁻¹) 13 TeV Expected (HIG-16-006) 10^{3} Expected 10^{3} Scenario 2 (300 fb⁻ Scenario 1 (300 fb ± 1σ Expected Expected 1_σ Expected Scenario 2 (300 fb⁻¹ . Only (300 fb⁻¹ Scenario 1 (3000 fb ±1σ Expected Stat. Only (300 fb⁻¹) 60 tanβ 10² ±2σ Expected 10² Scenario 2 (3000 fb⁻ Scenario 1 (3000 fb⁻¹) mbmod+ ±2σ Expected scenario Stat. Only (3000 fb⁻¹ Scenario 2 (3000 fb 50 - - Stat. Only (3000 fb⁻¹) 40 10 30 10 10⁻² 20 10⁻² 10^{-3} 10^{-3} 10^{2} 10^{3} 10-600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 200 400 m, (GeV) V.Rekovic, LHC Uprade Physics in CMS m_{\star} (GeV) 9/8/17 陥 (GeV)

"model-dependent" limit is set as a function of m_A and tan θ in a particular MSSM benchmark scenario, combining the prediction from both production modes and all three neutral Higgs bosons

Conclusions

- The experience gained and on-track upgrades program suggest that CMS experiment will meet the physics expectation at HL-LHC with 3000 fb-1, @ Vs=14 TeV and instantaneous luminosities of 5x1034 cm⁻² s⁻¹
- Very rich Higgs program available with the upgraded CMS detector for the next 20 years despite very harsh conditions (high radiation, high pile-up)
 - Higgs boson couplings can be measured with few percent precision
 - 1-4 % statistical, experimental
 - 3-7 % theory would be great if can improve to 1% level (call for help from theory community)
 - rare Higgs boson decays can be probed
 - Higgs self-coupling studies possible

Backup

• Extension of Pixel coverage up to $|\eta| \sim 4$

- Reduce rate of fake jets due to PU for VBF/VBS physics
- Associate jets to tracks vertex to mitigate pile-up effect
- Expect significant improvements for all VBF processes: Higgs -BSM dark matter & for VBS

